



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>





STANFORD UNIVERSITY LIBRARY



TRAVELS IN THE HOLY LAND, &c.

PUBLISHED BY COLBURN & CO.

NARRATIVE OF A RESIDENCE AT NINEVEH; AND TRAVELS IN MESOPOTAMIA, ASSYRIA, AND SYRIA. By the Rev. J. P. FLETCHER. 1 vol., post 8vo., bound 17. 1s.

MURRAY LINDSAY'S LETTERS FROM THE HOLY LAND. Fourth Edition, revised and corrected. 1 vol., post 8vo., bound 6s.

THE SPIRIT OF THE EAST. By D. URQUHART, Esq., M.P. 1 vol. 16s.

THE CRESCENT AND THE CROSS; or, Romance and Realities of Eastern Travel. By ELIOT WARBURTON, Esq. Eighth Edition. 1 vol., post 8vo., with Illustrations, bound 10s. 6d.

THE HON. COL. KEPPEL'S (NOW LORD ALBEMARLE) PERSONAL NARRATIVE OF TRAVELS IN BABYLONIA, ASSYRIA, MEDIA, AND CYTHIA. Third Edition, 2 vols., post 8vo., with Portrait and Plates 12s.

LETTERS FROM THE EAST. By JOHN CARNE, Esq. Written during a Tour through Turkey, Egypt, Arabia, the Holy Land, Syria, and Greece. Cheaper Edition. 3 vols., post 8vo 15s.

TRAVELS IN PALESTINE. By J. S. BUCKINGHAM, Esq. Cheaper Edition. 2 vols., 8vo., with Numerous Engravings 12s.

TRAVELS IN MESOPOTAMIA, including a Journey to the Ur of the Chaldees, and the Ruins of Nineveh and Babylon. By J. S. BUCKINGHAM, Esq. Cheaper Edition. 2 vols., 8vo., with Thirty Engravings 12s.

TRAVELS IN ASSYRIA, MEDIA, AND PERSIA. By J. S. BUCKINGHAM, Esq. 2 vols., 8vo 12s.

TRAVELS IN ALGERIA. By VISCOUNT FEILDING and CAPTAIN KENNEDY. 2 vols., post 8vo., with Illustrations, bound 12s.

THE MARCHIONESS OF LONDONDERRY'S NARRATIVE OF HER VISIT TO THE COURTS OF VIENNA, CONSTANTINOPLE, ATHENS, NAPLES, &c., &c. 8vo., with Portrait, bound 10s. 6d.

ROOKE (SIR ARTHUR DE CAPEL). TRAVELS IN SPAIN AND MOROCCO. 2 vols., with numerous Plates 12s.

TRAVELS IN KASHMERE, &c. By G. T. VIGNE, Esq., F.G.S. Cheaper Edition. 2 vols., 8vo., with a valuable Map, and 22 Illustrations, bound 17. 1s.

NARRATIVE OF A TEN YEARS' RESIDENCE AT THE COURT OF TRIPOLI. Giving an Authentic Picture of the Domestic Manners of the Moors, Arabs, and Turks. From the Papers of the late RICHARD TULLY, Esq., the British Consul. 2 vols., with numerous Coloured Plates 12s.



STANFORD UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Handwritten signature

LORD GEORGE BENTINCK :

A POLITICAL BIOGRAPHY.

BY B. DISRAELI, *1st Earl of Beaconsfield*

MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT FOR THE COUNTY OF BUCKINGHAM.

“He left us the legacy of heroes; the memory of his great name
and the inspiration of his great example.”

Fourth Edition, Revised.

LONDON:
COLBURN AND CO., PUBLISHERS,

GREAT MARLBOROUGH STREET.

1852.

Handwritten flourish

LONDON:
Printed by Schulze and Co., 13, Poland Street.

400840



TO
LORD HENRY BENTINCK,

IS INSCRIBED

THIS POLITICAL BIOGRAPHY

OF

ONE FOR WHOM HE ENTERTAINED A DEEP AFFECTION,

AND WHOSE TALENTS AND VIRTUES

HE SHARES.

.

▲

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.	
OF PARTIES IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS AT THE	PAGE
CLOSE OF 1845	1
CHAPTER II.	
MEETINGS OF THE CABINET IN ONE WEEK . . .	20
CHAPTER III.	
—OPENING OF PARLIAMENT	42
CHAPTER IV.	
POSITION TO REPEAL THE CORN LAWS	58
CHAPTER V.	
FORMATION OF THE PROTECTIONIST PARTY . . .	77

CHAPTER VI.		PAGE
SECOND READING OF THE BILL TO REPEAL THE CORN LAWS		110
CHAPTER VII.		
STATE OF IRELAND		124
CHAPTER VIII.		
THE CORRECTION BILL		138
CHAPTER IX.		
REMEDIAL MEASURES FOR IRELAND		158
CHAPTER X.		
EASTER		173
CHAPTER XI.		
CRITICAL POSITION OF THE GOVERNMENT		182
CHAPTER XII.		
THIRD READING OF THE CORN BILL		202
CHAPTER XIII.		
RECIPROCITY		224
CHAPTER XIV.		
THE PROTECTIONIST PARTY RESOLVE TO OPPOSE THE CORRECTION BILL		229

CONTENTS.

vii

CHAPTER XV.

	PAGE
ANNING EPISODE	261

CHAPTER XVI.

THROW OF THE PEEL CABINET	287
-------------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER XVII.

ACT OF SIR ROBERT PEEL	302
----------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER XVIII.

SUGAR BILL—PROGRESS AND REACTION	321
--	-----

CHAPTER XIX.

H RAILWAYS	332
----------------------	-----

CHAPTER XX.

7—THE IRISH FAMINE	349
------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER XXI.

BANK CHARTER ACT	411
----------------------------	-----

CHAPTER XXII.

OLUTION OF PARLIAMENT	427
---------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER XXIII.

PANIC	441
-----------------	-----

CHAPTER XXIV.		PAGE
THE JEWISH QUESTION		462
CHAPTER XXV.		
1848—RELINQUISHMENT OF THE LEADERSHIP OF THE PROTECTIONS PART BY LORD C. RENTING		508
CHAPTER XXVI.		
THE SUGA AND COFFEE PLANTING COMMITTEE		529
CHAPTER XXVII.		
FOREIGN POLITIC—CLOSE OF THE SESSION 1848		551
CHAPTER XXVIII.		
THE LAST CHAPTER		553

A

POLITICAL BIOGRAPHY.

CHAPTER I.

THE political career of Lord George Bentinck was peculiar. He had, to use his own expression, "sate in eight parliaments without having taken part in any great debate," when remarkable events suddenly impelled him to advance and occupy not only a considerable but a leading position in our public affairs. During three years under circumstances of great difficulty he displayed some of the highest qualities of political life; courage and a lofty spirit; a mastery of details which experience usually alone confers; a quick apprehension and a clear intelligence; indomitable firmness; promptness, punctuality, and perseverance which never failed; an energy

B

seldom surpassed, and a capacity for labour which was perhaps never equalled. At the very moment when he had overcome many contrarities and prejudices; when he had been most successful in the house of commons, and sustained only by his own resources had considerably modified the legislation of the government which he opposed on a measure of paramount importance; when the nation, which had long watched him with interest, began to congratulate itself on the devotion of such a man to the business of the country, he was in an instant taken from us. Then it was, that the memory of the past and the hope of the future blending together, all men seemed to mourn over this untimely end, and there was that pang in the public heart which accompanies the unexpected disappearance of a strong character.

What manner of man this was, who thus on a sudden in the middle term of life relinquished all the ease and pleasure of a patrician existence to work often eighteen hours a-day, not for a vain and brilliant notoriety, which was foreign alike both to his tastes and his turn of mind, but for the advancement of principles, the advocacy of which in the chief scene of his efforts was sure to obtain for him only contention and unkindly feelings; what were his motives, purposes, and opinions; how and why he laboured; and the whole scope and ten-

dency of this original, vigorous and self-schooled intelligence ; these would appear to be subjects not unworthy of contemplation, and especially not uninteresting to a free and political community.

The difficulty of treating cotemporary characters and events has been ever acknowledged : but it may be doubted whether the difficulty is diminished when we would commemorate the men and things that have preceded us. The cloud of passion in the first instance, or in the other the mist of time, may render it equally hard and perplexing to discriminate. It should not be forgotten that the most authentic and interesting histories are those which have been composed by actors in the transactions which they record. The cotemporary writer who is personally familiar with his theme has unquestionably a great advantage ; but it is assumed that his pen can scarcely escape the bias of private friendship or political connection. Yet truth after all is the sovereign passion of mankind ; nor is the writer of these pages prepared to relinquish his conviction, that it is possible to combine the accuracy of the present with the impartiality of the future.

The frequent meetings of the cabinet that took place towards the end of the year 1845 excited a lively interest in the country and attracted even the attention of Europe. No doubt could exist as to the cause of these assemblings. The powerful or-

ganization for the repeal of the corn laws, which hitherto had been more remarkable for its efficient discipline and the ability of its leaders than for the degree of popular sympathy which it commanded, had received a great and unexpected assistance, and that too when its energy and its resources were both waning, by the menaced failure of one of the crops most important for the sustenance of the people of the united kingdom. Nor, it was rumoured, was this visitation limited to her majesty's dominions. It was prevalent in many other European countries, and extended even to the native region of the potato.

The difficulty which under such circumstances must have been experienced by any ministry was aggravated in the existing instance by the fact, that the government had been formed on principles adverse to a less restricted importation of foreign food than the law then sanctioned. What would be their course? Would they recognise in the present state of affairs a proof of the error of their system, or would they esteem it a contingency which might derange, but which would not invalidate, that system? And if so, what remedial measure would they adopt to counteract that temporary disturbance? Would they suspend the import duties on foreign corn? And if so, would they obtain their object by an order in council, or by the immediate sanction

of parliament to be assembled for that purpose? These were the questions which were in everybody's mouth and mind while four cabinet councils of unusual duration were held in one week.

Nor was the excitement throughout the continent of Europe, though of course less general, less eager or less anxious. The existing policy of the great cabinets had been founded on the assumption that the administration of Sir Robert Peel would be limited only by the term of his political life, and that he might probably bequeath the government to a competent successor. They esteemed the retarded but at length signal triumph of the conservative principle in England in 1841, as the complete and natural conclusion of those events and ideas which had agitated and perplexed the various communities since the fall of the elder Bourbons in 1830. They associated their interests therefore with the existence of a conservative government in England. They could not bring themselves to believe, in November, 1845, that such a government was in danger though it was evidently in some difficulty. So strong was their faith in the power of the triumphant tory party, and so great their confidence in the sagacity and experience and high reputation of its eminent leader, that they were on the whole perhaps rather curious than alarmed.

In the meantime the cabinet which had met so

frequently and so hurriedly again dispersed, apparently with no decision but one of inaction. Instead of an order in council for opening the port, the London Gazette contained a further prorogation of parliament, and it was evident that her majesty's ministers had no intention to solve the difficulty either by the exercise of the prerogative of the sovereign, or by a premature appeal to the courts.

The determination to do nothing was accepted by the foreign cabinets as evidence that the British minister had examined his position and had found it impregnable. That however was not the opinion of those who from their parliamentary experience knew the political habits of their lives, and their personal acquaintance with the characters of the principal actors in the impending transactions, would appear to be more competent to arrive at a sound conclusion. It is difficult for foreign cabinets, however faithful and intelligently served, to calculate the result of a political crisis in England when the elements of a party government are violently disturbed. As long as the public contest is the ordinary struggle between recognised political connections, and much depends upon individual opinion, caprice, or conduct, the social information of the diplomatist, aiding his usual impassioned scrutiny, will often lead him to a more accurate judgment of the event, than that of the


partisan. But it is otherwise when the great English parties are agitated without the walls of parliament by any acknowledged or assumed repudiation of the principles of their union by those in whom they have confided as their cherished organs; and it is only a parliamentary leader of great natural sagacity, matured by a considerable experience of the assembly on which this external opinion is to act, who can successfully calculate what may be its consequences on the conduct of the members.

The minister had closed the session of 1845 with an overwhelming majority in both houses. True it is, that in the four years during which he had conducted affairs he had frequently strained the patience of his supporters; but their passive murmurs only proved how necessary he was to their interests, and how accurately he had calculated their faculty of sufferance. True it is, that towards the end of the session of '45, a solitary voice from the tory benches had presumed to prophesy that protection then was in about the same condition as protestantism was in 1828, and amid tumultuous sympathy a conservative government had been denounced as "an organized hypocrisy;" but the cheers of mutual sensibility were in a great degree furnished by the voices opposite, and the tory gentlemen beneath the gangway who swelled the chorus did so with downcast eyes, as if they yet

hesitated to give utterance to feelings too long and too painfully suppressed. Practically speaking, the conservative government, at the end of the session of '45, was far stronger than even at the commencement of the session of '42. If they had forfeited the hearts of their adherents, they had not lost their votes, while both in parliament and the country, they had succeeded in appropriating a mass of loose, superficial, opinion not trammelled by party ties, and which complacently recognised in their measures the gradual and moderate fulfilment of a latitudinarian policy both in church and state.

Their position was also aggrandized and confirmed by a conviction then prevalent, and which it is curious to observe is often current on the eve of great changes, that the ministry of Sir Robert Peel were the only body of men then competent to carry on affairs.

The opposite benches were thronged with gentlemen who offered no opposition. Split into sections, they agreed only in affording the ministerial system a forced and grim approbation. The most noisy and bustling section was that of the English radicals, who with provident perseverance were resolved to show that no future ministry should be formed without their claims being acknowledged. In this when the crisis arrived they partially succeeded, by the graceful if not willing abnegation of some



eminent members of the whig party. But generally speaking the preferments arranged by a process so painful and so costly have not been very felicitous ; nor has the English radical party ever obtained that influence in the house which from their numbers might have been expected. They still mainly depend on the multifarious information and the vast experience of Mr. Hume, who towers among them without a rival. Future parliaments will do justice to the eminent services of this remarkable man, still the most hard-working member of the house, of which he is now the father. His labours on public committees will be often referred to hereafter, and then perhaps it will be remembered that, during a career of forty years, and often under circumstances of great provocation, he never once lost his temper.

Inferior in numbers, but superior in influence from their powers of debate and their external organization, were the members of the confederation called the Anti-Corn-Law League ; but the close of the session of '45 found them nearly reduced to silence. Low prices, abundant harvests, and a thriving commerce, had rendered appeals, varied even by the persuasive ingenuity of Mr. Cobden, a wearisome iteration. The Manchester confederates seemed to be least in favour with parliament and the country on the very eve of their triumph. They lost at the

same time elections and the ear of the house ; and the cause of total and immediate repeal seemed in a not less hopeless position than when, under circumstances of infinite difficulty, it was first and solely upheld by the terse eloquence and vivid perception of Charles Villiers.

The condition of the whig party itself was absolutely forlorn ; it was spoken of as a corpse ; it was treated as a phantom. With numbers scarcely exceeding one-sixth of the house in a parliament of their own summoning, the whigs were sustained alone by the dignity of Lord John Russell. There are few positions less inspiring than that of the leader of a discomfited party. The labours and anxieties of a minister, or of his rival on the contested threshold of office, may be alleviated by the exercise or sustained by the anticipation of power ; both are surrounded by eager, anxious, excited, perhaps enthusiastic, adherents. There is sympathy, appreciation, prompt counsel, profuse assistance. But he who in the parliamentary field watches over the fortunes of routed troops, must be prepared to sit often alone. Few care to share the labour which is doomed to be fruitless, and none are eager to diminish the responsibility of him whose course however adroit must necessarily be ineffectual. Nor can a man of sensibility in such a post easily obviate these discouragements. It is

ungracious to appeal to the grey-headed to toil for a harvest which they may probably never reap, and scarcely less painful to call upon glittering youth to sacrifice its rosy hours for a result as remote as the experience in which it does not believe. Adversity is necessarily not a sanguine season, and in this respect a political party is no exception to all other human combinations. In doors and out of doors a disheartened opposition will be querulous and captious. A discouraged multitude have no future; too depressed to indulge in a large and often hopeful horizon of contemplation, they busy themselves in peevish detail, and by a natural train of sentiment associate their own conviction of ill-luck, incapacity, and failure, with the most responsible member of their confederation: while all this time inexorable duty demands, or rather that honour which is the soul of public life, that he should be as vigilant, as laborious, should exercise as complete a control over his intelligence and temper, should be as prompt to represent their principles in debate, and as patient and as easy of access in private conference, should be as active and as thoughtful, as if he were sustained by all that encourages exertion—the approbation of the good and the applause of the wise.

The position of Lord John Russell during the last administration of Sir Robert Peel was a mortifying one. Every public man is prepared to endure

defeat with the same equanimity with which he should bear more auspicious fortunes; but no one likes to be vanquished unfairly. It was the opinion of Lord John Russell that he had not been fairly treated by the triumphant opposition which had ousted him from the treasury bench. He was indeed too reserved and too justly proud a man to give any vent to these feelings in the heyday of conservative exultation. But the feelings were not less lively; he brooded over them with the pain which accompanies the sense of injustice. Session after session, while his policy was appropriated in detail by those who had often condemned or misrepresented it, the frigid manner often veiled an indignant spirit and the cynic smile was sometimes the signal of a contempt which he was too haughty to express. But when the hour of judgment had arrived, and when he might speak of his feelings with becoming dignity, in giving the reason why at the beginning of 1846, when summoned by his sovereign, he had at first respectfully declined the commission of her majesty to form a government on account of his weakness in the house of commons, he added: "I need not now explain why it was, that, in the house of commons, those who in general agree with me in opinion, are inferior in number to those who generally follow the right honourable baronet (Sir Robert Peel); but I must

say, on this occasion, that during the whole of our administration, our motives never received a fair construction, nor did our measures ever receive an impartial consideration from those who were our political opponents."

This is a grave charge, applying as it does to a very eventful period of nearly seven years, for such was the considerable duration of the Melbourne government. Was the charge well-founded? In reluctantly admitting its authenticity, there are however in justice to the conservative ministry, and especially in justice to the conservative party, several important considerations to be indicated.

The unfairness with which the last Melbourne administration was treated was the consequence of the irregular and somewhat scandalous conduct of the whig party during the preceding administration, and especially during the latter months of Lord Grey's government. This conduct had created a great mass of public prejudice against them. Notwithstanding the reform of parliament and the august renown of its apparent author, the whigs had contrived in a very brief space to lose the opinion of a country which at the termination of 1830, it was supposed by many, they might have ruled for half a century. A series of strange incidents, of startling changes, and almost inexplicable intrigues, had perplexed, alarmed, and disgusted the

middle class. The champions of popular opinion seemed involved in cabals, and eventually as it appeared against their own venerable chief, while the ministers upheld by national sympathies were in dark but baffled confederation with an Irish section, not viewed without distrust even by the great body of the liberal party.

Unquestionably the main cause of this strange and unexpected state of affairs was the unfitness of the respectable Lord Spencer for the leading office which he occupied. Private integrity and public honour are qualities, it is to be hoped, which will never be underrated in our free, parliamentary life; but they are qualities which are not sufficient in the revolutionary hour to control cabinets and senates. His resignation, and immediate resumption of power, followed by the retirement of Lord Grey, have never been explained, though it is charitable to suppose they were the movements of a man distracted by good intentions and difficult circumstances. The impatience of the court, by hurrying the catastrophe, secured to the whigs, after a brief but not inglorious interval for the tories, a lengthened renewal of that power which they had so wantonly abused, and Lord Melbourne with his new cabinet had to encounter all that prejudice which was the consequence of the misconduct of his old one.

The leader of the house of commons in Lord

Melbourne's new cabinet was Lord John Russell, who had, hitherto, taking into consideration his parliamentary experience, his eminent services, and his name, filled comparatively speaking only a subordinate position in the government. When the cabinet of Lord Grey was formed he was not appointed a member of it, and he even, as paymaster of the forces, brought forward the great measure of parliamentary reform as the member of the government most competent to explain and to defend its provisions, without the responsibility of being an adviser of his majesty. The whigs could hardly have treated Mr. Burke worse, and probably, in some degree, from the same cause. Lord John Russell was a man of letters, and it is a common opinion that a man cannot at the same time be successful both in meditation and in action. But in life it is wisest to judge men individually, and not decide upon them by general rules. The common opinion in this instance may be very often correct; but where it fails to apply its influence may involve us in fatal mistakes. A literary man who is a man of action is a two-edged weapon; nor should it be forgotten that Caius Julius and Frederick the Great were both eminently literary characters, and yet were perhaps the two most distinguished men of action of ancient and modern times.

The whigs were so circumstanced after the dissolution of '34 that they could only regain power by a still more intimate alliance with that ultra-montane Irish party, their previous negotiation with which had been the principal cause of their overthrow. Lord John Russell therefore was obliged to commence his career as a principal minister by not only reviving but aggravating the prejudice which already attached to his party in this particular. He obtained power by the assertion of a principle which as a minister he was unable to enforce, and the resumption of office by the whigs was thus secured by a process which, while it was condemned by public opinion, became an enduring evidence of the essential weakness of their administration. Thus the second government of Lord Melbourne was from the first both unpopular and feeble; and this too in the face of a very powerful opposition in parliament and in the country, who could not resist the conclusion that the ministry had obtained their seats under a false pretence; means scarcely within the pale of parliamentary tactics.

Laying aside for a moment this original stain which however tainted all their course, the measures of the Melbourne government were generally moderate, well-matured, and statesmanlike schemes. The conduct of the government until '39 was highly reputable, and well would it have been for the

honour of both parties, if the impending and inevitable change of administration had not then been postponed. During all this period however it must be acknowledged that the whigs encountered "an opposition which never gave a fair construction to, or an impartial consideration of, their measures;" the whigs certainly during this period did not receive fair play; but it was because both parliament and the country from the scandalous transactions of '34 and the reckless manœuvres of '35 thought that they did not deserve it.

But the position of Lord John Russell under these circumstances was different from that of the other principal members of the whig party. Although at this period leader of the house of commons, he had not been even a member of the first reform cabinet, and though tardily preferred to that eminence, can scarcely be held in any degree responsible for that management of the lower house and that guidance of the ministerial councils which, in the space of little more than three years, had succeeded in dissipating a great parliamentary force and in scattering a powerful cabinet. Forced, for the resuscitation of the whig government, to the manœuvre of the appropriation clause, he could scarcely have refrained from deploring the infirm policy which had rendered necessary for a proud and successful party such an abasement: he could

scarcely have withstood contemplating what might perhaps have been his own position and that of the great whig connexion, had he been deemed worthy to fill in '31 the post which he occupied in '36.

In or out of power therefore the position of Lord John Russell since the reform act had been more splendid than satisfactory ; and when the whig party, as was inevitable from their antecedents, but apparently, to his mortification, in consequence of his guidance, was again overthrown, and had lost all credit and confidence with the country, it was to be expected that a man of his thoughtful ambition would seek when the occasion offered to rebuild his power and renew the lustre of his reputation with no superstitious deference to that party of which he was the victim as much as the idol, and with no very punctilious consideration for the feelings of that conservative government which had certainly extended to him an opposition neither distinguished by its generosity nor its candour.

Such was the man ; such his fortunes, such perhaps his feelings ; who was watching in a distant city in the autumn of '45 "four cabinet councils held in a week." To one so experienced in political life, and especially to one so intimately acquainted with the personal character of the chief actors, it was not difficult to form some conclusion as to

the nature of these momentous deliberations. When the cabinet dispersed and parliament was again prorogued, it was evident, to use the subsequent expression of Lord John Russell, that the policy decided on was a policy of inaction. It is in the season of perplexity, of hesitation, of timidity, of doubt, that leading minds advance to decide and to direct. Now was the moment to strike. And without consulting his party which for the first time he really led and with no false delicacy for a conservative cabinet in convulsions, he expressed his opinions on public affairs in that celebrated Edinburgh epistle, which was addressed on the 28th of November, to his constituents, the citizens of London.

CHAPTER II.

THE proceedings of those four councils in a week, which in November '45 agitated England, perplexed the sagacious Tuileries, and disturbed even the serene intelligence of the profound Metternich, are no longer a secret.

The prime minister of England, in bringing before the consideration of his colleagues the temporary measures which in his judgment a menaced exigency required, intimated to them at the same time his change of opinion as to the principles on which our commercial system ought to be established, entirely rejecting those conclusions, the maintenance of which only four years before had raised him, after an official ostracism of ten years, to the

pinnacle of power by a national demonstration scarcely inferior to the triumph of Mr. Pitt in 1783. This momentous conversion had for some time been known to more than one of his principal colleagues who sympathized with his mutability, and had perhaps been suspected by most, who however were not anxious to press for any definite disclosures, so long as his defection was limited to the domain of speculation. Sir Edward Knatchbull however, who seceded in silence both from the cabinet and parliament in the previous year, when pressed for the cause of his retirement by an anxious friend confidentially replied that he could not remain with satisfaction and felt that he could not long remain with honour, and that at his time of life he shrank from again mixing in the bitter strife which attended the break-up of a great party from the defection of its leaders. It was the wish and perhaps the hope of Sir Robert Peel to have postponed the public announcement of his recantation until the opinion of the country might at a general election have been again constitutionally taken on the subject of protection. The present parliament was fast waning, and had low prices and abundant harvests continued to prevail, Sir Robert Peel was prepared until the dissolution, still to occupy the ostensible post of a protectionist minister. Perhaps he was sanguine that during the interval the national judgment

which had been so unequivocally expressed in '41 might be greatly modified, and that it was not impossible he might ultimately be established as a triumphant minister to revoke the very policy which he had previously been selected to defend and confirm.

But he was "precluded from taking a course which would have been most agreeable to his personal feelings." The menaced occurrence of a great calamity rendered it necessary in his opinion that the government should take some steps to secure the provision of the people. "There appeared to be a great and pressing danger." The cabinet was summoned on the 1st of November to consider what steps should be taken for the relief of the distress in Ireland. That was the avowed object of their assembling and the announced subject for their discussion. Papers were laid before the ministers representing the failure of the potato crop and the general anxiety that consequently prevailed; and then the chief minister, after dilating much upon the perilous position of Ireland and the necessity of adopting immediate steps for her succour, entered into a lengthened detail of the result of the harvest in foreign countries which he represented to be generally deficient. It appeared that several European governments had already taken steps to prevent the export of supplies, and to permit and encourage

import ; that Belgium had cleared the market of Liverpool of rice in one day, thereby occasioning a rise in the price of that article to the amount of 75 per cent. Under these circumstances there were two courses which in the opinion of the first minister were open to the cabinet, neither of which was without precedent ; and that was either by an order in council, or by calling parliament together within a fortnight, to suspend all restrictions upon the importation of foreign corn. Sir Robert Peel stated that he preferred the first course. He was for issuing at once an order in council, because that would save time ; but he did not insist upon this although as the head of the government he was prepared to take the responsibility of such a measure : he should be content if the cabinet would agree to call parliament together immediately, and recommend from the throne the instant suspension of the import duties on foreign corn, at the same time intimating his opinion that after such a suspension, it might be neither possible nor expedient to re-enact the existing law.

This statement of the first minister was coldly received by the great majority of the cabinet, and it was evident that neither of his suggestions was deemed satisfactory. Lord Stanley, then secretary of state, familiar with the condition of Ireland and the habits of the Irish, analyzed with searching

criticism the statements as to that country, and the effect of the proposed remedy on the cultivation of its soil. In the first place, he denied the possibility of the government, or of any one else, forming any judgment at the end of October, as to the prospect of scarcity in Ireland. Not a third of the potatoes could have at that time been dug up. He impressed upon his colleagues the wide distinction there was between famine and great local and individual distress. He admitted that a total or a partial failure of the potato crop would involve in absolute destitution the whole body of small cottiers, who were not like the English husbandmen in the receipt of wages, but who had invested their labour and all their resources in the cultivation of some small plot of ground, for which they paid a high rent. If the produce of that failed their stock of provisions was gone, and having no means of employment they could not purchase food to replace the crop which was wanting. But the repeal of a corn law could not aid them now. What they required was not reduction of price, but the absolute means of purchase. But while this was the condition of the Irish cottiers, what would be the effect of a suspension of import duties on a class not less important even in numbers, a class comprising with their families not less than between five and six millions of the population ; namely, the small farmers

of Ireland? Fifteen acres in Ireland was called a large farm. These cultivators of the soil grew oats and potatoes. What was their compensation for the failure of the latter crop? The success of their superior one, of which they had this year a superabundance at a very fair price. This afforded them means of sustaining themselves; and by way of relieving this class when they have lost one crop, the present proposition was to inflict a further injury by reducing the price of their other. Therefore, as far as the instance of Ireland should sway them, Lord Stanley gave it as his opinion that they should not adopt either of the recommendations of the chief minister. But Sir Robert Peel had entered into other considerations. He had referred to the state of the harvest in England also, and had specially called their attention to the apprehended deficiency of the continent; foreign countries, it appeared, were even prohibiting the export of their produce. But it appeared to Lord Stanley that this was rather an additional reason against opening their ports, because the effect of such a step is always to stimulate consumption: yet to stimulate consumption while foreign nations prohibited export seemed hardly a prudent course.

These views were strongly supported by the Duke of Wellington, "who considered the proposition of the first minister was a measure which was not

necessary to be adopted," and the great majority of the cabinet were of the same opinion, the two secretaries of state and the secretary at war being the only ministers who maintained the project of Sir Robert Peel. It was therefore apparently abandoned: the cabinet entering into the consideration of other measures calculated to meet the emergency. They unanimously agreed to appoint a commission consisting of the heads of the departments in Ireland to take precautionary steps against a sudden occurrence of distress; and they separated on the 6th of November.

On the 22nd of that month appeared the Edinburgh letter in which Lord John Russell announced the danger of the country and condemned the inertness of the government. In the inability of the queen's ministers, it became the queen's subjects to consider how they might avert calamities of no ordinary magnitude. Two evils required their consideration: the disease in the potatoes, and the corn law of '42, "in which the duties were so contrived that the worse the qualities of the corn the higher was the duty. Thus the corn barometer points to fair, while the ship is bending under a storm."

Lord John confessed that on the general subject his views had in the course of twenty years undergone a great alteration. He had for several years

endeavoured to obtain a compromise on the subject. In 1839 he had voted for a committee of the whole house, with the view of supporting the substitution of a moderate fixed duty for the sliding scale. Two years after as minister he had proposed a fixed duty of eight shillings per quarter. Even in the past session he had made another effort. These propositions were successively rejected, and thus he sketches the cause of those rejections :

“The present first lord of the treasury met them in 1839, '40, and '41, by eloquent panegyrics on the existing system—the plenty it had caused, the rural happiness it had diffused. He met the propositions for diminished protection in the same way in which he had met the offer of securities for protestant interests in 1817 and 1825—in the same way in which he met the proposal to allow Manchester, Leeds, and Birmingham to send members to parliament in 1830. The result of resistance to qualified concessions must be the same in the present instance as in those I have mentioned. It is no longer worth while to contend for a fixed duty.”

This letter ended by an appeal to all classes to unite and to agitate in order to put an end to the system. “The government appear to be waiting for some excuse to give up the present corn law. Let the people afford them the excuse they seek.”

A cabinet council was the consequence of this letter.

According to the view of the first minister, the letter of his rival had "materially affected his position." The policy which he proposed on the 1st of November, had it been adopted by his colleagues, would have appeared as the policy of a united cabinet acting under the sense of a great necessity. But an order for opening the ports on the 26th would seem but a servile adoption of the course recommended by the whig leader. Yet notwithstanding this disadvantage, Sir Robert Peel was not prepared to "abandon the post of danger," provided a united cabinet would support the policy which he now recommended ; and that was an early summoning of parliament, for the purpose of proposing a virtual abrogation of the corn laws.

Strange to say, the same cabinet that on the 1st of the month had refused by a large majority to consent to an order in council for the suspension of a law, because they deemed that suspension might be a bar to its re-enactment, were now almost unanimously prepared to take even a stronger step, and that with a view to the virtual abrogation of the same law. Nor could this change be accounted for by any aggravation in the interval of the economic circumstances of the case. It was, confessedly by the highest authority, respecting "the possibility of

a great calamity," and not the calamity itself that they were deliberating; "a calamity lighter than was at one time dreaded," as Lord John Russell admitted on the meeting of parliament in January '46; and ultimately the expected evil never arrived in the season when it was awaited. Yet such was the inexplicable influence of the manifesto of the whig leader, that Lord Stanley, when he enforced the opinions which he had expressed at the beginning of the month, found himself with only one, and that one not a very vehement supporter.

The minister who submitted to be overruled at the beginning of the month was now dictating his measures with the menace of resignation. The situation was undoubtedly grave, and the disruption of the government at such a moment was not calculated to diminish the difficulties of the country. A united cabinet demands great efforts and deserves great sacrifices. Lord Stanley was prepared to make both. He felt deeply and painfully the prospect of separation from his colleagues; he felt more deeply and more painfully the awful responsibility which he found now was about to devolve singly on himself. The illustrious example of the Duke of Wellington was at hand. His grace had not changed his opinions. Yet for the sake of maintaining a united cabinet he was prepared to change his policy. Pressed on all hands, Lord Stanley

requested eight-and-forty hours for his decision. It was therefore neither an intemperate nor a precipitate one. For two more days an anxious and impenetrable mystery involved the councils of the queen. At the end of them the ministers again met and received the decision of their scrupulous colleague. So forcibly did he feel the importance of unanimity in the cabinet, and so strongly was he convinced of the injury done by the breaking up of any government, that although entertaining serious doubts whether a suspension of the corn laws and the opening of the ports would be of avail, or might not even be injurious, he yielded his opinion, and was prepared to consent to that suspension provided suspension was alone intended. But when he was told that the temporary emergency of apprehended scarcity in Ireland was not to lead to a remedy commensurate in duration with the expected evil, but was to be made the ground-work of suspending, for the purpose of not re-enacting, the corn law, he felt that he could not take that course consistently with his own feelings as an honourable man ; and that with such ulterior views, to propose to parliament to sanction the opening of the ports would be to lead those who were disposed to support the government into a snare. He said that he had tried to school himself into the belief that, under certain circumstances, the interests of the country

might require even a sacrifice of personal and public character, but he had failed in bringing himself to so humiliating a conclusion. Upon this the government was broken up.

Here arises an interesting inquiry. In his subsequent statements in parliament, Sir Robert Peel more than once expressed his feeling that, whatever his conviction, he was not the person who ought to propose a repeal of the corn laws. When Sir Robert Inglis, in a tone of dignified reproach, regretted that the measure if carried at all was not carried by those who for years had been its advocates, and not by those who till the last three months had been all along its opponents, Sir Robert Peel admitted the justice of the comment but vindicated himself on the ground that he had himself so wished it, and had only failed from the inability of the whigs to form a government. In his explanatory statement on the re-assembling of Parliament, Sir Robert said that he had "to choose between organizing a decided and interminable opposition to all change with respect to the corn laws, and undertaking, if the noble lord felt himself unable to undertake it, the foundation of final legislation on the subject." A strictly constitutional, and perfectly honourable proceeding. But if this be an accurate description of the feelings and principles of the first minister, how came he, a week before

he gave the opportunity to Lord John Russell, to attempt to induce his own cabinet to carry the repeal? This inquiry was frequently urged by a supporter of Lord George Bentinck during the important and unexpectedly protracted debates to which the government proposition gave rise, and always occasioned great embarrassment to the minister. Indeed, it was the only point which he never contrived successfully to encounter, though it was not from want of efforts. He himself more than once recurred to it, for he was tenderly alive to the necessity of parliamentary explanations in the long run. He seldom left a weak point in his public conduct without a plausible vindication. In the present instance he once even gave formal notice to the house that he was about to explain this mysterious passage, though at the cost of reading a confidential minute of the cabinet. But the highly interesting state paper left the knot unsolved, and there appears to be only one solution left for the critical historian—namely, that when Sir Robert Peel was of opinion that the corn laws must be repealed, he was resolved to be the repealer. Almost at the moment when he was about to be driven from office, in the middle of June '46, Sir Robert said: "If it is asserted, that I wished to interfere in the settlement of this question by the noble Lord opposite, that is the foulest calumny which the

vindictive imagination of a political opponent ever dictated." What was his wish to interfere in this manner which broke up his cabinet on the 6th of December, 1845?

The whig leader seemed somewhat astonished at the result of his manifesto when he was sent for by the queen on the 8th of December. The summons found him still at Edinburgh, and he was not in the royal presence until the 11th. Eight days passed in somewhat hesitating attempts to form a cabinet by a chief who evidently had no confidence in the strength of his party either in parliament or in the country. A negotiation to obtain a pledge of support from Sir Robert Peel, though ingeniously managed, naturally failed. Lord John Russell felt that his position was premature. No doubt he sincerely regretted that the scruple of a solitary colleague should have deprived his rival of the glory and the odium of settling the question. Yet the country had been eight days without a government, and honour and public spirit seemed to require that the statesman should devote himself, however perilous the occasion. Lord John therefore with becoming and characteristic resolution determined to undertake the government without a parliamentary majority and with no enthusiasm out of doors, when suddenly he was relieved from almost overwhelming embarrassments by the scandal

of an intrigue among his own friends against one of his projected secretaries of state. The intrigue was neither contrived with dexterity nor conducted with temper, but it extricated the whig leader from a false position. Availing himself with happy readiness of the distressing incident, he endured the mortification of confessing to his sovereign his inability to serve her, and handed back with courtesy the poisoned chalice to Sir Robert.

Thus was Sir Robert Peel appointed, for the third time, prime minister of England: and apparently confirmed in power with no prospect of his authority being successfully impugned. His position was so strong, that many, not without justice, deemed it impregnable. He had forced those of his colleagues who were still in favour of a protective system publicly to acknowledge that they were unable to carry on the government of the country on such principles, and, with one distinguished exception, even practically to embark in his new and contrary course, while at the same time he had committed the whigs to an absolute and unqualified adoption of the scheme of the Manchester confederation, a project odious to the great body of the parliament. All this too, while he reserved to himself the power of adopting that middle course which was ever congenial to him, and of devising some plan which while it apparently doomed the

protective principle postponed its entire extinction, leaving a fragment for the chapter of accidents to deal with, and which, if destined ultimately to perish, might still in the interval occupy the speculation and perhaps enlist the sympathies of his former followers. Those among them, and it was supposed that they were not inconsiderable in numbers, who were anxious to maintain their allegiance to him unbroken, were furnished with a valid excuse for their fidelity by the fact ostentatiously circulated that his protesting colleagues had when the opportunity was offered shrunk from the responsibility of officially vindicating their opinions, while even the most deeply offended, they who at the first burst were keen and eager to strike and be avenged, were so irritated by the manifesto of the whig leader, that on reflection they were little disposed to reward Lord John Russell for his extreme movement by installing him in office on the ruins of his rival. And thus it happened that after all this tumult there was a fair prospect that the impending meeting of parliament would be a comparatively tranquil and uneventful one ; if not serene at least only sullen ; the results anticipated, the conclusion foregone. This was the general conviction around all those hospitable hearths which at the season in question brighten throughout the land, where the prospects of the ensuing parliamentary campaign are freely canvassed, and form in this political country a source of diversion scarcely less interesting than the sports of the field and

the festivities of the season. The resuscitated administration themselves were in high spirits : the foreign ministers wrote to their courts in a similar strain ; and a witty diplomatist communicated to an illustrious personage the opinion of a member of the government, that " it would only be a fat cattle opposition, and that the protectionists would be unable to keep up the debate for two nights."

One man alone brooded in indignation over the unexampled scene, and he was one who from the little interest he had previously taken in political life could not have occurred to the government as a possible opponent. Lord George Bentinck had sat for eighteen years in parliament, and before he entered it had been for three years the private secretary of Mr. Canning who had married the sister of the Duchess of Portland. Such a post would seem a happy commencement of a public career ; but whether it were the untimely death of his distinguished relative or a natural indisposition, Lord George—though he retained the seat for King's Lynn, in which he had succeeded his uncle, the late governor-general of India—directed his energies to other than parliamentary pursuits. For some time he had followed his profession, which was that of arms, but in late years he had become absorbed in the pastime and fortunes of the turf, in which his whole being seemed engrossed, and which he pursued on a scale that perhaps has never been equalled.

Lord George had withdrawn his support from the government of the Duke of Wellington when the friends of Mr. Canning quitted that administration ; and when in time they formed the not least considerable portion of the cabinet of Lord Grey he resumed his seat on the ministerial benches. On that occasion an administrative post was offered him and declined ; and on subsequent occasions similar requests to him to take office were equally in vain. Lord George therefore was an original and hearty supporter of the reform bill, and he continued to uphold the whigs in all their policy until the secession of Lord Stanley, between whom and himself there subsisted warm personal as well as political sympathies. Although he was not only a friend to religious liberty, as we shall have occasion afterwards to remark, but always viewed with great sympathy the condition of the roman catholic portion of the Irish population, he shrank from the taint of the ultra-montane intrigue. Accompanying Lord Stanley, he became in due time a member of the great conservative opposition, and as he never did anything by halves became one of the most earnest, as he certainly was one of the most enlightened, supporters of Sir Robert Peel. His trust in that minister was indeed absolute, and he has subsequently stated in conversation that when towards the end of the session of '45, a member of the tory party ven-

tured to predict and denounce the impending defection of the minister, there was no member of the conservative party who more violently condemned the unfounded attack, or more readily impugned the motives of the assailant.

He was not a very frequent attendant of the house. He might be counted on for a party division, and when, towards the termination of the Melbourne ministry, the forces were very nearly balanced and the struggle became very close, he might have been observed on more than one occasion entering the house at a late hour, clad in a white great-coat which softened, but did not conceal, the scarlet hunting coat.

Although he took no part in debate, and attended the house rather as a club than a senate, he possessed a great and peculiar influence in it. He was viewed with interest and often with extraordinary regard by every sporting man in the house. With almost all of these he was acquainted; some of them, on either side, were his intimate companions and confederates.

His eager and energetic disposition; his quick perception, clear judgment, and prompt decision; the tenacity with which he clung to his opinions; his frankness and love of truth; his daring and speculative spirit; his lofty bearing, blended as it was with a simplicity of manner very remarkable; the ardour of his friendships, even the fierceness of his hates and pre-

judices; all combined to form one of those strong characters who whatever may be their pursuit must always direct and lead.

Nature had clothed this vehement spirit with a material form which was in perfect harmony with its noble and commanding character. He was tall, and remarkable for his presence; his countenance almost a model of manly beauty; the face oval, the complexion clear and mantling; the forehead lofty and white; the nose aquiline and delicately moulded; the upper lip short. But it was in the dark-brown eye that flashed with piercing scrutiny that all the character of the man came forth: a brilliant glance, not soft, but ardent, acute, imperious, incapable of deception or of being deceived.

Although he had not much sustained his literary culture, and of late years at any rate had not given his mind to political study, he had in the course of his life seen and heard a great deal, and with profit. Nothing escaped his observation; he forgot nothing and always thought. So it was that on all the great political questions of the day he had arrived at conclusions which guided him. He always took large views and had no prejudices about things whatever he might indulge in as to persons. He was always singularly anxious to acquire the truth and would spare no pains for that purpose; but when once his

mind was made up, it was impossible to influence him.

In politics, he was a whig of 1688, which became him, modified however by all the experience of the present age. He wished to see our society founded on a broad basis of civil and religious liberty. He retained much of the old jealousy of the court, but had none of popular franchises. He was for the established church, but for nothing more, and very repugnant to priestly domination. As for the industrial question, he was sincerely opposed to the Manchester scheme, because he thought that its full development would impair and might subvert our territorial constitution, which he held to be the real security of our freedom, and because he believed that it would greatly injure Ireland, and certainly dissolve our colonial empire.

He had a great respect for merchants, though he looked with some degree of jealousy on the development of our merely foreign trade. His knowledge of character qualified him in a great degree to govern men, and if some drawbacks from this influence might be experienced in his too rigid tenacity of opinion, and in some quickness of temper, which however always sprang from a too sensitive heart, great compensation might be found in the fact that there probably never was a human being so entirely

devoid of conceit and so completely exempt from selfishness. Nothing delighted him more than to assist and advance others. All the fruits of his laborious investigations were always at the service of his friends without reserve or self-consideration. He encouraged them by making occasions for their exertions, and would relinquish his own opportunity without a moment's hesitation, if he thought the abandonment might aid a better man.

CHAPTER III.

PARLIAMENT met on the 22nd January, 1846. The session was opened by her majesty in person. The pivot of the royal speech was Ireland ; its frequent assassinations, and the deficiency of its principal crop. Remedial measures in both respects were intimated, and in both respects these suggestions exercised the greatest influence on the proceedings of the session. A general eulogy of recent commercial legislation was followed by a vague recommendation to consider whether the advantageous principles on which it had been founded might not be more extensively applied.

The debate on the address in the upper house was extremely bald. Instead of receiving those explanations which are usual on the change or the reconstruction of a ministry, and which the frankness of our parliamentary government not only justifies but requires, the Duke of Richmond was met by a strange

on from the Duke of Wellington, administered, stonishment of both sides of the house, by eproof, which, if it meant anything, meant government represented by the illustrious had not received the accustomed permission vereign to reveal circumstances which their privy councillors bound them without such to keep secret. What made this more was that the prime minister in the lower allowed by Lord John Russell, was at the e entering into all the desired details, while isdowne on the part of the whigs, and Lord on the part of his own "personal consistency our," felt bound to state, in the presence of e duke, that they had respectively solicited ved the permission of her majesty to make tomed statements, though in consequence of lar and unexpected declaration of the Duke of on, they of course felt it more decorous for ent to be silent. No explanation was subse- given of this remarkable incident, and the vindication of Lord Stanley which the whole wished to receive was actually never made bill for the repeal of the corn laws reached e of lords, four months afterwards.

lower house the scene was different. It ded, and a night of animated and protracted a was expected. Before the address was

moved, notice was given by Sir Robert Peel of the intention of the government on the earliest possible day to submit to the consideration of the house measures connected with the commercial and financial affairs of the country. The address was to be moved by Lord Francis Egerton, the member for South Lancashire, and to be seconded by Mr. Beckett Denison, the member for the West Riding. This was well arranged. It was originally projected that this latter post should have been occupied by Mr. Stuart Wortley, the eldest son of the lord president, but the death of that nobleman precipitated, if not occasioned, by the agitation of the crisis, had deprived his heir of the fulfilment of a duty which would have been still more significant in his hands than in those of his colleague. Mr. Beckett Denison was only the victorious champion of protection in the West Riding over the accomplished Lord Morpeth; but for Mr. Stuart Wortley had been reserved the high distinction of proposing in the newly elected parliament of 1841 the declaration of want of confidence in a government which presumed to mitigate a tariff.

Twenty years had elapsed since Lord Francis Egerton had moved the address in answer to the speech under the auspices of Mr. Canning. He may be said to have concluded his career in the house of commons by the same ceremony with which it had commenced. Yet for him the interval had not

been without distinction, and in the present instance he brought to the performance of a difficult duty a character and a position which sustained each other, and unquestionably gave aid and authority to the government. Lord Francis was never a commonplace speaker, and on this occasion he travelled out of the routine with judgment and taste. Disdaining the usual but legitimate plea, that, in responding to the invitation of the crown to consider particular laws, he was not bound to approve the measures which might subsequently be proposed by the minister, he at once entered into the whole question and candidly announced to the house his conversion to the principles of the Manchester confederation. "Accident," he said, "had cast his lot in the midst of a dense population, with respect to a large portion of which the same accident had made him a distributor of work and wages; and he had seen the operation of what he believed to be the connexion between the prices of provisions, and the happiness and employment of the people in various conditions." But the same "accident," we believe, had placed the noble lord in a similar position previous to the attempt to reform the tariff by the whigs in 1841, and he had possessed the mournful opportunity of witnessing the same phenomena when the industrious districts which he represented and in which he resided were paralysed by the commercial derangements of the United States. Lord

Francis however made a graceful apology for a somewhat graceless line of conduct. It was evident that he was cognizant of the government scheme. Mr. Beckett Denison however professed his absolute ignorance of it; a declaration which was received with some derision at the time, but the truth of which was demonstrated by the ingenuous indignation with which he subsequently denounced the project when the house became aware of its nature. The government however gained on this important night the apparent authority of the West Riding.

Great speculation was afloat as to the course of debate when on the conclusion of the speech of the seconder the question should be put by Mr. Speaker. Would the Manchester confederation advance to seal their triumph or demand more specific details of the ministerial policy? Would the whigs at once, by the mouth of their leader, give to the house the reasons why they had not succeeded in forming a government when summoned by their sovereign, or would some eminent and "large-acred" member of their connexion publicly announce the adhesion of their party to the spontaneous and startling manifesto of Lord John Russell? What would the tory country-gentlemen do? What the great bulk of the still huge conservative party—the shipping members, the sugar members, the home-trade town members? Would the converts be silent? Would they prudently rest con-

tant with the elegant excuses of Lord Francis, or come forward like men, and bear their share of the awful brunt?

A practised observer of debate would have anticipated the first move from the country party, for the silence of Manchester rather assisted the minister who was playing their game, and reserve seemed the natural course of the whigs until ministerial explanations required an opposition revelation as a rejoinder. But the country party, although they possessed in the members for Somersetshire, and Dorsetshire, and Lincolnshire, and several others, gentlemen of high standing in the house, and fully capable to represent the opinions of their friends, were entirely without concert and discipline. The great portion indeed had only just arrived from their counties, where they had remained to the last moment, reluctantly rejoining a scene which after what had occurred during the recess could only bring to them mortification; where they could only witness the triumph of bitter antagonists and be placed in painful collision with men whom they personally regarded; who in private life were their companions, and whose establishment in power and public authority had been the labour and pride of their lives.

Many of these gentlemen were members of the protection society over which presided the Duke of

Richmond, a society doubtless favourable to counsel and combination. But the ministerial crisis having occurred at a season of the year when its members were dispersed, no effective gathering of this association had been possible, and most of its parliamentary members met for the first time since those remarkable events on the floor of the house of commons. The name of Lord George Bentinck was not even enrolled in this society: with the exception of some of his intimates at a country house, he had communicated to no one his intention of resisting the government schemes. He too had only just arrived in town, and sate below the gangway at the head of the third bench, with a stern look and a glittering eye, watching the treasury bench as an eagle would his quarry.

Mr. Speaker read the address which was an echo of the speech amid the buzz of general conversation. This ceremony occupied some minutes. Suddenly, as he closed it, there was a dead silence, followed by the rustling of attention. Every one ceased in the midst of the sentence he was uttering: the first minister had risen.

The speech was not distinguished by that clear conception, that lucid arrangement, and that prudential management, which were characteristic of the general style of Sir Robert Peel. On the contrary, although the occasion was critical and long awaited the statement though elaborate was

confused, and in some parts even contradictory. He acknowledged at once he was taking an unusual course in availing himself of the very earliest opportunity of giving that explanation which at no remote period the house would require of him. He would fain hope that it would not obstruct the course of the discussion on the address. But if no consideration of public advantage could justify him in taking this course, he was sure that the generous feelings of the house would deem his desire natural. He did not conceal that he felt much hurt at having been accused on vague surmise and having been condemned without a hearing: strange expressions, which for a moment imparted a ray of hope to some credulous bosoms among his former supporters. But when he proceeded to a promised detail of the circumstances which had led to the resignation of the government, and acknowledged that, although the failure of the potato crop was the immediate and proximate cause of that event, it would be unfair and uncandid in him to attach undue importance to that particular cause, for, in homage to the progress of reason and of truth, he would not deny that his opinions on the subject of protection had changed—they began to ask themselves what vague surmise could be more horrible than the reality, and why he should wish to be heard previous to condemnation, when his vindica-

that was an aggravated denial of the offence of which he was accused.

He had, having given on the earliest day on which he was present notice of his intention to submit to the consideration of the house measures connected with the commercial and financial affairs of the country, his own determination on this occasion to enter into a general discussion: his desire was to distinguish a great political question from a mere personal and party one, to keep the personal matters distinct from the great question itself. Yet with this object in view, his "own determination" he entered into a long and, for the most part, uninteresting discussion, replete with digressions, in the defence of the tariff alterations of the late Government. Not content with this, soaring to a height of enthusiasm over him to the highest point of abstraction, he speculated whether the *a priori* presumption were in favour of the principle of protection or of unrestricted import. He gravely demonstrated to his still astonished followers that "the natural presumption is in favour of unrestricted importation." He even discussed whether the rate of wages varied with the price of food, and whether a large debt and heavy taxation are best encountered by abundance and cheapness of provisions. With a "firm determination not to anticipate discussion," he proceeded to an inquiry whether employment, low

es, and abundance, contribute to the diminution of crime. He could no longer resist the inference they did. As if any human being ever resisted inference, or ever drew an opposite conclusion. Finally, this eulogium of the effect of low prices was insistently closed by a variety of details, proving the consequence of all preceding reductions of tariff had been very greatly to increase the prices of all articles thereby affected.

All this time the house was on the tenter for the promised detail of the circumstances which had led to the resignation of the government; they postponed the "personal matters" to which they had previously informed this night was to be devoted by one who was "desirous to keep the party question distinct from the great political question itself," for which the earliest possible day was reserved. Instead of that the bewildered house was listening once more to lucid narratives of the price of flax and wool, previous and subsequent to recent changes in customs, some dissertation on domestic lard, the contract price for salt beef for the navy, and the importation of foreign cattle. It was, after all, "a cattle debate" again, and the audience almost began to believe, seeing Sir Robert once more at his box and Lord John looking as disconsolate as usual opposite to him, that the huge vagaries of the evening were but a hideous dream, and that

instead of defection and perfidy, the great conservative party were only going through that gradual process of decomposition and destruction to which, for the last four or five years, they had been accustomed, and which, judging from their demeanour, it would seem they rather liked.

Some fine judges have recognised in all this only the artifice of a consummate master of the house of commons, lowering the tone of an excited assembly by habitual details, and almost proving by his accustomed manner of addressing them that after all he could have done nothing very extraordinary. When a senate after a long interval and the occurrence of startling transactions assembles if not to impeach, at least to denounce, a minister, and then are gravely anointed with domestic lard, and invited to a speculation on the price of salt pork, an air of littleness is irresistibly infused into the affair from which it seems hopeless to extricate the occasion.

But now the great speaker again approached the promised explanations, and there was a renewed rustling of renovated attention. He commenced by stating that there were two important periods in giving this explanation, to which he must draw attention; first, the period which elapsed between the 1st of November 1845, and the 6th of that month; secondly, the period which elapsed between the 25th of November and the 6th of December.

And then professing to adduce the evidence on which the conduct of the government was founded, he commenced a series of extracts of correspondence, from ambassadors and lords-lieutenant, from consuls and chairmen of excise, from anonymous salesmen in London, unknown chaplains to houses of correction, and secretaries of Irish agricultural societies ; all read without the slightest discrimination between the two periods so specifically separated, and which as bearing on the contrary opinion of the cabinet at the different periods was of vital importance, yet all so mixed up together, so minute in detail, and so various in the sources, ranging from Alexandria to Riga and from Riga to Dublin, that it was quite impossible for those who followed him most attentively in the debate to ascertain what were the materials of judgment from the 1st to the 6th November, and what the new revelations which awaited the re-assembling of the 26th.

Just at the moment when the house seemed most exhausted, after a long extract from the report of Professor Lindley and Doctor Playfair, the minister slid into an avowed narrative of the cabinet councils of November and all their consequences ; yet still the two periods of November were so blended together, that no one could clearly discriminate between the occurrences of the 6th and the transactions of the later period ; whether, for example, his protesting

colleagues had offered conditionally to consent to the opening of the ports on the first occasion, and whether that condition being the due revival of the corn law, the first minister had declined to engage himself to that extent. Nevertheless the statement being full of personal details the house, though perplexed, listened with interest, which heightened to excitement as the minister approached with evident emotion the concluding part of his observations.

Having stated at the commencement of his speech that "the recollection of great indulgence and great confidence had effaced his temporary feelings of irritation at being unjustly condemned," he recurred to the imputations to which he had before referred, but no longer with that air of mournfulness, almost humility which had characterised his opening. Although he had then declared that he should make no allusions to particular expressions or particular accusations, he suddenly broke into a fierce reply to the statement of the Duke of Richmond, still ringing in the ears of the country, that the party which had elevated him to his present position was powerful enough also to displace him. Turning round with great scorn to his former supporters and with an expression of almost malignant haughtiness, he exclaimed, "I see constantly put forth allusions to the power of those men to remove me from office." He should therefore define the relation in which he conceived himself to stand with respect to

party and to his sovereign. But dilating on the latter point with considerable feeling, and full perhaps of an important subject which he was fast approaching, he entirely forgot the former and on this occasion for more interesting topic. He concluded by a vindication of what he held to be true conservative policy in his best style; earnest without being solemn and masculine without turgidity. Yet the well-considered conclusion contained a somewhat portentous confession for a conservative minister of England—that “it was no easy task to ensure the united action of an ancient monarchy, a proud aristocracy, and a reformed house of commons.”

The first minister was naturally followed by his rival with the authentic statement of whig disasters. We have already referred to some of its remarkable passages, and much of its interest had been necessarily anticipated by the narrative of the first lord of the treasury. The statement was not brief, and the exposition of failures is never very animating. It necessarily comprised the reading of several documents. When the noble lord concluded, the house, which, during the evening had rarely been excited, was tame and dispirited. There had been a general understanding that the great question was not to be entered into on this occasion, and men are not disposed to embark in discussion under such circumstances unless

supported by a disciplined following. It seemed that the curtain was about to fall, and certainly not to the disadvantage of the government. In their position the first night of the session passed in serenity was comparatively a triumph. With the elements of opposition however considerable so inert and desponding, the first night might give the cue to the country. Perceiving this, a member, who, though on the tory benches, had been for two sessions in opposition to the ministry, ventured to rise and attack the minister. The opportune in a popular assembly has sometimes more success than the weightiest efforts of research and reason. The minister, perhaps too contemptuous of his opponents, had not guarded all his approaches. His depreciation of those party ties by which he had risen, in an assembly too in which they are wisely revered; his somewhat ostentatious gratitude for the favour of successive sovereigns; his incautious boast that his conservative government had discouraged sedition and extinguished agitation, when it was universally felt that he was about to legislate on the most important of subjects in deference to agitation; and above all his significant intimation that an ancient monarchy and a proud aristocracy might not be compatible with a reformed house of commons—at least, unless he were minister—offered some materials in the handling of which the least adroit

could scarcely fail. But it was the long-constrained passion of the house that now found a vent far more than the sallies of the speaker that changed the frigid silence of this senate into excitement and tumult.

CHAPTER IV.

THE houses presented their addresses to her majesty on Saturday (24th of January), and on that day a rumour was afloat, or rather it might be said a statement was circulated, of so remarkable a character and so high an authority, that it at once arrested some efforts that were then in contemplation among the principal country gentlemen to organize an opposition or to test the possibility, to use the language of that day, of forming a third party, an achievement hitherto deemed by those learned in parliamentary life as essentially impossible. No less a personage than the Duke of Wellington had stated to one of the most distinguished tory members, that, though the proposition of the minister to be made on the 27th certainly involved some material alteration in the corn laws, yet that at the same time it would confer such great advantages on the landed interest, that

his grace felt convinced that it would prove perfectly satisfactory to all the former followers of the government; and that, when once it was made known, no one would again talk of his having betrayed his friends. This statement, made with much emphasis and scrupulously repeated, produced a considerable sensation, and the next two or three days were spent on speculations on the possible character of these mysterious and compensatory arrangements. The effect of this statement was, as we have mentioned, to suspend any attempt to organise an opposition against the government. All agreed to wait until Tuesday before they decided, and many, one might say most hoped that the exposition of the first minister would have them from a painful and mortifying struggle.

These hopes were confirmed by an extraordinary scene which occurred in the house of lords on the following Monday (January 26), when the Duke of Richmond inquired of the Duke of Wellington, whether his grace had yet received her majesty's permission to state the reasons which induced the government to resign, and afterwards to resume office. The Duke of Wellington answered in the affirmative with great promptness, and proceeded at once with a narrative of the transactions and opinions in question, characterised by all that condensed and idiomatic phraseology which often confers a peculiar interest to his public statements. According to his

grace, he was one of those who gave a very decided resistance to the proposal of the first minister to open the ports at the beginning of November. "I was one of those who considered that it was a measure which was not necessary to be adopted at that time." He thought the means heretofore adopted to meet similar evils amply sufficient; and that if, under the existing corn law, it was desirable that the ports should be opened, the law itself had provided for such an emergency. In the first week of November, there was an "insinuation" that the suspension of the corn law might render the renewal of it at a future period very difficult; "undoubtedly it was intimated that it might be necessary to make an essential alteration in the law." But when the cabinet met at the end of November, the possible necessity of the first minister had become, in the opinion of that personage, an absolute necessity—"an absolute necessity of making an essential alteration in the corn laws." This led to a strong difference of opinion on the subject; "As for my part," continued his grace, amid the sympathising glances of the surrounding peers, "I certainly was of opinion that it was not desirable to make any essential alteration in the present corn law."

Having arrived at this point, his grace proceeded to express the views which he entertained of his duty as a minister; and it would have seemed, that because

"he had served the crown for above fifty years in high public situations," he considered himself exempt from the ordinary rules which regulate political life in this country, and that his fulfilment of duty was to be different from that of any other member of parliament. His grace appeared to be of opinion that neither fidelity to party nor even a conviction of right ought to be permitted to stand for a moment in his way when the assumed convenience of the crown was concerned: sentiments that have certainly never been sanctioned by the present sovereign of England, and which would appear to be more becoming in the mouth of some professional courtier than of one who had been the keen leader of a great parliamentary party and who by their confidence and co-operation had achieved the long-contrived object of his ambition, the premiership of England. On a subsequent and even more memorable occasion in these debates his grace spoke on this head in language still less equivocal, and we will reserve until then any remarks on the propriety and policy of such a tone.

It would seem that, notwithstanding the strong opinions of his grace, the duke was prepared to adopt the propositions of Sir Robert Peel at the end of November which he had successfully resisted at the commencement of that month and used his influence with his colleagues for that purpose. He

"considered it his duty to make every effort to maintain union in the cabinet, as the best service he could render his sovereign." He was, nevertheless, "unfortunate in these efforts;" and when it became a question whether Sir Robert Peel should come down to parliament, and make a proposition for the alteration of the corn laws, "with a divided cabinet, of which the majority were against the proposition," or resign, his grace counselled resignation.

His grace was in the country when he received a letter from Sir Robert Peel announcing that the queen had again sent for his late colleague, that her majesty had desired him to resume his post, and that Sir Robert had determined, happen what might, even if he stood alone as minister of the crown, that he would enable her majesty to meet her parliament. "This being the resolution of my right honourable friend," continued his grace, "I highly applauded his decision, and I determined that I for one would stand by him. I felt it my duty. I was of opinion that the formation of a government in which her majesty would have confidence was of much greater importance than the opinions of any individual on the corn law or any other law." For the sake of clearness, we may here state that his grace concluded his speech this evening by the following words: "Upon that ground my lords, I present myself to your lordships, and I claim from you an acquiescence in the principle I have

laid down, that I positively could not refuse to serve my sovereign when thus called upon." The principle laid down by his grace may be an excellent principle but it is not a principle of the English constitution. To be prepared to serve a sovereign without any reference to the policy to be pursued or even in violation of the convictions of the servant, is not the duty of the subject of a monarchy modified in its operation by the co-ordinate authority of estates of the realm. It is a direct violation of the parliamentary constitution of England, and is a principle which can only be practically carried into effect in the cabinets of absolute monarchs.

The friends of the Duke of Wellington explained these strange passages by the circumstance that it was distinctly made known by Sir Robert Peel to his grace, that if they did not undertake the conduct of the government, her majesty would be under the necessity of sending for Mr. Cobden and his friends. It was to prevent this inexpiable degradation that the Duke of Wellington was prepared to carry on the government, without any regard to the character of the measures of the cabinet. Yet it is difficult to comprehend what constitutional or what moral objection could be urged against the ministerial capacity of a member of the house of commons whom the chief minister himself, a few months later, in terms of unusual and unparliamentary panegyric, hailed, by

name, as the superior to himself and his noble rival, both in political prescience and oratorical accomplishment.

Before however the duke terminated his speech by this singular declaration, he had entered into all that encouraging intimation of the nature of the impending scheme which had already by his conversation out of the house imparted some solace to the disheartened protectionists. The nation learnt to-night from the highest authority that the proposition would be one satisfactory not only to the public in general but to the former followers of Sir Robert Peel in particular: "It contemplated for the landed interest such advantages as the landed interest had a right to expect. When laid on the table, no one would then assert that the first minister had betrayed his duty." These weighty declarations from a leading minister who frankly avowed his disapprobation of any essential change in the corn laws, followed by an unequivocal announcement from no less a personage than Lord Lansdowne, that his opinion in favour of a fixed duty was unchanged, and that he himself only consented to entire abolition of all interference with the corn trade "on condition that it should be accompanied with the removal or alteration of every burden bearing on the landed interest," began to make even the free-traders believe that after all Sir Robert was about to propose some marvellous combination, which while it removed every restriction from the

trade in corn at the same time would place the English producer in an equivalent position with that favoured one which he was about to forfeit. After all the agitation, denunciation, and despair, there were yet hope and lingering faith in the ranks of the country party on Monday night.

The proceedings of the next day in the house of commons were ushered in by a startling occurrence. His royal highness the prince consort, attended by the master of the horse, appeared and took his seat in the body of the house, to listen to the statement of the first minister. Many moderate men on both sides were disquieted by this incident, which seemed unconstitutionally to control the cherished freedom of parliament. Although no minister can introduce a measure into either house without the consent of the crown, such consent is only given in the first instance in the executive capacity of the sovereign. It implies no absolute approbation of the measure but merely signifies the royal pleasure that the two other branches of the legislature should consult upon the merits of the case. As a branch of the legislature whose decision is final, and therefore last solicited, the opinion of the sovereign remains unshackled and uncompromised until the assent of both houses has been received. Nor is this veto of the English monarch an empty form. It is not difficult to conceive the occasion, when supported by the sympathies

of a loyal people, its exercise might defeat an unconstitutional ministry and a corrupt parliament.


Lord George Bentinck was greatly disturbed by what he conceived to be the unfair and the unwise manœuvre of the minister, to give the semblance of the personal sanction of her majesty to a measure which, whatever its result, a large portion, perhaps a majority, of her subjects deemed fraught with ruin to their interests ; and at one moment he was about to appeal to the speaker on the subject. But he was dissuaded from a course which would have been extremely painful by a temperate suggestion from a friend that there might be precedents for this unusual proceeding. With those precedents however he was never furnished, and with the tenacity of purpose which characterized him, he seized a subsequent opportunity when he delivered his opinions on the ministerial project, to animadvert on this step, but in a tone of high-bred dignity and noble freedom.

The speech of the minister was eminently characteristic. While the agitated agriculture of the united kingdom awaited with breathless suspense the formal notification of its doom, wondering at what cunning arguments the policy of its betrayal could be palliated and by what dextrous devices its inevitable consequences might be softened, the minister addressed and pursued at considerate

length to the wondering assembly, an elaborate and argumentative statement, the object of which was to reconcile the manufacturers to the deprival of protection. Reminding them how much had of late been done for them, how the duty had been taken off all the raw materials which constituted the immediate fabric of their productions ; how the opulent classes had subjected themselves to the imposition of an income-tax out of consideration for the permanent prosperity of our manufactures, and principally that it might take off the duty on cotton-wool ; he had resolved to call upon the manufacturers of the three great articles which enter into consumption as the clothing of the great body of the community, to consent to relax the protection at present enjoyed. Considering that this protection of 10 per cent. was notoriously and confessedly merely nominal, the sacrifice did not appear to be too severe, yet the orator seemed scarcely sanguine of inducing his audience to consent to it, and to mitigate the loss retained a considerable protection to the smaller interests with which they were connected. With imperturbable gravity the minister read to the house the passage of Adam Smith in which that eminent writer acknowledges, that "country gentlemen and farmers are, to their great honour, of all people the least subject to the wretched spirit of monopoly," and fixing, with a sort of mournful reprobation,

the manufacturers as the originators of the protective system in this country, the speaker declared, amid the titter of the free traders, which however was solemnly reproved, "that it was but justice, that they should set the example of relinquishing it."

A considerable time elapsed however before the minister seemed to be satisfied that he had persuaded the house, that Manchester, Leeds, and Belfast, might contrive successfully to compete with foreigners in the manufacture of their coarser fabrics. He then approached the consideration of their finer articles with undisguised anxiety, and left them a not contemptible protection. He was still more tender of the interests of the silk manufacturer, though severer on the native industry of the paper-hanger and the coachmaker, against whose high charges he delivered himself of an invective with which even the country gentlemen appeared to sympathize. Still the great subject did not even loom in the distance; and when, after a slight pause, the minister in a tone of some solemnity, said: "I now approach—" there was a murmur of hushed attention, followed when he concluded the sentence, "the manufactures connected with metals," by a laugh of indignant derision, which, with that thorough knowledge of his auditory that no one has yet equalled, he took care to mistake for impatience at his business-like details, on the absolute necessity of



which he deferentially dilated, and obtained an assenting cheer from the opposite benches.

But no inability to endure the dread suspense on the part of his former adherents effected the slightest alteration in the tactics which the consummate master had arranged. He had resolved that a considerable time should elapse before they learnt their doom, and that a due impression should be conveyed to the house and the country, not the less effective because from the minuteness of the details it was somewhat perplexing, that on this night of sacrifices the agricultural classes were not the only victims. And in this he succeeded so well, that even to this day controversies are continually arising as to the nature and degree of protection still retained and enjoyed by the staple manufactures of the country.

This remarkable man who in private life was constrained and often awkward, who could never address a public meeting or make an after-dinner speech without being ill at ease, and generally saying something stilted or even a little ridiculous, in the senate was the readiest, easiest, most flexible and adroit of men. He played upon the house of commons as on an old fiddle. And to night, the manner in which he proceeded to deal with the duties on candles and soap, while all were thinking of the duties on something else ; the bland and conciliatory air with which he announced a reduction of the

impost on boot-fronts and shoe leather; the intrepid plausibility with which he entered into a dissertation on the duties of foreign brandy and foreign sugar; while visions of deserted villages and reduced rentals were torturing his neighbours, were all characteristic of his command over himself, and those whom he addressed.

At length, the agricultural interest having been apprised how much they would gain by the reduction of the duties on seeds, especially clover seed, and how advantageously they might fatten cattle by the introduction of Indian corn, duty free—"I believe it is impossible to over-estimate the importance of promoting the fattening of cattle"—were deemed to be prepared to listen to their fate—to hear that all foreign cattle were in future to be admitted duty free, though of course very few were expected to arrive, that there was to be a partial remission of the duties on butter, cheese, and hops, and a total and immediate repeal of the duties on fresh and salted beef. And then solemnly adjuring his former followers to remember that he had already proposed the removal of protection from those great and important articles of manufacture that are closely connected with the land; trusting that they would bear in mind, that he had called upon the manufacturing interest first to set the example of relinquishing protective duties; that farm-servants, and those over whom they pre-

sided, would thus be enabled to command a greater supply of clothing at a lower rate than heretofore ; though it was notorious that there was no foreign competition with the British manufacturer and therefore these results were impossible ; he announced to them his project of a total repeal of the corn laws. But then, though total, it was not to be immediate. Three years were to elapse before the ports were to be really open. "The next question to be considered is this, what shall be the intermediate state of the law during the continuance of these duties ?" Certainly the next question, and after a statement which had already lasted more than two hours, it was hardly unreasonable to expect that the information should be promptly given.

The house was anxious and restless, even the free-traders looked grave, for there had been some expressions during the last few minutes of an equivocal, not to say alarming, character. But the speaker himself showed no anxiety to approach the catastrophe. He seemed hardly yet to have made up his own mind on the subject. He looked at the opposition with respectful deference, and then to his former adherents almost with a glance of lingering kindness. After a number of ingenious and desultory comments he recurred to the theme : "What, then, I repeat, shall be the nature of the law which is to endure for a limited period ? My

colleagues and myself have approached this question wholly unprejudiced, and with no other object in view than the general advancement and prosperity of the country.' But a quarter of an hour elapsed before the house learnt that even in this last agony of agricultural protection there was still to be a sliding-scale which at the average price of 53s. per quarter was to yield a 4s. duty.

Before the murmur which immediately sounded at this announcement could spread, the house was again chained with an attentive ear to the measures, "not of compensation, but calculated materially to advance the interests of the land," with which it was proposed to accompany "this great present reduction and ultimate extinction of protection." The mysterious promises of the Duke of Wellington were now about to be fulfilled. To be brief, the absolute remission of taxation promised by the scheme amounted exactly to that which the agricultural members had only during the preceding session while in the enjoyment of protection claimed as a right from a minister who had to distribute relief from the surplus of the exchequer: viz. that the government, already liable to one half the expense of the maintenance of prisoners under sentence of felony and misdemeanour, and of the cost of prosecutions—an expenditure incurred for the general advantage of the community—should now relieve the

counties of their peculiar burthen of the other moiety, and allow them merely to pay their due share of it proportionately with the other contributors to the consolidated fund. The whole of this remission, under any circumstances just, including some items too insignificant to dwell upon, did not amount to two hundred and fifty thousand pounds per annum. As far as Ireland was concerned, and "if there were to be any part of the united kingdom which was to suffer by the withdrawal of protection," the minister "had always felt that it would be Ireland," a remission of local taxation similar in amount and character was proffered by the payment on the part of the consolidated fund of the remaining moiety of the police rate, an arrangement which, from placing the police force directly and completely under their control, was admitted by the minister to be an "immense advantage" to the government of the day, and which had already been recommended by the commission over which Lord Devon presided.

But if some disappointment might be experienced from the nature and amount of the mere fiscal relief proposed, there were other measures on which the minister dwelt with far more parade, and which he introduced as "calculated, in his firm belief, materially to advance the interests of the classes connected with the land"—and these important measures were a number three. The first was a compulsory con-

consolidation of the highway trusts, but not a transfer of the burthen to the general revenue of the country; the second, was an alteration in the law of settlement, which subsequently appeared only in the shape of an alteration of the law of removal; the third, was an advance of public money to persons applying for assistance to drain their estates. These advances were to be made, provided the security were unimpeachable; provided the expense of the preliminary survey were at the cost of the applicant, provided the loan were a prior charge on the land; and provided arrangements were made not only for payment of the interest, but of the principal by small annual instalments. The policy of the state advancing money at all for such purposes is very questionable, but on the terms quoted the favour to the borrower would seem scarcely less doubtful. It is hardly to be believed that on such conditions lenders would ever be wanting. So much for one of the great remedial measures. The alteration in the law of settlement was so ill-conceived, that it aggravated the sufferings which it aimed to alleviate and was virtually repealed in the following session. The projected consolidation of the highways never took place.

No degree of rhetorical skill could invest with any semblance of substance these shadowy schemes of compensation. A feeling of blank disappointment

or renewed indignation seemed to pervade the whole conservative benches. Men whose habits made them familiar with the subjects of projected legislation, felt that the limited relief from local taxation was only the fulfilment of an arrangement which had long ago commenced, the completion of a principle already conceded, and at any rate the grant of a boon, which under any circumstances could not have been long delayed; while when they reflected on the more ostentatious proposals, they perceived that they were not the well-digested schemes of one familiar with the details of rural life and legislation, and that they must prove either impracticable or insignificant.

It was agreed that a fortnight should elapse before the introduction of the government measures, in order that the country might become acquainted with their whole scope and bearing. Although therefore several gentlemen indulged in desultory observations until a late hour, any formal discussion was avoided. The only remarkable circumstance was the first rising of Lord George Bentinck. It was to make a brief but pregnant inquiry, and he was observed with great interest. He said that the minister was well aware that the average price of wheat, for the last seven years, was 58*s.* 8*d.*, and presuming that the measures of the government would reduce the price of wheat to 45*s.* per quarter, it would require fully seven years, for the averages on which tithe was to be paid, to

work it down to the 45*s.*: therefore, for a period of seven years, the agricultural interests of the country would be paying tithes on the 58*s.* 8*d.* He wished to know, therefore, whether the minister was prepared to propose any measure which would effectuate an equitable payment of tithes, in the event of 45*s.* being the price of the quarter of wheat, instead of 58*s.* 8*d.*

Sir Robert Peel replied that he did not propose to make any alteration in the law as to tithes, as he did not believe there would be any material alteration in the price of wheat.

CHAPTER V.

THE rising desire to organize some opposition to the government measures which had been arrested by the speech of the Duke of Wellington revived among the country party with increased force after the mortifying miscarriage of their expectations. But an opposition without leaders, without organization, without any party discipline, presented no very terrible appearance, and promised no very considerable consequences. Men who feel however will act. The greater their disadvantages, the more lively was their sense of the injury which they had experienced in being thus suddenly deserted by those who had been the cherished champions of their cause, and the more resolute became their determination to struggle with their difficult fortunes.

There was at that time a metropolitan society for the protection of agricultre of which the Duke of

Richmond was chairman, and which had been established to counteract the proceedings of the Manchester confederation. It was in communication with the local protection societies throughout the country; and although the adhesion to its service by the parliamentary members of the old conservative party had been more limited than might have been expected, nevertheless many county members were enrolled in its ranks, and a few of the most eminent were actively engaged in its management. In this they were assisted by an equal number of the most considerable tenant-farmers. In the present state of affairs, the council of the protection society afforded the earliest and readiest means to collect opinion and methodise action and it was therefore resolved among its managers to invite all members of parliament, who sympathized with their purpose though they might not be members of their society, to attend their meeting and aid them at the present crisis with their counsel.

A compliance with this request occasioned the first public appearance of Lord George Bentinck as one of the organizers of a political party; for he aspired to no more. The question was, whether a third political party could be created and sustained; a result at all times and under any circumstances difficult to achieve, and which had failed even under the auspices of accomplished and experienced statesmen. In the present emergency, was there that degree of outraged

public feeling in the country, which would overcome all obstacles and submit to any inconveniences in order to insure its representation in the house of commons? It was the opinion of Lord George Bentinck that such was the case; that if for the moment that feeling was inert and latent, it was in apathy which arose from the sudden shock of public confidence, and the despair which under such circumstances takes possession of men; that if it could be shown to the country, that the great bulk of the conservative party were true to their faith, and were not afraid, even against the fearful odds which they would have to encounter, to proclaim it, the confidence and the courage of the country would rally, and the party in the house of commons would find external sympathy and support.

With these views it became of paramount importance that the discussion on the government measure should be sustained on the part of the protectionists with their utmost powers. They must prove to the country, that they could represent their cause in debate, and to this end all their energies must be directed. It would be fatal to them if the discussion were confined to one or two nights, and they overborne by the leading and habitual speakers. They must bring forward new men; they must encourage the efforts of those now unrecognized and comparatively unknown; they must overcome all reserve and false shame, and

act as became men called upon to a critical and leading part not by their arrogance or ambition but by the desertion and treachery of those to whose abilities they had bowed without impatience and reluctance. There was a probability of several vacancies immediately taking place in counties where the seats were filled by converts, but men of too scrupulous an honour to retain the charge which they had sought and accepted as the professors of opinions contrary to those which now received their mournful adhesion. The result of these elections would greatly depend upon the spirit and figure of the party in the house of commons in their first encounter with the enemy.

These views, so just and so spirited, advanced with high-bred earnestness by one rarely met in political turmoils and enforced with a freshness and an affable simplicity which were very winning, wonderfully encouraged those to whom they were addressed. All seemed touched by the flame which burnt in the breast of that man so lofty in his thoughts but so humble in his ambition, who counselled ever the highest deeds and was himself ever prepared to undertake the humblest duties

The business of this day was notable. Calculations were made of those who might be fairly counted on to take a part in debate ; some discussion even ensued as to who should venture to reply late at night to the minister ; a committee was appointed to communicate

with all members on either side supposed to be favourable to the principle of protection to the labour of the country; a parliamentary staff was organised not only to secure the attendance of members but to guard over the elections; finally, the form of the amendment to the government measure was discussed and settled, and it was agreed that if possible it should be moved by Mr. Philip Miles, the member for the city of Bristol, and who had the ear of the house not merely from the importance of his constituency, and seconded by Sir William Heathcote, the member for the county of Hampshire, a country gentleman of great accomplishments, and so highly considered by both sides that he was very generally spoken of as a probable successor to the chair.

All was furnished by this lately forlorn party except a leader, and even then many eyes were turned and some hopeful murmurs addressed towards Lord George Bentinck, who in the course of this morning had given such various proofs of his fitness and such evidence of his resource. But he shook his head with a sort of suppressed smile, a faint blush, and an air of proud humility that was natural to him: "I think," he said, "we have had enough of leaders; it is not in my way; I shall remain the last of the rank and file."

During the interval between the statement of the minister and the commencement of the great engagement, Lord Ashley and his colleague, Mr. Sturt,

vacated their seats for the county of Dorset; Mr. Charteris, the member for Gloucestershire, in deference to the remonstrance of his constituents, accepted the Chiltern hundreds; while the county of Nottingham, the native county of the Bentincks, was vacated by Lord Lincoln, a member of the cabinet, who had been promoted from the woods and forests to the chief secretaryship of the lord lieutenant, and who, while accepting this superior office, had expressed his determination again to solicit the confidence of his late constituents.

The importance of carrying all these seats, and especially at this juncture of defeating a cabinet minister, in whose instance however success was supposed to be most problematical, was deeply felt by Lord George, and there was no effort that he spared to achieve his end. It was calculated that if the debate could be kept up for a week, that is, in parliamentary time, four days, the new members for Dorsetshire, where a contest was not anticipated, might take their seats in time to record their votes.

The 9th of February at last arrived. The house was very full. The order of the day for the house going into committee on the "customs—corn laws" was read, and Mr. Philip Miles rose to move as an amendment, that the house should go into committee that day six months. He delivered a well

gested speech, in which he considered the whole question of the new commercial policy proposed by the government with the information and the authority which the representative of a great commercial city would command. He stated, amid assenting cheers from both sides, that he "deemed the question to be of far greater magnitude than the reform bill." He was seconded to the manifest surprise and disturbance of the government, by Sir William Heathcote in a speech of admirable ability, alike remarkable for its just, temperate, and ingenious views, and its graceful rhetoric and flowing elocution. While one listened to him it was impossible not to feel, that so long as such men remained a country party need not be without a natural leader; and that of such stuff were made the Sir William Wyndhams, and the Sir John Hinde Cottons, and the other distinguished men of the earlier parliaments of the eighteenth century.

The amendment of the forlorn protectionist party therefore was well launched. It sailed along, gracefully and steadily, amid general applause. The succeeding speeches were on the whole interesting and animated: the most remarkable, that of Lord Sandon, who made an able and even strongly-expressed speech against the government measure, which he intended to support. It was indeed upon this peculiar ground that he solicited the attention of the

house. "He rose to explain and vindicate. He was in a position different from that of any member who had yet spoken. He disapproved of the measure proposed and yet he intended to support it by his vote. He could not honestly say that he was convinced by the arguments and facts which had convinced the prime minister and induced him to give up his former opinions. The basis of induction seemed to him too narrow for so broad a superstructure. The facts themselves were not very conclusive; they were, many of them, to be explained by special causes peculiar to themselves, independent of general principles; and whatever they were, he could not think that if all the *à-priori* arguments of political economists and all the inductions from previous observations had for thirty years failed to make an impression on the mind of the prime minister, the narrow experience of the last three years, on a few small experiments, was a very intelligible or satisfactory ground for so sudden or so great a change of opinion."

Lord John Russell who followed in a speech which was not one of his happy efforts, agreed with Lord Sandon, "that the minister had not laid his grounds broadly and extensively enough in point of time." Lord John was not very felicitous in point of time himself. Embarrassed by his engagement to support the measure of his rival, little anticipating the im-

portance and duration of the debate then taking place, and anxious to free himself as soon as possible from the fulfilment of an awkward duty, he wasted his ammunition much too soon in the engagement, spoke inopportunately and ineffectively, and the future first minister of the country was not heard of in the house of commons for three weeks.

The secretary at war closed the debate for the government ; but the protectionists went home well pleased, and the general opinion of the house was, that, this night at least, they had carried away the prize.

The debate on the second night was opened by Mr. Stafford, who replied to the secretary at war in a speech of uncommon spirit and success ; nor was this the only advantage which accrued to the protectionists on this occasion. The Marquess of Granby, who had resigned a high post in the household of the prince consort in consequence of his disapprobation of the ministerial scheme, proved to the house that he had carefully and deeply studied the question under discussion, and gave an earnest of that prominence in debate which he has subsequently achieved and sustained. But not the least fortunate effusion of the night was the maiden speech of Lord Brooke, delivered with energy and with a voice the tone of which at once gained the ear. He said " that the only excuse he could himself find for the followers

of the chief minister was, that the present parliament was near its dissolution. They all knew, that when a man arrived at a great age, he often lost his faculties, that his memory generally failed him. Here they had a sad example of this deficiency of that principal sense, and of the present parliament forgetting the pledges of its youth and manhood amid the decrepitude of old age. Individuals, under such unhappy circumstances, were generally taken advantage of, and thus members were called upon by the minister to make their wills, to assign away their property and possessions, to give them to aliens and foreigners, and so to defraud their rightful heirs." This novel and happy illustration, pursued with so much ingenuity, called down the plaudits of a very full house.

A vehement personal attack of Lord Worsley called up the secretary of state, who closed the debate for the government.

It was now conceded even by the government that the debate could not terminate until Friday, and it would then have lasted four nights. The principal ammunition of the protectionists was by no means exhausted, and it was felt that the speech of the minister must naturally be of no ordinary length; nor was it supposed that the "stubborn silence," as it was designated by Mr. Wakley, of the Manchester school, could be successfully maintained. What made this great discussion peculiarly interesting was, that the

breaking up of parties had swept away the routine of debate, which under ordinary circumstances necessarily and naturally confines discussion to a limited number of speakers, of whom the principal, for the convenience of public business, rise almost at conventional periods. But so keen was the feeling of the protectionists, and so spurring the point of honour that a flock deserted by their shepherds should not be led, as was intended, to the slaughter-house without a struggle, that a stimulus to exertion was given which has perhaps been rarely equalled in the house of commons: and members now advanced who had shown no disposition previously to partake in the principal affairs of the house. And in the present instance, while all parties were discussing the probable conduct of the third night, and speculating on the orators who might respectively solicit their critical observation, the occasion was seized by one not anticipated and who rarely, if ever, had challenged the attention which he this night entirely captivated. The member for Huntingdon brought the great name of Baring, and all the authority of his pre-eminent position in the commercial world, to support the principle of regulated competition. His mastery of the subject would under any circumstances have commanded attention. The house liked to receive the latest and most authentic information as to the state of the markets from the greatest merchant in the country. The first minister

had frightened them a fortnight before by informing them that Belgium had cleared the Liverpool market of rice, with a consequent rise of seventy-five per cent in that article. Mr. Baring thought the house might perhaps be re-assured by learning, that that rise had already been diminished one half. But what made his speech doubly effective was its animated manner which, while it never passed that line of restraint which good taste requires, was remarkable for a freshness of handling which is rare and a sort of winning naturalness that often broke spontaneously into very telling points. Few things indeed happier or more successful, than when, after reviewing the various grounds of the alarm of scarcity which had been so rife before the meeting of parliament, Mr. Baring said, that "for his part, on reviewing what had taken place, he must think the great want of the country had been the want of a ministry, and that the most appalling scarcity had been the scarcity of statesmen."

The effect of Mr. Baring's speech was a general feeling among the protectionists, that the debate could now be carried over Friday. It was evident, that Lord George Bentinck even at that time anticipated a much more prolonged duration of the discussion. The pains which he took to encourage the interposition of members, devoting his whole mornings to stimulate and to assist them, and the keen interest with which he watched in the house every word and

incident from his seat, which he never quitted even for a meal, indicated the importance which he attached to the maintenance of the struggle. Three nights had passed, and it may be fairly stated that the deserted party had carried away the prize; the fourth night passed without bringing a conclusion, and the parliamentary week closed. On Monday, the fifth night, two cabinet ministers having already spoken in the debate—the secretary of state and the secretary at war, the latter as representative of a great agricultural county—it was thought, by the chief minister rising at the end of the evening, that the division must be called for. The speech of Sir Robert Peel was one of his best; indignant and vigorous, free from the affectation of fairness and that too obvious plausibility in which of late years he had somewhat luxuriantly indulged: he threw off the apologetical tone and was uncompromising both in his principles and demeanour. The peroration was in the high league style, though of course adapted to the more refined taste of the house of commons. It was a speech to divide on. Yet the intended division did not take place. And this great debate was sustained for another fortnight, extending altogether over three parliamentary weeks, with crowded and interested benches, until, on the 27th of February, it was generally understood—though Lord George Bentinck would not even then pledge himself to the under-

standing—that the division should take place. He was himself to speak that night, and it was generally felt that he would enter into the subject completely.

So little desirous originally was Lord George Bentinck to interfere actively in that great controversy in which ultimately he took so leading a part, that before the meeting of parliament in 1846 he begged a gentleman whom he greatly esteemed, a member of the legal profession and since raised to its highest honours, to call upon him at Harcourt House, where he said that he had taken great pains to master the case of the protective system, that he was convinced its abrogation would ultimately be very injurious to this country, but although both in point of argument and materials he feared no opponent, he felt constitutionally so incapable of ever making a speech that he wished to induce some eminent lawyer to enter the house of commons, and avail himself of his views and materials which he had with that object reduced to writing. He begged therefore that his friend, although a free trader, would assist him by suggesting a fitting person for this office.

Accordingly, the name of a distinguished member of the bar, who had already published a work of merit impugning the principles of the new commercial system, was mentioned, and this learned gentleman was applied to and was not indisposed

to accept the task. A mere accident prevented this arrangement being accomplished. Lord George then requested his friend to make some other selection ; but his adviser very sensibly replied that although the house of commons would have listened with respect to a gentleman, who had given evidence of the sincerity of his convictions by the publication of a work which had no reference to parliament, they would not endure the instance of a lawyer brought into the house merely to speak from his brief, and that the attempt would be utterly fruitless. He earnestly counselled Lord George himself to make the effort ; but Lord George with characteristic tenacity clung for some time to his project, though his efforts to accomplish it were fortunately not successful.

Some of the friends of Lord George Bentinck remembering his inexperience in debate, aware of the great length at which he must necessarily treat the theme, and mindful that he was not physically well-qualified for controlling popular assemblies, not having a strong voice or naturally a very fluent manner, were anxious that he should not postpone his speech until an hour so late, that an audience jaded by twelve nights' discussion would be ill-attuned to statistical arguments and economical details. But still clinging to the hope that some accident might yet again postpone the division, so that the protectionists

might gain the vote of Mr. Hildyard, who had been returned that day for South Notts, having defeated a cabinet minister, Lord George remained motionless until long past midnight. Mr. Cobden having spoken on the part of the confederation, the closing of the debate was felt to be inevitable. Even then by inducing a protectionist to solicit the speaker's eye, Lord George attempted to avert the division, but no supporter of the government measure of any colour advancing to reply to this volunteer, Bentinck was obliged to rise. He came out like a lion forced from his lair. And so it happened that after all his labours of body and mind, after all his research and unwearied application and singular vigilance, after having been at his post for a month, never leaving the house even for refreshment, he had to undertake the most difficult enterprise in which a man can well embark, with a concurrence of every disadvantage which could ensure failure and defeat. It would seem that the audience, the subject, and the orator, must be equally exhausted, for the assembly had listened for twelve nights to the controversy, and he who was about to address them had, according to his strange habit, taken no sustenance the whole day ; it being his custom to dine after the house was up, which was very often long after midnight, and this, with the exception of a slender breakfast, rigidly restricted to dry toast, was his only meal in the four and twenty hours.

He had been forced to this regimen from food exercising a lethargic influence over him ; so that, in addition to some constitutional weakness in his organ he usually laboured when he addressed the house under the disadvantage of general exhaustion. And this was no doubt a principal cause of that over-excitement and apparently unnecessary energy in his manner of speaking, of which he was himself perfectly and even painfully conscious. He was wont to say, that before he could speak he had to make a voice, and as it were to pump it up from the very core of his frame. One who took a great interest in his success once impressed on him the expediency of trusting entirely to his natural voice and the interest and gravity of his matter, which, combined with his position as the recognised leader of a great party, would be adequate to command the attention of his audience ; and he subsequently endeavoured very often to comply with this suggestion. He endeavoured also very much to control his redundancy of action and gesture, when that peculiarity was pointed out to him with the delicacy, but the sincerity, of friendship. He entirely freed himself from a very awkward feature of his first style of speaking, namely, the frequent repetition of a sentence, which seemed at first a habit inveterate with him ; but such was his force of will, that when the necessity of

ridding himself of this drawback was properly pointed out to him, he achieved the desired result. No one bore criticism more gently and kindly, so long as it was confined to his personal and intellectual characteristics, for he was a man absolutely without vanity or conceit, who thought very humbly of himself in respect of abilities, and deemed no labour too great to achieve even a slight improvement. But though in these respects the very child of simplicity, he was a man of almost unexampled pride, and chafed under criticism when his convictions or his conduct were questioned. He was very tenacious of his opinion, almost inexorable; and it required a courage nearly equal to his own combined with a serene temper, successfully to impugn his conclusions.

Not therefore excited by vanity but sustained by self-respect, by an overpowering feeling that he owed it to himself and the opinions he held, to show to the world that they had not been lightly adopted and should not be lightly laid aside, Bentinck rose, long past the noon of night, at the end of this memorable debate, to undertake an office, from which the most successful and most experienced rhetoricians of parliament would have shrank with intuitive discretion. But duty scorns prudence, and criticism has few terrors for a man with a great purpose. Unshaken

by the adverse hour and circumstances, he proceeded to accomplish the object which he had long meditated and for which he was fully prepared.

Reminding the house, while he appealed to their indulgence that, though he had had the honour of a seat for eight parliaments, he had never once ventured to trespass on its time on any subject of great debate, he at once took a clear and comprehensive ground of objection to the government scheme. He opposed it not only because he objected to the great change contemplated with respect to the agricultural interest, but on principle to the entire measure, "a great commercial revolution, which we are of opinion that the circumstances of the country do not by any means require."

Noticing the observation of the secretary at war, that the agricultural interest, in submitting to this great change, might now accept it with honour, instead of its being eventually extorted by force, he happily retorted that vicious as he thought the measure, he should feel it deprived of half its vice if it could be carried without loss of honour, damage to reputation, and forfeiture of public character to a vast number of gentlemen now present. And he proceeded to show among other testimonies, by an appeal to the distinct language of the speech from the throne on the dissolution of 1841, that "every member who occupied a seat in this house was

returned pledged either to oppose or maintain the principle of protection to national industry."

Adverting to the new position that the experience of the last three years justified the reversal of the system which the existing administration had been summoned to office to uphold, he wisely remarked that, "the country will not be satisfied with three years' experience of any system. Three years' experience is not sufficiently extensive to afford a proper criterion by which we may decide the failure or success of any description of policy whatsoever."

Noticing that the minister had more especially founded "his present belief in doctrines contrary to those which he had heretofore uniformly maintained," by the assumption that the price of corn would not be more reduced than the price of cattle and other commodities affected by the tariff of 1842, and also by the results of previous experiments in the instances of silk and wool, Lord George "accepted his challenge" on these grounds, and proceeded in great detail to investigate these examples.

The house listened with great attention for full two hours during which he treated these subjects. This attention no doubt was generally accorded because it was felt due to the occasion and, under the circumstances, to the speaker; but those who, however contrary might be the results at which they

had arrived, had themselves deeply entered into these investigations, recognised very soon that Bentinck was master of his subject. Sir Robert Peel looked round very often with that expression of appreciation which it was impossible for his nature to refuse to parliamentary success, even when the ability displayed was hostile to his projects. The minister, with reference to the wool trade, had dwelt on the year 1842, when prices were much depressed, while they had greatly rallied in 1844, when the importation of foreign wool had risen from forty-five to sixty-five millions of pounds; and he had drawn a triumphant inference that the increase of importation and the increase of price were in consequence of the reduction of the duty. This instance had produced a great effect; but Lord George showed the house, by a reference to the tables of 1836, that the importation of foreign wool had then risen to sixty-five millions of pounds, and that large foreign importation was consistent with high prices to the domestic grower. Nor was he less successful about the foreign cattle. He reminded his friends on the treasury bench how strenuously, previously to the introduction of the tariff of 1842, they had urged upon their agricultural friends that no foreign cattle could enter under their regulations, and that the whole object of the change was to strengthen the hands of the agricultural interest, as regarded more essential protection, by removing the odium of

a nominal protection: "Convinced by my right honourable friends, in 1842, that their tariff would be as inoperative as it has proved, I gave my cordial support to the measure."

Perceiving that the house began to be wearied with the details of the silk trade, which he had investigated with extraordinary zeal, he postponed until the specific vote in committee his objections to the reduction of the timber duties. The fact is, he had so thoroughly mastered all these topics, that his observations on each of them would have themselves formed a speech of sufficient length and interest. But he successfully checked any interruption by what may be fairly styled his dignified diffidence.

"I trust the house will recollect that I am fighting the battle of a party whose leaders have deserted them; and though I cannot wield my weapons with the skill of the right honourable gentleman on the treasury bench, I trust the house will remember the emergency which has dragged me out to intrude upon their indulgence."

And again, when he announced that he was now about to investigate the pretext of "famine in the land," and some impatience was exhibited, he drew up and said, "I think, having sate eighteen years in this house, and never once having trespassed on its time before in any one single great debate, I may appeal to the past as a proof that I duly weigh the

measure of my abilities, and that I am painfully conscious of my proper place in this house."

It was impossible to resist such appeals from such a person, even at three o'clock in the morning; and confident, but determined, he then entered into what was, perhaps, the most remarkable portion of his speech—an investigation of what was the real position of the country with respect to the supply of food in the past autumn and at the present moment. Having shown from the trade circulars that far from there being at present "a wheat famine," the stocks in the granaries in bond were more than double in amount to what they were in the year 1845, "a year admitted by all to be a year of extraordinary abundance," he proceeded to the Irish part of the question: "I beg leave to say, that though this debate is now continued for three weeks, I am the first gentleman who has at all entered into the real state of the case as regards the allegation of a potato famine in Ireland, upon which, be it remembered, is indeed the sole case of her majesty's ministers for a repeal of the corn laws."

And this was very true. The fact is, though the protectionist party had made a most unexpected and brilliant defence, no one was really prepared for the contest except Bentinck. Between the end of November and the meeting of parliament, he had thrown the energies of his passionate mind into this

question. He had sought information on all points and always at the fountain-head. He had placed himself in immediate communication with the ablest representatives of every considerable interest attacked; and being ardent and indefatigable, gifted with a tenacious memory and a very clear and searching spirit, there was scarcely a detail or an argument connected with his subject which was not immediately at his command. No speeches in favour of the protective system have ever been made in the house of commons compared with his in depth and range of knowledge; and had there been any member, not connected with the government, who had been able to vindicate the merits of British agriculture as he did when the final struggle occurred, the impression which was made by the too-often unanswered speeches of the Manchester confederation would never have been effected. But the great conservative party, exhausted by the labours of ten years of opposition, thought that after the triumph of '41 it might claim a furlough. The defence of their cause was left entirely to the ministers of their choice, and ministers, distracted with detail and wearied with official labour, are not always the most willing or the most efficient champions of the organic principles of a party.

Sir Robert Peel, with respect to the disease in the Irish potato, had largely referred to the statements of the inspectors of police. Lord George wanted to

know why the reports of the lieutenants of the Irish counties were not given. Being well-informed upon this head, he asked the government to produce the report of Lord Duncannon, the lord-lieutenant of Carlow ; especially that of his noble father, the Earl of Besborough, lord-lieutenant of Kilkenny. "Is there any man in England or in Ireland whose opinion, from his business-like habits, his great practical knowledge, and the warm and affectionate interest which for a long period of years he has taken in everything which concerns the interests of Ireland, especially of the Irish peasantry—is there any man whose opinion would have greater weight ? The opinion of Lord Besborough on an Irish subject, the lieutenant of an Irish county, and himself long a cabinet minister ? Well, Sir, I am assured that, having taken the utmost pains to investigate this matter, Lord Besborough has made an elaborate report to the Irish government. Well, then, I desire to know why Lord Besborough's report to the Irish government is suppressed ? Is it because that report would not assist the present policy of her majesty's government ?"

He alleged the names of many other individuals of high station, who had officially reported on the subject to the government : of Lord Castlereagh, the lieutenant of Down, a member of the house ; of Lord de Vesci, whose son was sitting for the Queen's

County, over which his father presided in the name of the queen. A murmur ran round the house, that it would have been as well if these reports had been produced.

The last portion of this argumentative harangue referred to the most important division of the subject. Bentinck met it boldly, without evasion; nor was there any portion of his address more interesting, more satisfactory, and more successful. "I now come," he said, "to the great challenge, which is ever and anon put forth by the anti-corn law league, and now by their disciples, her majesty's ministers. How are we, they ask, with our limited extent of territory, to feed a population annually and rapidly increasing at the rate of three hundred thousand a-year, as generally stated by the member for Stockport—a rate increased by my noble friend, the member for the West Riding, to a thousand a day, or three hundred and sixty-five thousand a year?"

He first proved in a complete manner that, from the year 1821 to the year 1844, the population of the country had increased at the rate of less than thirty-two per cent., while the growth of wheat during the same period had increased no less than sixty-four per cent. He then proceeded to inquire why, with such an increased produce, we were still, as regards bread corn, to a certain extent, an importing nation? This he accounted for by the universally improved

condition of the people, and the enlarged command of food by the working classes. He drew an animated picture, founded entirely on the representations of writers and public men adverse to the protective system, of the superior condition of the people of "England, happy England" to that of other countries : how they consumed much more of the best food, and lived much longer. This was under protection, which Lord John Russell had stigmatised in his letter, "the bane of agriculture." "In the history of my noble friend's illustrious family," he continued, "I should have thought that he would have found a remarkable refutation of such a notion." And then he drew a lively sketch of the colossal and patriotic works of the earls and dukes of Bedford "whereby they had drained and reclaimed three hundred thousand acres of land drowned in water, and brought them into cultivation, and thus converted into fertile fields a vast morass extending over seven counties in England." Could the system which had inspired such enterprise be justly denounced as baneful?

To show the means of the country to sustain even a much increasing population, and that those means were in operation, he entered into one of the most original and interesting calculations that was perhaps ever offered to the house of commons. Reminding the house that in the preceding year (1845) the farmers of England, at a cost of two millions sterling,

had imported two hundred and eighty thousand tons of guano, he proceeded to estimate what would be the effect on the productive powers of the land of that novel application. Two hundred thousand tons, or in other words four million cwt., were expended on the land in 1845. Half of these, he assumed, would be applied to the growth of wheat, and the other half to the growth of turnips preparatory to the wheat crop of the ensuing year. According to the experiments tried and recorded in the royal agricultural journal, it would seem that by the application of two hundred cwt. of guano to an acre of wheat land, the produce would be increased by one quarter per acre. At this rate one hundred thousand tons, or two million cwt. of guano, would add one million quarters of wheat to the crop, or bread for one year for one million of people. But as he was very careful never to over-state a case, Lord George assumed, that it would require three hundred cwt. of guano to an acre to produce an extra quarter of wheat. According to this estimate, one hundred thousand tons of guano applied to the land in 1845 must have added six hundred and sixty-six thousand six hundred and sixty-six quarters of grain to the wheat crop, or in other words, bread for six hundred and sixty-six thousand six hundred and sixty-six additional mouths. "And now for turnips," he continued. The Norfolk authorities, whom he quoted, have in

■

like manner proved that two cwt. of guano will add ten tons per acre to the turnip crop. But again, for fear of exaggeration, he supposed that three cwt. would be requisite to create such increased fertility. In this case, two million cwt. of guano would add six million six hundred and sixty-six thousand six hundred and sixty tons to the natural unmanured produce of the crop. Now it is generally considered that one ton of Swedes would last twenty sheep three weeks, and that each sheep should gain half a pound of meat per week, or one pound and a half in three weeks; thus twenty sheep feeding on one ton of turnips in three weeks should in the aggregate make, as the graziers say, thirty pounds of mutton. But to be safe in his estimate, he would assume that one ton of turnips makes only half this quantity. "Multiply then," exclaimed Bentinck with the earnest air of a crusader, "six million six hundred and sixty-six thousand six hundred and sixty by fifteen, and you have no less than ninety-nine million nine hundred and ninety-nine thousand and nine hundred pounds of mutton as the fruits of one hundred thousand tons of guano; which, at ninety-two pounds per man—the average Englishman's allowance—affords meat for one million eight hundred and sixty thousand nine hundred and fifty-five—nearly two million of her majesty's subjects."

This is a specimen of those original and startling calculations to which the house was soon to become accustomed from his lips. They were received at first with astonishment and incredulity, but they were never impugned. The fact is, he was extremely cautious in his data and no man was more accustomed ever to impress upon his friends the extreme expediency of not over-stating a case. It should also be remarked of Lord George Bentinck that in his most complicated calculations he never sought aid from notes.

We have necessarily only noticed a few of the traits of this remarkable performance. Its termination was impressive.

"We have heard in the course of these discussions a good deal about an ancient monarchy, a reformed house of commons, and a proud aristocracy. Sir, with regard to our ancient monarchy, I have no observation to make; but, if so humble an individual as myself might be permitted to whisper a word in the ear of that illustrious and royal personage, who, as he stands nearest, so is he justly dearest, to her who sits upon the throne, I would take leave to say, that I cannot but think he listened to ill advice, when, on the first night of this great discussion, he allowed himself to be seduced by the first minister of the crown to come down to this house to usher in, to give *le mot*, and as it were by reflexion from the



queen, to give the semblance of the personal sanction of her majesty to a measure, which, be it for good, or for evil, a great majority at least of the landed aristocracy of England, of Scotland, and of Ireland, imagine fraught with deep injury, if not ruin, to them—a measure which, not confined in its operation to this great class, is calculated to grind down countless smaller interests engaged in the domestic trades and interests of the empire, transferring the profits of all these interests—English, Scotch, Irish, and Colonial—great and small alike, from Englishmen, from Scotchmen, and from Irishmen, to Americans, to Frenchmen, to Russians, to Poles, to Prussians, and to Germans. Sir, I come now to the reformed house of commons; and as one who was a party to that great measure, I cannot but feel a deep interest in its success, and more especially in that portion of it which extended the franchise to the largest and the most respectable body in the kingdom—I mean the landed tenantry of England; and deeply should I regret should any large proportion of those members, who have been sent to parliament to represent them in this house, prove to be the men to bring lasting dishonour upon themselves, their constituencies, and this house, by an act of tergiversation so gross as to be altogether unprecedented in the annals of any reformed or unreformed house of commons. Sir, lastly, I come to the ‘proud aristocracy.’ We are a

proud aristocracy, but if we are proud, it is that we are proud in the chastity of our honour. If we assisted in '41 in turning the whigs out of office, because we did not consider a fixed duty of eight shillings a quarter on foreign corn a sufficient protection, it was with honesty of purpose and in single-mindedness we did so ; and as we were not before the fact, we will not be accomplices after the fact, in the fraud by which the whig ministers were expelled from power. If we are a proud aristocracy, we are proud of our honour, inasmuch as we never have been guilty, and never can be guilty, of double-dealing with the farmers of England—of swindling our opponents, deceiving our friends, or betraying our constituents."

The division was called. The West India interest, notwithstanding the amendment was moved by the member for Bristol, deserted the protectionists. Deaf to the appeals and the remonstrances and the warnings of Lord George, one of their leading members replied, with a smile of triumphant content, that " they had made a satisfactory arrangement for themselves." How satisfactory did the West Indians find it four months subsequently ? All the shipping interest deserted the land. They were for everything free, except navigation ; there was no danger of that being interfered with ; " it rested on quite distinct grounds—national grounds." They were warned, but they

smiled in derisive self-complacency. Lord George Bentinck lived to have the West India interest, and the shipping interest, on their knees to him to defend their perilled or to restore their ruined fortunes ; and with characteristic generosity and proud consistency, he undertook the task and sacrificed his life in the attempt.

Notwithstanding these terrible defalcations, when the numbers were announced, at nearly four o'clock in the morning, the majority had not reached those three magical figures supposed necessary under the circumstances to success. In a house of five hundred and eighty-one members present, the amendment of the protectionists was defeated only by ninety-seven ; and two hundred and forty-two gentlemen, in spite of desertion, difficulty, and defeat, still maintained the "chastity of their honour."

CHAPTER VI.

THE great object which Lord George now proposed to himself was to delay the progress of the government measures, so that they should not reach the house of lords before Easter. He believed that time might still ensure their discomfiture. The majority of the 27th of February was only in favour of going into committee of the whole house to consider the existing customs' and corn acts, when the minister was to propose resolutions, which if carried were to be the foundations of bills which he would then proceed to introduce. Before therefore any bill for the repeal of the existing corn laws could be brought forward, the principle of every projected alteration in the tariff must individually be sanctioned by a particular vote. The opportunities for resistance therefore were considerable and encouraging. Nor was the majority itself, considering the

coalition of parties and the vagueness of the course to which it committed the house, looked upon as excessive, but the reverse. All the elections too went against the minister; a member of the cabinet was rejected by two important constituencies, and a near relative of Lord George returned in his stead. But what most influenced Bentinck to adopt the tactics of delay was the conviction, which turned out to be just, that the failure of the potatoe crop had been greatly exaggerated. With these views he availed himself of the motion of Mr. Villiers, brought forward on the 2nd of March for the total and immediate repeal of the corn laws to secure an adjourned debate. He himself made a brief and animated speech on the second and last night, though it had not been his intention to address the house. An influential member of the protectionist party, Mr. Miles of Somerset, had stated on an early night of the session the preference by the landed interest of the immediate repeal to the government measure; and Sir Robert Peel, on the motion of Mr. Villiers, had adroitly availed himself of this admission of an opponent, and announced that though the minority were of opinion that their own course was the more prudent, still if the landed party chose to unite with Mr. Villiers on the present occasion, it might carry his proposition and the government could accept it. Upon this Lord George Bentinck rejoined: "It has

been alleged that an honourable friend of mine, who is absent to-night, stated, on behalf of the agricultural interest, that the farmers of England would prefer to have immediate repeal to a repeal hanging over them for three years, and in that I believe my honourable friend was correct. But the reason of my preference of the government proposition to immediate repeal is, that we do not consider it quite certain, that at the end of three years, the corn laws will be repealed;" and again, "We prefer to postpone the day, because we know that in the interval we must be returned to the people, and then we hope to be able to restore things to what they were before."

A fortnight elapsed ere the resolutions in committee of the whole house, on which the government bills were to be introduced, were passed. The efforts of Lord George Bentinck during this period, from the 6th to the 20th of March, were unceasing. He fought the battle of the Irish graziers and butter-merchants, "butter rightly described by the vice-president of the board of trade as one of the principal staple manufactures of Ireland, that suffering country to which we are going to subscribe by a vote of this house the sum of £230,000, and on which by a vote of this house we are at the same time entailing a loss, by the reduction of the duties on butter, of half a million sterling. If the object of

cing duties is to relieve the consumer, I beg
 isters to tell us, whether they do not think a
 ction of the duty on tea would not be as advan-
 ous to the consumer as a reduction of the duty
 1 butter? And why have they not made such a
 osition? For no reason that I can see, except
 tea does not come into competition with the
 luce of Great Britain."

le fought the battle of "the Leicestershire stock-
 rs," one hundred thousand persons engaged in
 stocking business. If protection were that bane
 he stockingers, how came it that the Saxon,
 r protection the most stringent, was able to
 r into competition with the stockingers of
 land, so as to be able almost to drive them out
 ne market? Stockings were made in Saxony, as
 are by reports on the table of the house at
 per pair; but the member for Nottingham had
 told the house that here the charge was 8s. per
 n. He wanted to know how the stockinger of
 ingham and Leicester could compete with the
 on who sold his stockings at 3s. per dozen?

le fought in great detail the battle of the silk
 ufacturers; he had very minutely investigated
 circumstances of the trade, and when a member
 rupted him by saying that the silk manufac-
 s themselves were in favour of the change, he
 ted:—"Sir, the honourable gentleman seems to

express an opinion, that it is no business of this house to interfere in matters where the parties immediately concerned do not ask for such an interference. I thought the constitution of this country required, that we should consider what is best for the general good of the country; and that it was not for us to be taught by the constituencies, what is best for the interest of the country. We have well considered this question. It is one which has been before parliament for five-and-twenty years, and we think ourselves as well qualified to judge of this matter as any manufacturers that may live at Gook or elsewhere. But, if any honourable gentleman thinks that the silk manufacturers of this country are in favour of this measure, I can tell him a different story. The honourable member knows the character of the three gentlemen who called on me yesterday morning. What did these gentlemen tell me? Why, that since there was a free trade in silk only two mills had been erected in Coventry; that Coventry stood still while St. Etienne, its rival flourished—that St. Etienne flourished at the expense of Coventry.”

He fought the battle of the hop-grower. “I had been stated by the chancellor of the exchequer that hop-growing had been a losing concern for some years past; but the chancellor of the exchequer proposed a different remedy from what I

uld propose. He should propose that the excise y be taken off. He was glad to hear in the lier part of the evening strong proofs of the antage of the abolition of excise duties. Their dition upon glass had brought a considerable uction of expenditure, by the employment of less cers. One hundred excise officers might come and £10,000 per annum be saved by abolishing present excise on hops. That would be a means assisting the hop-growers. A gentleman who had ited on him, that morning, had shown him how 1836 he had paid 18*s.* 8*d.* per cwt. excise, upon een tons and a half of hops, grown in 1836, ich was a good year. He was obliged to hold his ps till 1840, when, wearied with delay, he had to l them for 16*s.* per cwt., on which 18*s.* 8*d.* per t. had been paid, as an excise duty. Would they mit foreign hops to remedy such disasters as these? id if they threw hop grounds out of cultivation, at did the government mean to do with the tithe mmutation? While wheat paid 7*s.* per acre to-rds the tithes, hop land paid 29*s.*"

Finally, on the 20th of March, in a speech of great earch and vigour, he fought the battle of the onial timber interest. On all these questions re were considerable divisions, and whatever dif-fence of opinion there might be as to the wisdom the policy he recommended, there was none, and

could be none in the house, as to the complete mastery which he exhibited of his subject. The reader will indeed have observed in the slight passages which have been just given from his speeches, more than one characteristic trait of the newly-adopted habits of his life, by which he acquired, and rapidly, such sound and extensive information of such various and varying subjects. The first merchants and manufacturers of the country were the companions of his mornings; and from an early hour to the time when it was necessary to appear in his place he was occupied in investigating with their assistance the questions affecting the commerce and industry of the country then submitted to the legislature. "The gentleman who had waited on him that morning," was sure to be about the best authority on the subject in debate. Nor must it be supposed from this, that Lord George, in his eagerness to acquire information, was proportionably easy in accepting the details and conclusions which were offered to him. Quite the reverse; he was eminently scrupulous. No one cross-examined with more acuteness or pursued a sceptical research with more tenacity. It was impossible to evade, and difficult to baffle, him. Although he had strong prejudices, which with him were an affair of humour, not of bigotry and false reasoning, his mind was peculiarly judicative. He collected and weighed evidence with great ability;

and though apparently immersed in details, his scheme of thought was never petty. All fell into its right place and in lucid order, and he ever had a clear conception of the case, and struck the balance with a full controul over the contending evidence and arguments.

The second reading of the bill for the repeal of the corn laws was fixed for the 23rd March. This bill was introduced in pursuance of one of the resolutions passed in the committee of the whole house, which had just closed its labours. The first reading of a bill under such circumstances is a matter of course, and almost of form. The standing orders of the house of commons require that every bill affecting the trade or religion of the country should be founded on a preliminary resolution of the whole house in committee, a wise precaution of our predecessors against sudden legislation on matters of such grave import.

The question, that the bill be read a second time, was met by an amendment that it be read that day six months, moved with graceful rhetoric by Mr. Eliot Yorke, the member for Cambridgeshire, and seconded with all the weight and authority of Sir John Yarde Buller. The debate lasted four nights; sustained on the part of the protectionists on the second night by Sir John Trollope in a very effective speech. As one of the members for the great

agricultural county of Lincoln, he successfully repelled the statements of the advocates of free imports, that "the cultivators of the soil had been unable to provide food for the people." He could not call to mind a single acre of land lying waste in the division of the county which he represented: not an unenclosed parish. From 1828 to 1841, there had been an increase in the produce of the county of Lincoln to the extent of 70 per cent. in the article of wheat alone; and he was satisfied, from the improvements that had since taken place, from the great amount of drainage, the breaking up of pasture land, the artificial manures, and other processes applied to the land, that a much greater increase had since taken place in the county, and that the increase in the last sixteen years could not amount to less than 100 per cent. During the same period, the population of the county had not increased above 20 per cent., so that there was a large available surplus for the food of the population in the manufacturing districts."

Lord George Bentinck closed the debate on the third night, which rather languished till he rose. He spoke with untusual animation, and concluded with these words:

"I recollect that the secretary of state (Sir James Graham), in 1841, complained that the noble Lord (Lord J. Russell) and his late colleagues on the benches opposite, had excited the people,

and compared them to pirates, who rather than surrender the ship and their command had applied the torch to the magazine. I would ask my right honorable friends on the treasury bench what do they think of themselves now? Are they not pirates too? Have they not pirated the doctrines, the arguments, and the speeches of the anti-corn law league? But I cannot pay you the compliment of saying that you possess the dare-devil courage of pirates—that rather than yield, you would sink the ship. I cannot say that you have stood by your craft as long as you could keep her afloat. No; you have left your ship in the dark of the night, when you had chartered to carry her home in safety. You have brought her on a lee shore and left her among the breakers. You have placed her under the guns of the enemy's battery while your faithful crew were asleep in their hammocks. You have scuttled your ship—you, the captain and lieutenant, master and mate—you scuttled the ship, stole the compass, sneaked away in the long boat, and deserted to the enemy, hoping that the gallant crew would become an easy prey to those who would board her. But you judged of the mettle of the crew by your own craven hearts, and though for a moment we may have been thrown into confusion, we never have been discouraged—we have rallied from the

temporary shock, and we will yet bring the good ship off the lee shore, and carry her safe home to port."

This peroration, vivid, true, passionate and picturesque, and delivered with energy, was loudly cheered, and the government made a great effort the next night to restore the spirit of their supporters. The debate was opened by the secretary of state in a very elaborate speech, marked by a virulent retort to the recorder of Dublin, who, on the preceding night, had ventured "to cast the horoscope of a falling government." Later in the evening, the chief minister himself exerted his utmost powers in vindication of his policy and personal conduct. Sir Robert had seldom spoken better.

The difficult duty of following him, devolved this night to Mr. Stafford. Not the least remarkable speech of the evening, though one at the time little comprehended, was that with which Lord Palmerston unexpectedly followed Mr. Stafford and closed the debate. Amid an abstract eulogium of free trade apparently so uncompromising, that it filled the free traders with rapture while they listened to the reproduction of their own arguments in sentences so lucid and in forms so neat, his lordship with adroit audacity suddenly unfurled the standard of a

moderate fixed duty, and with blended hardihood and discretion, acknowledged that he had not relinquished his faith in such a policy, though he feared, and almost felt, that the opportunity had been lost for its adoption.

“I hold that there is no reason why freedom of trade in corn should not be as advantageous to the country as freedom of trade in every other commodity. But, by free trade, I do not mean, necessarily and in all cases, trade free from customs duties. We are obliged, as I have already said, to raise a large yearly revenue, and we must for that purpose have heavy taxes. The least inconvenient and least objectionable method of raising a large portion of that revenue is by indirect taxation, and that involves the necessity of customs’ duties. Therefore, when I speak of free trade, I do not mean trade free from duties laid on for the purpose of revenue, and which, in order to accomplish their purpose, must be so moderate as not to cripple or impede commercial transactions. Now, my opinion has been, and, I own, still continues to be, that there is no reason why the trade in corn should, in this respect, be an exception to the general. I am for a moderate fixed duty. My noble and honourable friends near me have also been of the same opinion ; and allow me to say, that this opinion was not taken up by us, as stated last night by the

noble lord, the member for King's Lynn, when the late government was, as he said, *in articulo mortis*; but as far back as in 1839, when there was no reason to expect an early termination of our official career. I say, then, that my wish would have been to have had a low fixed duty on the importation of corn. I think that a duty of four or five shillings would not sensibly raise the price of corn in this country; would be felt by nobody; would produce a revenue not undeserving of consideration; and what is of more importance, would enable us to accomplish a great transition with less violence to the feelings and prejudices of a large class of men."

The countenances of the free traders changed very much while this portentous confession was taking place. The cheers suddenly stopped; and a member for a metropolitan district who had been applauding vociferously whispered to a neighbour, "He has spoilt a capital speech; what could have induced him to bring in a fixed duty?" Penetrating member for a metropolitan district! As if the "capital speech" had been made for any other purpose than to introduce the very declaration which you looked upon as so damaging! There is diplomacy even in debate: Lord Palmerston threw a practised and prescient eye over the disturbed elements of the house of commons, and two months afterwards, when a protec

tionist ministry on moderate principles (principles moderate and not fixed) was not impossible, the speech of the noble lord was quoted by many as a rallying point.

The division was called: the majority of the government was not increased by two months' discussion, since the 27th February; on the contrary it was now only 88.

CHAPTER VII.


IN the meantime, besides the prolonged and unforeseen resistance of the protectionists, there were other and unexpected causes at work which equally or perhaps even more powerfully tended to the fulfilment of the scheme of delay, which Lord George Bentinck had recommended his friends to adopt and encourage.

In the latter months of the year 1845, there broke out in some of the counties of Ireland, one of those series of outrages which have hitherto periodically occurred in districts of that country. Assassination and crimes of violence were rife: men on the queen's highway were shot from behind hedges, or suddenly torn from their horses and beaten to death with clubs; houses were visited in the night by bodies of men, masked and armed—their owners dragged from their beds, and in the presence of their wives and children, maimed and

utilized ; the administration of unlawful oaths, with circumstances of terror, indicated the existence of secret confederations, whose fell intents, profusely and ostentatiously announced by threatening letters, were frequently and savagely perpetrated.

These barbarous distempers had their origin in the tenure of land in Ireland and in the modes of its occupation. A combination of causes, political, social, and economical, had for more than a century unduly stimulated the population of a country which had no considerable resources except in the soil. That soil had become divided into minute allotments, held by a pauper tenantry at exorbitant rents of a class of middlemen themselves necessitous, and who were mere traders in land. A fierce competition raged amid the squalid multitude for these strips of earth which were their sole means of existence. To regulate this fatal rivalry and restrain this emulation of despair, the peasantry enrolled in secret societies found refuge in an inexorable code. He who supplanted another in the occupation of the soil was doomed by an occult tribunal from which there was no appeal to a terrible retribution. His house was visited in the night by whitefeet and ribbonmen—his doom was communicated to him by the post in letters signed by Terry Alt or Molly M'Guire, or he was suddenly shot like a dog by the orders of captain Rock. Yet even these violent inflictions

rather punished than prevented the conduct against which they were directed. The Irish peasant had to choose between starving and assassination. If in deference to an anonymous mandate he relinquished his holding, he and those who depended on him were outcasts and wanderers ; if he retained or accepted it, his life might be forfeit but subsistence was secured ; and in poor and lawless countries the means of living are more valued than life. Those who have treated of the agrarian crime of Ireland have remarked, that the facility with which these outrages have been committed has only been equalled by the difficulty of punishing them. A murder, perpetrated in noonday, in the sight of many persons, cannot be proved in a court of justice. The spectators are never witnesses ; and it has been inferred from this, that the outrage is national and that the heart of the populace is with the criminal. But though a chief landlord or a stipendiary magistrate may occasionally be sacrificed, the great majority of victims are furnished by the humblest class. Not sympathy but terror seals the lip and clouds the eye of the bystander. And this is proved by the fact, that while those who have suffered have almost always publicly declared, that they were unable to recognise their assailants and believed them to be strangers, they have frequently in confidence furnished the police with the names of the guilty.




Thus there is this remarkable characteristic of the agrarian anarchy of Ireland which marks it out from all similar conditions of other countries: it is a war of the poor against the poor.

Before the rapid increase of population had forced governments to study political economy and to investigate the means of subsisting a people, statesmen had contented themselves by attributing to political causes these predial disturbances, and by recommending for them political remedies. The course of time that had aggravated the condition of the Irish peasantry, had increased the numbers, the wealth, and the general importance of those of the middle classes of Ireland who professed the roman catholic faith. Shut out from the political privileges of the constitution, these formed a party of discontent that was a valuable ally to the modern whigs, too long excluded from that periodical share of power which is the life-blood of a parliamentary government and the safeguard of a constitutional monarchy. The misgovernment of Ireland became therefore a stock topic of the earlier opposition of the present century; and advocating the cause of their clients, who wished to become mayors, and magistrates, and members of the legislature, they argued that, in the concession of those powers and dignities, and perhaps in the discreet confiscation of the property of the church, the only cures could be found for threatening notices,

robbery of arms, administering of unlawful oaths, burglary, murder, and arson.

Yet if these acts of violence were attributable to defective political institutions, why, as was usually the case, were they partial in their occurrence? Were they limited to particular districts? If political grievances were the cause, the injustice would be sharp in tranquil Wexford as in turbulent Tipperary. Yet out of the thirty-two counties of Ireland, outrages prevailed usually in less than a third. The outrages were never insurrectionary: they were directed against existing authorities; they were stimulated by no public cause or clamor; it was the private individual who was attacked, and for a private reason. This was their characteristic.

But as time elapsed, two considerable events occurred: the roman catholic restrictions were repealed, and the whigs became ministers. Notwithstanding these great changes, the condition of Irish peasantry remained the same; the tenure of land was unchanged, the modes of its occupation were unaltered, its possession was equally necessary and equally perilous. The same circumstances produced the same consequences. Notwithstanding that the Irish church had been remodelled and its revenues not only commuted but curtailed; notwithstanding that roman catholics had not only become members of parliament but even parliament



been reformed ; Irish outrage became more flagrant and more extensive than at any previous epoch—and the whigs were ministers.

Placed in this responsible position, forced to repress the evil the causes of which they had so often explained and which with their co-operation had apparently been so effectually removed, the whig government were obliged to have recourse to the very means which they had so frequently denounced when recommended by their rivals, and that too on a scale of unusual magnitude and severity. They proposed for the adoption of parliament one of those measures which would suspend the constitution of Ireland, and which are generally known by the name of coercion acts.

The main and customary provisions of these coercion acts were of severe restraint, and scarcely less violent than the conduct they were constructed to repress. They invested the lord lieutenant with power to proclaim a district as disturbed, and then to place its inhabitants without the pale of the established law ; persons out of their dwellings between sunset and sunrise were liable to transportation ; and to secure the due execution of the law, prisoners were tried before military tribunals, and not by their peers whose verdicts from sympathy or terror were usually found to baffle justice.

These coercion acts were effectual ; they invariably

obtained their end, and the proclaimed districts became tranquil. But they were an affair of police, not of government; essentially temporary, their effect was almost as transient as their sway, and as they were never accompanied with any deep and sincere attempt to cope with the social circumstances which produced disorder, the recurrence of the chronic anarchy was merely an affair of time. Whether it were, that they did not sufficiently apprehend the causes, or that they shrank from a solution which must bring them in contact with the millions of a surplus population, there seems always to have been an understanding between the public men of both parties, that the Irish difficulty should be deemed a purely political or at the utmost a religious one. And even so late as 1846, no less a personage than the present chief secretary, put forward by his party to oppose an Irish coercion bill which themselves had loudly called for, declared that he could not sanction its penal enactments unless they were accompanied by the remedial measures that were necessary, to wit, an Irish franchise bill, and a bill for the amendment of municipal corporations!

When Sir Robert Peel, in 1841, after a memorable opposition of ten years acceded to office, sustained by all the sympathies of the country, his Irish policy, not sufficiently noticed amid the vast and urgent questions with which he had immediately to

It was however to the political observer significant and interesting. As a mere matter of party tactics, it was not for him too much to impute Irish disturbances to political and religious causes, even if the accumulated experience of the last ten years were not developing a conviction in his mind, that the methods hitherto adopted to ensure the tranquillity of that country were superficial and fallacious. His cabinet immediately recognised a distinction between political and predial sources of disorder. The first, they resolved into a mere system of agitation, no longer justifiable by the circumstances, and this they determined to put down. The second, they sought the conditions under which land was occupied, and these they determined to investigate. Hence, on one hand, the O'Connell prosecution: on the other, the Devon commission.

This was the bold and prudent policy of a minister who felt he had the confidence of the country and was sustained by great parliamentary majorities; and when the summoner of monster meetings was convicted, and the efficient though impartial manner in which the labours of the land commission were simultaneously conducted became to be bruited about, there seemed at last some prospect of the system of political quackery of which Ireland had been so long the victim being at last subverted. But there was nothing in which the power of circumstances is

more evident than in politics. They baffle the ~~far~~ thought of statesmen, and control even the apparently inflexible laws of national development and decay.

Had the government of 1841 succeeded in its justifiable expectation of terminating the trade and political agitation in Ireland, armed with all the authority and all the information with which the labours of the land commission would have furnished them, they would in all probability have successfully grappled with the real causes of Irish mismanagement and misrule. They might have thoroughly reformed the modes by which land is holden and occupied, have anticipated the spontaneous emigration that now rages by an administrative enterprise scarcely more costly than the barren loan of '47, and which would have wafted native energies to imperial shores, have limited under these circumstances the evil of the potato famine, even if the improved culture of the interval might not have altogether prevented the visitation; while the laws which regulated the competition between home and foreign industry in agricultural produce might have been modified with so much prudence, or, if necessary, ultimately repealed with so much precaution, that those rapid and startling vicissitudes that have so shattered the social fabric of Ireland might altogether have been avoided.

But it was decreed that it should be otherwise.

Having achieved the incredible conviction of O'Connell by an Irish jury, the great culprit baffled the vengeance of the law by a quirk which a lawyer only could have devised. As regards his Irish policy, Sir Robert Peel never recovered this blow, the severity of which was proportionably increased by its occurrence at a moment of unprecedented success. Resolute not to recur to his ancient orangeism, yet desperate after his discomfiture of rallying a moderate party around his ministry, his practical mind more clear-sighted than foreseeing was alarmed at the absence of all influences for the government of Ireland. The tranquillity which might result from a reformed tenure of the soil must, if attainable, be a distant blessing, and at present he saw only the obstacles to its fulfilment—prejudiced landlords and the claims and necessities of pauper millions. He shrank from a theory which might be an illusion. He required a policy for the next post and the next division. There was in his view only one course to take, to outbid his predecessors as successfully in Irish politics as he was doing in taxes and tariffs. He resolved to appropriate the liberal party of Ireland, and merge it into the great conservative confederation which was destined to destroy so many things. He acted with promptitude and energy, for Sir Robert Peel never hesitated when he had made up his mind. His real character was very different from his public

reputation. Far from being timid and wary, he was audacious and even headstrong. It was his cold and constrained demeanour that misled the public. There never was a man who did such rash things in so circumspect a manner. He had been fortunate in early disembarassing himself of the orange councillors who had conducted his Irish questions when in opposition; vacant judgeships had opportunely satisfied the recognised and respectable claims of Mr. Sergeant Jackson and Mr. Lefroy; and so Sir Robert Peel, without a qualm, suddenly began to govern Ireland by sending it "messages of peace."

They took various forms: sometimes a charitable bequest's act virtually placed the roman catholic hierarchy in friendly equality with the prelates of the established church; sometimes a "godless college" called forth a moan from alarmed and irritated Oxford; the endowment of Maynooth struck wider and deeper, and the middle-classes of England roused from their religious lethargy, called in vain to the rescue of a protestantism betrayed. But the minister was unshaken. Successful and self-sufficient, impressed with a conviction that his government in duration would rival that of a Walpole or Pitt, and exceed both in lustre, he treated every remonstrance with imperious disdain. He had even accustomed his mind to contemplate an ecclesiastical adjustment of Ireland which would have allied i

that country the papacy with the state, and have terminated the constitutional supremacy of the anglican church, when suddenly, in the very heat of all this arrogant fortune, the mighty fabric of delusion shattered and fell to the ground.

An abused and indignant soil repudiated the ungrateful race that had exhausted and degraded its once exuberant bosom. The land refused to hold those who would not hold the land on terms of justice and of science. All the economical palliatives and political pretences of long years seemed only to aggravate the suffering and confusion. The poor rate was levied upon a community of paupers, and the "godless colleges" were denounced by Rome as well as Oxford.

After a wild dream of famine and fever, imperial loans, rates in aid, jobbing public works, confiscated estates, constituencies self-disfranchised, and St. Peter's bearding St. James' in a spirit becoming Christendom rather than Europe, time topped the climax of Irish misgovernment; and by the publication of the census of 1851, proved, that the millions with whose evils no statesmen would sincerely deal, but whose condition had been the pretext for so much empiricism, had disappeared, and Nature, more powerful than politicians, had settled the "great difficulty."

Ere the publication of that document the mortal

career of Sir Robert Peel had closed, and indeed several of the circumstances to which we have just alluded did not occur in his administration ; but the contrast between his policy and its results was nevertheless scarcely less striking. It was in '45, that he transmitted his most important "message of peace" to Ireland, to be followed by an autumnal visit of her majesty to that kingdom, painted in complacent and prophetic colours by her prime minister. The visit was not made. In the course of that autumn, ten counties of Ireland were in a state of anarchy ; and, mainly in that period, there were one hundred and thirty-six homicides committed, one hundred and thirty-eight houses burned, four hundred and eighty-three houses attacked, and one hundred and thirty-eight fired into ; there were five hundred and forty-four cases of aggravated assault and five hundred and fifty-one of robbery of arms ; there were eighty-nine cases of bands appearing in arms ; there were more than two hundred cases of administering unlawful oaths ; and there were nineteen hundred and forty-four cases of sending threatening letters. By the end of the year, the general crime of Ireland had doubled in amount and enormity compared with the preceding year.

Instead of a visit to her Irish subjects, Queen Victoria had thus to address her parliament on its meeting in 1846.

" My lords and gentlemen,

" I have observed, with deep regret, the very frequent instances in which the crime of deliberate assassination has been of late committed in Ireland. It will be your duty to consider, whether any measures can be devised calculated to give increased protection to life."

In accordance with this important declaration from the throne, the Earl of St. Germans, then chief secretary to the lord lieutenant, called the attention of the house of lords, on the 24th of February, to the new " message of peace" of the government of Sir Robert Peel, which took the shape of a COERCION BILL.

CHAPTER VIII.

LORD GEORGE BENTINCK had large but defined views as to the policy which should be pursued with respect to Ireland. He was a firm supporter of the constitutional preponderance allotted to the land in our scheme of government, not from any jealousy or depreciation of the other great sources of public wealth, for his sympathy with the trading classes was genuine, but because he believed that constitutional preponderance, while not inconsistent with great commercial prosperity, to be the best security for public liberty and the surest foundation of enduring power. But as reality was the characteristic of his vigorous and sagacious nature, he felt that a merely formal preponderance, one not sustained and authorised by an equivalent material superiority, was a position not calculated to endure in the present age and one especially difficult to maintain with our

rapidly increasing population. For this reason, he was always very anxious to identify the policy of Great Britain with that of Ireland, the latter being a country essentially agricultural; and he always shrank from any proposition which admitted a difference in the interests of the two kingdoms.

Liberal politicians who some years ago were very loud for justice to Ireland, and would maintain at all hazards the identity of the interests of the two countries, have of late frequently found it convenient to omit that kingdom from their statistical bulletins of national prosperity. Lord George Bentinck, on the contrary would impress on his friends, that if they wished to maintain the territorial constitution of their country, they must allow no sectarian considerations to narrow the basis of sympathy on which it should rest; and in the acres and millions of Ireland, in its soil and its people, equally neglected, he would have sought the natural auxiliaries of our institutions. To secure for our Irish fellow-subjects a regular market for their produce; to develop the resources of their country by public works on a great scale; and to obtain a decent provision for the roman catholic priesthood from the land and not from the consolidated fund, were three measures which he looked upon as in the highest degree conservative.

When the project of the cabinet of 1846 had transpired, Lord George at once declared and was in

the habit of reiterating his opinion, that "it would ruin the 500,000 small farmers of Ireland," and he watched with great interest and anxiety the conduct of their representatives in the house of commons. It was with great difficulty that he could bring himself to believe, that political liberalism would induce the members for the south and west of Ireland to support a policy in his opinion so fatal to their countrymen as the unconditional repeal of the corn laws; and indeed before they took that step, which almost all of them have since publicly regretted and attempted to compensate for by their subsequent votes in the house of commons, the prospect of their conduct frequently and considerably varied.


The Earl of St. Germans, the chief secretary of the lord lieutenant, introduced the coercion bill to the house of lords on the 24th February, and, considering the exigency, and the important reference to it in the speech from the throne, this step on the part of the government was certainly not precipitate. It was observed that the strongest supporters of the measure in the house of lords on this occasion were the leaders of the whig party. Lord Lansdowne, "so far from complaining of the government for bringing forward the measure at so early a period of the session, was ready to admit, that after the declaration of her majesty, a declaration unhappily warranted by facts known to many of their lord-

nips, every day was lost in which an effectual remedy was not at least attempted to put an end to a state of society so horrible." Lord Clanricarde "gave his ready assent to the bill;" and even Lord Grey, "though he regretted the necessity for this measure, was of opinion that the chief secretary had established a sufficient case for arming the executive government with some additional powers." When, therefore, at the end of the month of March, Lord George Bentinck was invited to attend a meeting of his friends, held at the house of Mr. Bankes, to consider the course which should be adopted by the protectionist party with respect to the coercion bill, it was assumed as a matter of course, that the coalition of the government and the whigs must secure the passing of the measure, even if the protectionists were disposed, for the chance of embarrassing the ministry, to resist it; and of course there was no great tendency in that direction. Men are apt to believe that crime and coercion are inevitably associated. There was abundance of precedents for the course, which seemed also a natural one.

In less than a century there had been seventeen coercive acts for Ireland, a circumstance which might make some ponder whether such legislation were as efficacious as it was violent. However, assassination rife, Captain Rock and Molly M'Guire out at night, whigs and tories all agreed, it was easy to catch at a

glance the foregone conclusion of the meeting. One advantage of having a recognised organ of a political party is that its members do not decide too precipitately. They listen before they determine, and if they have a doubt they will grant the benefit of it to him whose general ability they have acknowledged, and to whom they willingly give credit for having viewed the question at issue in a more laborious and painful manner than themselves. Without a leader they commit themselves to opinions carelessly and hastily adopted. This is fatal to a party in debate; but it often entails very serious consequences when the mistakes have been committed in a less public and responsible scene than the house of commons.

In the present case, there was only one individual who took any considerable lead in the management of the party who ventured to suggest the expediency of pausing before they pledged themselves to support an unconstitutional measure, proposed by a government against which they were arrayed under circumstances of urgent and unusual opposition. The support of an unconstitutional measure may be expedient, but it cannot be denied that it is the most indubitable evidence of confidence. This suggestion, though received with kindness, elicited little sympathy, and Lord George Bentinck, who had not yet spoken, and who always refrained at these meetings from taking that directing part which



he never wished to assume, marking the general feeling of those present, and wishing to guide it to a practical result advantageous to their policy, observed that the support of the coercion bill by the protectionists ought to be made conditional on the government proving the sincerity of their policy by immediately proceeding with their measure; that if life were in such danger in Ireland as was officially stated, and as he was bound to believe, no corn or customs' bill could compete in urgency with the necessity of pressing forward a bill the object of which was to arrest wholesale assassination. He was therefore for giving the government a hearty support, provided they proved they were in earnest in their determination to put down murder and outrage in Ireland by giving a priority in the conduct of public business to the measure in question.

This view of the situation which was certainly adroit, for it combined the vindication of order with an indefinite delay of the measures for the repeal of the protective system, seemed to please every one; there was a murmur of approbation, and when one of the most considerable of the country gentlemen expressed the prevalent feeling, and added that all that was now to be desired was, that Lord George Bentinck would kindly consent to be the organ of the party on the occasion, and state their view to


the house, the cheering was very hearty. It came from the hearts of more than two hundred gentlemen, scarcely one of whom had a personal object in this almost hopeless struggle beyond the maintenance of a system which he deemed advantageous to his country; but they wished to show their generous admiration of the man who in the dark hour of difficulty and desertion had proved his courage and resource, had saved them from public contempt and taught them to have confidence in themselves. And after all there are few rewards in life which equal such sympathy from such men. The favour of courts and the applause of senates may have their moments of excitement and delight, but the incident of deepest and most enduring gratification in public life is to possess the cordial confidence of a high-spirited party, for it touches the heart as well as the intellect and combines all the softer feelings of private life with the ennobling consciousness of public duty.

Lord George Bentinck, deeply moved, consented to become the organ of the protectionists in this matter, but he repeated in a marked manner his previous declaration, that his duty must be limited to the occasion; he would serve with them, but he could not pretend to be the leader of a party. In that capacity however the government chose to recognise him, and there occurred in consequence, very shortly after this meeting,

a scene in the house of commons which occasioned at the time a great deal of surprise and scandal. The secretary of the treasury in pursuance of one of his principal duties, which is to facilitate by mutual understanding the conduct of public business in the house of commons, applied to Lord George Bentinck, confessedly at the request of Sir Robert Peel, to "enter into some arrangement" as to the conduct of public business before Easter. The arrangement suggested was, that if the protectionists supported the coercion bill, which it was the wish of Sir Robert Peel should be read a first time before Easter, the third reading of the bill for the repeal of the corn laws should be postponed until after Easter. The interview by appointment took place in the vote office, where the secretary of the treasury "called Lord George aside" and made this proposition. Lord George stated in reply, "what he believed to be the views of the party with whom he served," and they were those we have already intimated. The "arrangement" was concluded, and it was at the same time agreed that certain questions, of which notice had been given by Lord John Russell, relative to the progress of these very measures, should be allowed by the protectionists to pass *sub silentio*. This "pledge," made by the noble lord for himself and his friends, was "scrupulously observed." Nevertheless after all this, a letter arrived from the secre-

tary of the treasury, addressed to the noble lord, stating, that the secretary "had not been authorised in saying as much as he had said," and requesting that the conversation which had taken place might be considered private. Upon this Lord George Bentinck drew up a statement, "setting forth all that had passed," and forwarded it to the secretary as his reply. Subsequently he met that gentleman, who admitted that "every word in that statement, as respected the conversation which had passed, was perfectly correct."

This being the state of the case, on the second night of the debate on Mr. Eliot Yorke's amendment which we have noticed, and after the adjournment had been moved and carried, the government proceeded with some motions of form, which indicated their intention to secure if possible the third reading of the corn bill before Easter. Upon this Lord George Bentinck, after a hurried and apparently agitated conversation with the secretary of the treasury and others connected with the government, rose to move the adjournment of the house. He then gave as his reason the circumstances which we have briefly conveyed. A scene of considerable confusion occurred; the secretary of the treasury admitted the correctness of the statement: the first lord of the treasury rejected the alleged authority of the secretary. Mr. Tuffnell on the



part of the whigs intimated that public business could not be carried on if the recognised organs were repudiated by their chief. The feeling of all parties coincided with Mr. Tuffnell; finally, an Irish repealer rose and announced that the government were bartering their corn bill to secure coercion to Ireland. Lord George Bentinck said the coercion bill was "a second curfew act," that nothing but necessity could justify it, and if it were necessary it must be immediate. Sir Robert remained irritated and obstinate. He would not give up a stage either of the corn bill or the coercion bill; he wanted to advance both before Easter. The mere division of the house between free traders and protectionists had already ceased; there were breakers a-head, and it was not difficult from this night to perceive that the course of the government would not be so summary as they had once expected.

This strange interlude occurred after midnight on the 26th of March. On Friday, the 27th, the house divided on the amendment of Mr. Eliot Yorke, and the corn bill was read for the second time. On the re-assembling of the house on Monday, the 30th, an extraordinary scene took place.

It appears that the cabinet after painful deliberation had arrived at the conclusion, that, notwithstanding the importance of sending up the corn bill to the house of lords before Easter, it was

absolutely necessary to proceed at once with the coercion bill ; and it was resolved that the secretary of state should on this evening lay before the house, the facts and reasons which " induce the government to believe in the necessity of the measure." Mr. O'Connell and his followers had already announced their intention of opposing the first reading of the bill, an allowable but very unusual course. It is competent to the house of commons to refuse a first reading to any bill sent down to it ; but the journals afford few examples of the exercise of such a privilege. A member of the house of lords may lay on the table as a matter of pure right any bill which he thinks proper to introduce and it is read a first time as a matter of course ; the orders of the house of commons are different, and a member must obtain permission before he introduces a bill. This permission is occasionally refused ; but when a bill comes from the house of lords, the almost invariable custom is to read it for the first time without discussion. There are however as we have observed instances to the contrary, and the Irish coercion bill of '33, was one of them. So pregnant a precedent could not be forgotten on the present occasion. The government therefore were prepared for an opposition to the first reading of their bill ; but trusting to the strength of their case and the assumed support of the whig party, they

believed that this opposition would not be stubborn, more especially as there were numerous stages of the measure on which the views of its opponents might be subsequently expressed, and as they themselves were prepared to engage that they would not proceed further than this first reading until the corn bill had passed the house of commons. The consternation therefore of the government could scarcely be concealed when they found on Monday night that they had to encounter a well-organised party opposition headed by Sir William Somerville and sanctioned and supported in debate by Lord John Russell and Sir George Grey.

It would seem indeed a difficult and somewhat graceless office for the whigs to oppose the first reading of a government bill, concerning too the highest duties of administration, which had received such unqualified approval from all the leading members of their party in the house of lords, who had competed in declarations of its necessity and acknowledgments of its moderation, while they only regretted the too tardy progress of a measure so indispensable to the safety of the country and the security of her majesty's subjects. A curious circumstance however saved them from this dilemma, which yet in the strange history of faction they had nevertheless in due time to encounter.

As the coercion bill, coming from the lords,

appeared on the paper of the day in the form of a notice of motion, the secretary of state, this being a day on which orders have precedence, had to move that such orders of the day should be postponed, so that he might proceed with the motion on the state of Ireland of which notice had been given. The strict rule of the house is, that on Mondays and Fridays, orders of the day should have precedence of notices of motion, so that it was impossible for the secretary of state to make his motion, that a certain bill (the protection of life—Ireland, bill), should be read a first time without permission of the house, a permission always granted as a matter of course on such nights to the government, since the business which can be brought forward, whether in the shape of orders or motions, is purely government business, and thus the interests and privilege of no independent member of parliament can be affected by a relaxation of the rules which the convenience of a ministry and the conduct of public business occasionally require. However, on this night, no sooner had the secretary of state made in a few formal words this formal request, than up sprang Sir William Somerville to move an amendment that the orders of the day should not be postponed, which he supported in a spirited address, mainly on the ground of the great inconvenience that must be suffered from the postponement of the corn bill. The motion of the

secretary of state would produce a long, exciting, and exasperating debate. Time would be lost—for what? To advance one stage of a measure which it was avowedly not the intention of the government to press at the present moment. Sir William concluded with a very earnest appeal to Lord George Bentinck and his friends “who might at no very distant period have the government of Ireland intrusted to them,” not for the sake of a momentary postponement of the corn bill, to place themselves, by voting for this measure of coercion, in collision with the Irish nation. He called upon Lord George Bentinck to weigh the position in which he was placed.

This amendment was seconded by Mr. Smith O'Brien, the member for the county of Limerick, who warned the government that they “were entering on a contest which would continue for months.” He taunted the minister with governing the country without a party. What chance was there of reconciliation with his estranged friends? After the treatment of that “disavowed plenipotentiary,” the secretary of the treasury, who would be again found willing to undertake the mission of patching up a truce? He was not present when the terms of the treaty were exposed: but he understood, that if the government introduced this coercion bill before Easter, then that Lord George Bentinck would deem

it wise, proper, and expedient; but if after Easter, then the complexion and character of the bill were in the noble lord's judgment utterly transformed, and it was declared to be quite untenable and unconstitutional. Was that the kind of support on which the government calculated for passing this measure?

The secretary of state made a dexterous, conciliatory, almost humble address in reply to the taunts of Mr. Smith O'Brien. He said that he was well aware of the fact of which he had been just reminded, that in the present state of parties, the declared adherents of the government were a small minority; he even, while excusing the delay in the progress of the Irish measure, reminded the house of the curious fact, that since the meeting of parliament two successive Irish secretaries had lost their seats in the house of commons in consequence of supporting the administration of which they were members.

The case of the government was really so good and clear, that for a moment it seemed the opposition could hardly persist in their unusual proceeding: but this was a night of misfortunes.

There had been for some time a smouldering feud between the secretary and the recorder of Dublin. The learned gentleman had seized the occasion which the present state of parties afforded and in the course of the recent debate on the second reading of the corn bill had declared that th

asserted famine in Ireland was on the part of the government "a great exaggeration." The secretary had addressed himself particularly to this observation in his speech on the 27th, the night of the division; and had noticed it in a tone of acerbity. He had even intimated that it might have been used by one who was a disappointed solicitor for high office, and whom the government had declined to assist in an unwarrantable arrangement of the duties and salary of the judicial post he at present occupied. The learned recorder, justly indignant at this depreciating inuendo, resolved to make an opportunity on the following Monday for his vindication and retort. He rose therefore immediately after the skilful and winning appeal of the secretary and pronounced an invective against the right honourable gentleman which was neither ill-conceived nor ill-delivered. It revived the passions that for a moment seemed inclined to lull, and the protectionists, who on this occasion were going to support the government, forgot the common point of union while the secretary was described as "the evil genius of the cabinet."

After this, it was impossible to arrest the course of debate. Mr. O'Connell, who appeared to be in a state of great debility, made one of those acute points for which he was distinguished. He said the government complained of the threat held out by those who

opposed the bill, that they would avail themselves of the forms of the house to give it every opposition in their power. But what did the government do themselves? Why they were trying to trample upon one of the sessional orders and to abrogate the forms of the house in order to coerce the Irish people. Lord George Bentinck said, that "the chief minister had told them, that this was a bill to put down murder and assassination; in that case, if this bill were delayed, the blood of every man murdered in Ireland was on the head of her majesty's ministers." Sir George Grey followed, and avoiding any discussion of the state of Ireland in which Lord George had entered, supported the amendment of Sir Wm. Somerville on the broad ground that the bill for the repeal of the corn laws ought not to be for a moment delayed. "The debates on that measure had continued several weeks; and all who had any lengthened parliamentary experience must be convinced, that if the further progress of the corn bill was postponed until after Easter, they would have much longer and protracted debates in its future stages, than if the bill were pushed *de die in diem*. As he had understood, the government had intended that this bill should have gone up to the house of lords before Easter, when it would have been printed, and the second reading could have taken place at an early day after the holidays; but if it were put off

until after Easter, he would defy any man to show any reasonable expectation of its getting to a second reading in the other house before June, or July, or even August."

This was encouraging, and the plot seemed to thicken. The secretary at war was put up by the government to neutralize the effect of the speech of Sir George Grey, and he said; "I speak not only as a cabinet minister, but also as a considerable Irish proprietor." He said, "that anything so horrible as the state of demoralization and crime in which many parts of Ireland were plunged, anything so perfect as the suspension of the law in those parts of that country, anything, in short, so complete as the abrogation of liberty that obtained there, was, perhaps, never known." He thought that "no man and no minister could, under these circumstances, decline to admit that every and any measure ought to be postponed until a division had been taken, at least upon the principle of a measure which had for its object the suppression of these horrors." After such a declaration it was clear the government were in a false position when by the same organ it had to state, "that in asking to read this bill to-night, they only intended to postpone the corn bill for one night."

Lord John Russell following, admitted that, "in voting for the motion of Sir Wm. Somerville it was

not to be supposed, that if the secretary of state made out a case, he would not support the government bill ;” yet how the secretary was ever to find an opportunity of making out his case if the amendment of Sir Wm. Somerville was carried, was not very apparent. Sir Robert Peel who was disquieted by the whole proceedings connected with the coercion bill, irritated by the episode of “the disavowed plenipotentiary,” from which he did not for some time recover, and really alarmed at the indefinite prospect of delay in passing his all-important measures which now began to open, could not conceal his vexation in the remarks which he offered, and speaking of the amendment as one “of a frivolous character,” indignant cries of “No, no,” from his usual admirers, obliged him to withdraw the expression. His feelings were not soothed when later in the evening, even Mr. Cobden rose to deplore the conduct of that minister whom he otherwise so much admired. “He certainly regarded it as a great calamity. Something had actuated the government which he could not understand. He had a perfect belief in the sincerity of the prime minister, but in all human probability the corn bill would not now enter the house of lords before the beginning or middle of May ; and when it would come out again, heaven only knew !”

The house now divided, and being supported by

all the protectionists present, the government had a majority of 39, so the standing order was for that night rescinded, and, although the hour was late for such a statement, the secretary proceeded with the official exposition. Notwithstanding the depressing circumstances of the previous debate, the speech of Sir James Graham was distinguished by all that lucid arrangement of details and that comprehensive management of his subject which distinguish him. The statement made a great impression upon the house and the country, but unfortunately for the government the more necessary they made the measure appear, the more unjustifiable was their conduct in not immediately and vehemently pursuing it. They had indeed in the speech from the throne, at the commencement of this memorable session, taken up a false position for their campaign, and we shall see, as we pursue this narrative of these interesting events, that the fall of Sir Robert Peel was perhaps occasioned not so much by his repeal of the corn laws as by the mistake in tactics which this adroit and experienced parliamentary commander so strangely committed.

On this night of the 30th the government made no advance ; immediately that the secretary had finished, the followers of Mr. O'Connell moved the adjournment of the house, and persisted in this line notwithstanding the almost querulous appeal of the first minister.

CHAPTER IX.

LORD GEORGE wrote the next morning (Tuesday, March 31st) to a friend, who had not been able to attend the debate: "I look upon last night as the most awkward night the government have had yet; I believe they would have given their ears to have been beaten. We have now fairly set them and the tail at loggerheads, and I cannot see how they are to get another stage of either the tariff, or corn bill, before next Tuesday at any rate. I doubt if they will do anything before Easter."

It was understood that the house would adjourn for the Easter recess on the 8th instant. There were therefore only two nights remaining for government business before the holidays. On the first of these (Friday, April the 3rd), Mr. O'Connell had announced that he should state his views at length on the condition of Ireland and the causes

of these agrarian outrages. Accordingly when the order of the day for resuming the adjourned debate was read, he rose at once to propose an amendment to the motion. He sate in an unusual place—in that generally occupied by the leader of the opposition, and spoke from the red box, convenient to him from the number of documents to which he had to refer. His appearance was of great debility and the tones of his voice were very still. His words indeed only reached those who were immediately around him, and the ministers sitting on the other side of the green table, and listening with that interest and respectful attention which became the occasion.

It was a strange and touching spectacle to those who remembered the form of colossal energy and the clear and thrilling tones that had once startled, disturbed, and controlled, senates. Mr. O'Connell was on his legs for nearly two hours, assisted occasionally in the management of his documents by some devoted aid-de-camp. To the house generally it was a performance of dumb show, a feeble old man muttering before a table; but respect for the great parliamentary personage kept all as orderly as if the fortunes of a party hung upon his rhetoric; and though not an accent reached the gallery, means were taken that next morning the country should not lose the last and not the least interesting of the

speeches of one who had so long occupied and agitated the mind of nations.

This remarkable address was an abnegation of the whole policy of Mr. O'Connell's career. It proved by a mass of authentic evidence ranging over a long term of years, that Irish outrage was the consequence of physical misery, and that the social evils of that country could not be successfully encountered by political remedies. To complete the picture, it concluded with a panegyric of Ulster and a patriotic quotation from Lord Clare.

Lord John Russell who as an experienced parliamentary leader had already made more than one effort to extricate the whigs from the consequences of the hearty support given to the government measures in the other house by Lords Lansdowne and Clanricarde and even by Lord Grey, ventured to-night even to say that if he should agree that the house would do well to assent to the first reading of this bill, he thought he was bound to state also that in the future stages of it he should have "objections to offer going to the foundations of some of its principal provisions."

His speech was curious, as perhaps the last considerable manifesto of whig delusion respecting Ireland. Coercion bills might be occasionally necessary; no doubt of it; Lord Grey had once a coercion bill, and Lord John Russell had voted for it; but then

remedial measures ought to be introduced with coercive ones: the evil should be repressed, but also cured. Thus, Lord Althorp, when the government introduced their great coercion bill, introduced also a measure which, besides making a great reform in the protestant church of Ireland, exempted the whole catholic community of Ireland from the payment of church cess which had previously been felt as a very great grievance. On another day Lord Althorp declared his intention of pressing through parliament a jury bill which had been brought into the house the previous session but which was allowed to drop in the house of lords.

Again, there was another declaration which Lord Althorp had made which, somehow or other, seemed to have been forgotten. It was a declaration with respect to the municipal corporations of Ireland. Lord Althorp said it was exceedingly desirable that the institutions of the two countries should be assimilated as much as possible; and that as a general rule the corporate bodies of Ireland should be the same as England. Mr. O'Connell had said on that occasion that there was no greater grievance in Ireland than the existence of corporations in their then shape. Lord John contrasted this language of Lord Althorp, "simple, plain, emphatic, and decided," with the language of the government of Sir Robert Peel; and held up to admiration the whig

policy of 1833, certainly coercive, but with remedial measures, a measure for the abolition of church cess introduced ten days before the coercion bill and a promise of municipal reform made simultaneously with the proclamation of martial law. This was real statesmanship and touching the root of the evil. Whereas "Sir Robert Peel had only consented to passing the municipal bill in a crippled state, and only now (in 1846) promised, that the corporations of Ireland should be placed on the same footing as the corporations of England." Who could be surprised that such a policy should end in famine and pestilence?

The followers of Mr. O'Connell again succeeded in adjourning the debate until Monday the 6th. On that day Sir Robert Peel made "an earnest appeal" to extricate himself from the almost perilous position in which he found his administration suddenly involved. In case the division on the first reading of the Irish bill should not take place that night, he endeavoured to prevail on those members who had notices on the paper for the following night (Tuesday the 7th), the last night before the holidays, to relinquish their right and to permit the Irish debate to proceed and conclude. "He had no wish to interfere with the due discussion of the measure; but he believed that the Irish members, if they permitted the house to proceed with the corn bill, by con-

cluding the discussion on the Irish bill, would be rendering an essential service to their country."

But this earnest appeal only influenced still more the fiery resolves of Mr. Smith O'Brien and his friends. They threw the responsibility for delay of the corn bill on the government. The inconvenience which the country suffered, was occasioned by the minister not by the Irish members. He ought on Friday last to have adjourned the discussion on the coercion bill until after Easter. He and other members who were on the paper for to-morrow would willingly relinquish their right of priority in favour of the corn bill, or of any measure of a remedial kind, but not in favour of a coercion bill. He did not wish to have any concealment with the minister as to the course which the Irish members would pursue. It was their bounden duty to take care that *pari passu* with the discussion of the coercion bill there should be discussions as to the mis-government of Ireland; and that in the absence of any remedial measures of the government, they should have an opportunity of suggesting such as they thought advisable for removing those evils which they utterly denied that the measure now before the House would remove.

In vain Sir Robert in his blindest tones and with that remarkable command of a temper, not naturally serene, which distinguished him, acknowledged to a

certain degree the propriety of the course intimated by Mr. Smith O'Brien ; but suggested at the same time that it was compatible with allowing the Irish bill to be now read for a first time, since on its subsequent stages Mr. O'Brien and his friends would have the full opportunity which they desired, of laying before the house the whole condition of the country. All was useless. No less a personage than Mr. John O'Connell treated the appeal with contempt, and lectured the first minister on the " great mistake " which he had made. Little traits like these revealed the true parliamentary position of the once omnipotent leader of the great conservative party. With the legions of the protectionists watching their prey in grim silence, while the liberal sections were united in hostile manœuvres against the government, it was recognised at once that the great minister had a staff without an army ; not a reconnoitring could take place without the whole cabinet being under orders, and scarcely a sharpshooter sallied from the opposite ranks without the prime minister returning his fire in person.

Sir Robert Peel mournfully observed that he " did not wish to provoke a recriminatory discussion," and he resigned himself to his fate. Immediately the third night of the adjourned debate on the Irish bill commenced, and was sustained principally by the Irish members until a late hour. It had not been

intention of Lord George Bentinck to have spoken this occasion, though he had never been absent a moment from his seat, and watched all that hurried with that keen relish which was usual with him when he thought things were going right; but having been personally and not very courteously assailed by the late Mr. Dillon Browne, and deemed also the occasion, just before the holidays, a not happy one, he rose and concluded the debate. His speech was not long, it was not prepared, and it was very animated.

Recapitulating himself the main features of the disturbed district, he said: "It is because of these things, Sir, that I am prepared to support at least the first reading of a bill, which I freely admit to be at least unconstitutional in itself."

Noticing a speech made in the course of the evening by Lord Morpeth, who had himself once been chief secretary of the lord lieutenant, Lord George thought it discreet to remind the house of the unequivocal support given to this bill by the great leaders in another place: "Sir, I think when we see all the great leaders of the whig party supporting the measure elsewhere, we cannot be justly blamed for doing as they do." Lord Morpeth then referred to "remedial measures which he thinks should be introduced for Ireland: to measures for the extension of the municipal, and also of the par-

liamentary franchise of that country ; and he expressed his desire to see those franchises put on the same footing as the franchises of England." "For the life of me," exclaimed Lord George, "I confess, I cannot see in what way the extension of political franchises of any description in Ireland would afford a remedy for the evils which this measure aims to suppress. I think, Sir, it is impossible not to perceive that there is a connection between agrarian outrage and the poverty of the people."

After noticing the inadequate poor law which then existed in Ireland, he added : "There is also another point immediately connected with this subject to which I must refer. I allude, Sir, to the system of absenteeism. I cannot disguise from myself the conviction, that many of the evils of Ireland arise from the system of receiving rents by absentee landlords who spend them in other countries. I am well aware that, in holding this doctrine, I am not subscribing to the creed of political economists. I am well aware that Messrs. Senior and M'Culloch hold, that it makes no difference whether the Irish landlord spends his rents in Dublin, on his Irish estates, in London, in Bath, or elsewhere. I profess, Sir, I cannot understand that theory. I believe that the first ingredient in the happiness of a people is, that the gentry should reside on their native soil, and spend their rents among those from whom

ey receive them. I cannot help expressing a wish that some arrangement may be made connected with the levying of the poor rate in Ireland, by which absentee landlords may be made to contribute in something like a fair proportion to the wants of the poor in the district in which they ought to reside. There is an arrangement in the hop-growing districts in England in respect to tithe, which might, I think, afford a very useful suggestion. There are two tithes: the one, the ordinary tithe, the other, extraordinary, which is levied only so long as the lands cultivated in hops. I think if there were two poor rates introduced into Ireland, the one applying to all occupiers of land, and the other to all those who did not spend a certain portion of the year on some portion of their estates in Ireland, it would prove useful. I think that by thus appealing to their interests, it might induce absentee landlords to reside much more in Ireland, than is now unfortunately the case.

“But, Sir, I think there are other remedial measures. Some days ago, the secretary of state told the member for Stroud (Mr. Poulett Scrope), when he suggested some such measure, that he was treading on dangerous ground, and that the doctrines he was advocating might be written in letters of blood in Ireland; but, notwithstanding all this, I still say that I think measures might be introduced for improving the relations between landlord and tenant in

Ireland. I do think that some guarantee might, and ought to be given to the tenantry of Ireland for the improvements they make upon their farms.

“ Sir, the secretary of state in introducing this measure, maintained a doctrine which, I think, much more likely to be written in letters of blood, for he bound up the question of the corn laws with the present one. He said, that unless he could have prevailed on his colleagues to accede to his free trade measures as regards corn, he would not have introduced this bill. Why, Sir, far from giving food to the people of Ireland, in my opinion the measures of her majesty’s ministers will take away from the people of Ireland their food, by destroying the profits of their only manufacture—the manufacture of corn—and injuring their agriculture; depriving them of employment; in fact, by taking away from them the very means of procuring subsistence. Sir, I cannot see how the repeal of those laws affecting corn can be in any way connected with the suppression of outrage and the protection of life. What is this but to say that, unless we have a free trade in corn, we must be prepared to concede a free trade in agrarian outrage—a free trade in maiming and houghing cattle—a free trade in incendiarism—a free trade in the burning and sacking of houses—a free trade in midnight murder and in noon-day assassination? What is this but telling the people of Ireland, that

assassination, murder, incendiarism, are of such light consideration in the eyes of the secretary of state, that their sanction or suppression by the minister of the crown hinges upon the condition of the corn market and the difference in the price of potatoes ?

“ Sir, what has the potato disease to do with the outrages in Ireland? Some think a great deal. I have taken the trouble of looking into the matter. I have examined into the state of crime in at least five counties ; Tipperary, Roscommon, Limerick, Leitrim, and Clare ; and I find, that during the three months prior to the first appearance of the potato disease, and when in fact food was as cheap in Ireland as at almost any former period—when plenty abounded in all quarters of the empire, that the amount of crime exceeded that in the three months immediately following. Now, those who doubt this statement will have an opportunity of ascertaining the correctness of my figures, for I will not deal in general assertions. Well then, Sir, I find in the three months, May, June, and July last, that the number of crimes committed in the five counties I have mentioned amounted to no less than 1,180, while in the three months immediately after the potato disease, or famine as it is called, the amount of crime committed in the same three months was not 1,180, but 870. I should like to know therefore what this agrarian outrage has to

do with the potato famine ; and where is the justification for a minister coming down to this house, and declaring that unless we pass a free trade measure we are not to obey her majesty's commands by passing a measure for the protection of life in Ireland. Why, Sir, I think when this language reaches the people of Ireland, coming too, as it does, from the treasury, above all from the secretary of state for the home department, there is indeed danger to be apprehended that such a doctrine may be written in letters of blood in that country. Why, Sir, if we are to hear such language as this from that minister of the crown charged with the peace of the country, we may just as well have Captain Rock established as lord lieutenant in the castle of Dublin, a whiteboy for chief secretary, and Molly Maguire installed at Whitehall with the seals of the home department."

And afterwards he remarked, "I have been taunted that when I may be entrusted with the government of Ireland, I should perhaps then learn, that Tyrone was an orange county. Sir, in answer to that taunt, I must take leave to ask what expression of mine, either in this house or out of it, justifies any such remark? When or where can it be said, that I have ever permitted myself to know any distinction between an orangeman and a catholic ; when, in the whole course of my parliamentary career, have I ever

given a vote or uttered a sentiment hostile or unfriendly to the roman catholics, either of England or Ireland?"

This speech, though delivered generally in favour of the Irish bill, attracted very much the attention and, as it appeared afterwards, the approbation of those Irish members, who, although sitting on the liberal benches, did not acknowledge the infallible authority of Mr. O'Connell, and was the origin of a political connection between them and Lord George Bentinck which on more than one subsequent occasion promised to bring important results.

Two successive motions were now made for the adjournment of the debate, and Sir Robert Peel at length said, that he "saw it was useless to persist." He agreed to the adjournment until the next day, with the understanding that if it did not come on he would name the time to which it should be postponed after the holidays.

Upon this, Sir William Somerville made one more appeal to the minister to postpone the further discussion of the Irish bill altogether until the corn bill had passed the commons. He intimated that unless the government at once adopted this resolution they would find themselves after Easter in the same perplexity which now paralyzed them. They would not be permitted to bring on this measure

except upon government nights, and the discussion might then last weeks.

The minister exceedingly embarrassed would not however relent. On the following day, when he moved the adjournment of the house for the holidays, he reduced the vacation three days, in order to obtain Friday, a government night, which otherwise would have been absorbed in the holidays, and he announced the determination of the government again to proceed on that night with the Irish bill in preference to the corn bill. The Irish members glanced defiance, and the protectionists could scarcely conceal their satisfaction. The reputation of Sir Robert Peel for parliamentary management seemed to be vanishing; never was a government in a more tottering state; and the whigs especially began to renew their laments that the Edinburgh letter and its consequences had prevented the settlement of the corn question from devolving to the natural arbitrator in the great controversy, their somewhat rash but still unrivalled leader, Lord John Russell.

CHAPTER X.

THE members of the protectionist opposition returned to their constituents with the sanguine feelings which success naturally inspires. Their efforts had surprised, not displeased, the country; the elections were in their favour; the government business halted; the delay in the calculated arrival of the famine had taken the edge off the necessity which it was supposed would have already carried the corn bill through the commons, while the twin measure which the throes of Ireland had engendered had developed elements of opposition which even the calmest observer thought might possibly end in overthrow. Above all, that seemed to have happened which the most experienced in parliamentary life had always deemed to be impracticable; namely, the formation of a third party in the house of commons.


How completely this latter and difficult result was owing to the abilities and energies of one man, and how anomalous was the position which he chose to occupy in not taking the formal lead of a party which was entirely guided by his example, were convictions and considerations that at this juncture much occupied men's minds. And it was resolved among the most considerable of the country gentlemen to make some earnest and well-combined effort during the recess to induce Lord George Bentinck to waive the unwillingness he had so often expressed of becoming their avowed and responsible leader.

When Lord George Bentinck first threw himself into the breach, he was influenced only by a feeling of indignation at the manner in which he thought the conservative party had been trifled with by the government and Lord Stanley, his personal friend and political leader, deserted by a majority of the cabinet. As affairs developed, and it became evident that the bulk of the conservative party throughout the country had rallied round his standard, Lord George could not conceal from himself the consequences of such an event, or believe that it was possible that the party in the house of commons although Lord Stanley might eventually think fit to guide it by his counsels and become if necessary personally responsible for its policy, could be long held together unless it were conducted by a leader.

present in the same assembly, and competent under all circumstances to represent its opinions in debate. Lord George, although a very proud man, had no vanity or self-conceit. He took a very humble view of his own powers, and he had at the same time a very exalted one of those necessary to a leader of the house of commons. His illustrious connexion, Mr. Canning, was his standard. He had been the private secretary of that minister in his youth, and the dazzling qualities of that eminent personage had influenced the most susceptible time of life of one who was very tenacious of his impressions. What Lord George Bentinck appreciated most in a parliamentary speaker was brilliancy: quickness of perception, promptness of repartee, clear and concise argument, a fresh and felicitous quotation, wit and picture, and if necessary a passionate appeal that should never pass the line of high-bred sentiment. Believing himself not to be distinguished by these rhetorical qualities, he would listen with no complacency to those who would urge in private that the present period of parliamentary life was different from the days of Mr. Canning, and that accumulated facts and well-digested reasoning on their bearing, a command of all the materials of commercial controversy, and a mastery of the laws that regulate the production and distribution of public wealth, combined with habits of great diligence and application, would ensure

the attention of a popular assembly, especially when united to a high character and great social position. This might be urged; but he would only shake his head, with a ray of humour twinkling in his piercing eyes, and say in a half-drawling tone: "If Mr. Canning were alive, he could do all this better than any of them, and be not a whit less brilliant."

There was also another reason why Lord George Bentinck was unwilling to assume the post of leader of the conservative party and this very much influenced him. Sprung from a great whig house, and inheriting all the principles and prejudices of that renowned political connection which had expelled the Stuarts, he had accepted in an unqualified sense the dogma of religious liberty. This principle was first introduced into active politics in order to preserve the possessions of that portion of the aristocracy which had established itself on the plunder of the church. It was to form the basis of a party which should prevent re-action and restitution of church lands. Whether the principle be a true one, and whether its unqualified application by any party in the state be possible, are questions yet unsettled. It is not probable for example that the worship of Juggernaut which Lord Dalhousie permits in Orissa, would be permitted even by Lord John Russell at Westminster. Even a papist procession is forbidden, as wisely. The application of the principle however



Lord George Bentinck's mind was among other things associated with the public recognition of the roman catholic hierarchy by the state, and a provision for its maintenance in Ireland in accordance with the plan of Mr. Pitt. What had happened with respect to the vote on the endowment of Maynooth in 1845, had convinced him that his opinions on this subject presented an insuperable barrier to his ever becoming the leader of a party which had contributed three-fourths of the memorable minority on that occasion. It was in vain that it was impressed upon him by those most renowned for their protestant principles, and who were at the same time most anxious to see Lord George Bentinck in his right position, that the question of Maynooth was settled, and there was now no prospect of future measures of a similar character. This was not the opinion of Lord George Bentinck. He nursed in his secret soul a great scheme for the regeneration and settlement of Ireland, which he thought ought to be one of the mainstays of a conservative party; and it was his opinion that the condition of the roman catholic priesthood must be considered.

It was in vain, in order to assist in removing these scruples, that it was represented to him by others that endowment of a priesthood by the state was a notion somewhat old-fashioned, and opposed to the spirit of the age which associated true religious free-

dom with the full development of the voluntary principle. He listened to these suggestions with distrust, and even with a little contempt. Mr. Canning had been in favour of the endowment of the Irish priesthood, that was sufficient for that particular; and as for the voluntary principle, he looked upon it as priestcraft in disguise; his idea of religious liberty was, that all religions should be controlled by the state.

Besides these two prominent objections to accepting the offered post, namely, his unaffected distrust in his parliamentary abilities and his assumed want of concordance with his followers on a great principle of modern politics, we must also remember that his compliance with the request involved no ordinary sacrifice of much which renders life delightful. He was to relinquish pursuits of noble excitement to which he was passionately attached, and to withdraw in a great degree from a circle of high-spirited friends, many of them of different political connection from himself, by whom he was adored. With all his unrivalled powers of application when under the influence of a great impulse, he was constitutionally indolent and even lethargic. There was nothing therefore in his position or his temperament to prick him on in '46; it was nothing but his strong will acting upon his indignation which sustained him. It is not therefore marvellous that

he exhibited great reluctance to commit irretrievably his future life. At a subsequent period, indignation had become ambition, and circumstances of various kinds had made him resolve to succeed or die.

On the adjournment, Lord George had gone down to Newmarket, which he greatly enjoyed after his exhausting campaign. Here some letters on the subject of the leadership passed, but nothing was definitively arranged till some time after the re-assembling of parliament. For convenience we mention here the result. The wish of the party was repeatedly and personally urged by the popular and much-esteemed member for Dorsetshire, and at last Lord George consented to their wishes, on these conditions: that he should relinquish his post the moment the right man was discovered, who, according to his theory, would ultimately turn up; and secondly, that his responsible post was not to restrict or embarrass him on any questions in which a religious principle was involved.

Before however this negotiation was concluded, and while yet at Newmarket, he wrote to a friend, the day before the house met (April 16th).

"I think there is no doubt, but that the Irish will take care of Friday (to-morrow) night. I have not much hope of their keeping up the debate beyond Friday.

“It is quite clear from O’Connell’s language at Dublin that we have no hope from the Irish tail.

“I still think myself, that delay affords a great chance of something turning up in our favour; already the rejection of any reciprocity by M. Guizot has provided us with a grand weapon, which, I trust, you will drive well home into ****’s vitals; a very short delay would probably bring over similar intelligence from the United States and their congress. I trust we shall have an important deputation over from Canada, representing, that the inevitable results of these free trade measures in corn and timber will be to alienate the feelings of our Canadian colonists, and to induce them to follow their sordid interests, which will now, undoubtedly, be best consulted, and most promoted by annexation to the United States.

“Lord ——’s intended tergiversation has been, I believe, some time known; he admits, that all farmers without capital, in short all little men, must be sacrificed. What a barbarous and odious policy, that goes upon the principle, that none but capitalists are henceforth to be allowed to live, as farmers at least. We must turn the tables upon Lord —— and all such heartless doctrinaires!

“I fear the majority in the lords will be greater than was expected; I am told that we must endea-

your to put ministers in a minority two or three times before the bill gets to its second reading in the lords, no matter upon what question. I hear there are many peers whose votes depend entirely upon their notions, whether, or not, Peel can, by hook or by crook, carry on."

CHAPTER XI.

It seems, that Lord George Bentinck took a too desponding view of the resources and intentions of the Irish members. They returned not merely resolved to continue their opposition to the coercion bill, but with a determination among several of them not only to defeat the particular measure but to overthrow, if possible, the minister altogether.

Notwithstanding the efforts of Mr. O'Connell, who was anxious to hasten the passing of the corn bill in order to facilitate the return of his old allies to power, the repeal of the corn laws was a measure which a great many of the Irish members found it very difficult to digest, and which they could not help suspecting was a somewhat heavy price to pay for the benefit of restoring the whigs to office. The declining energies of Mr. O'Connell and the complete failure of his policy, every day becoming more

evident, had of late combined to develop in Ireland the first germs of a party, now prevalent, which, while still avowing what are styled liberal opinions, sought the regeneration of that country in social rather than political remedies, and aimed to unite men for that object without reference to their religious opinions. Country, and not creed, was held out as the foremost consideration by these persons, national rather than ecclesiastical objects, material more than spiritual aims, and, at the bottom, the superintendence of the landlord rather than the supremacy of the priest. Like everything else, this tendency was a reaction, occasioned by the abuse and disaster of the system for which it desired to be substituted.

It is due to an unfortunate gentleman who had many generous qualities, to state that Mr. Smith O'Brien, the member for the county of Limerick, was one of the first who perceived the necessity of this course, and if his judgment had been equal to his abilities, which were far from inconsiderable, there is little doubt he might have filled a very useful and gratifying position. The speech of Lord George Bentinck on the state of Ireland, which was noticed in our ninth chapter, had attracted the attention of Mr. O'Brien and his friends, who thought they perceived in that address an outline of measures much more calculated to alleviate and ultimately

remove the evils of their country than the effects programme of the whig leader, or the precipitate and violent scheme of the minister which while introduced on the plea of encountering the emergency of Ireland, was well known to have been framed only to meet the exigency of Manchester, and which, while it affected to consider above all things the condition of the Irish people, warned the house at the same time not to trench on the sacred subject of tenure, or to attempt to cure the chronic evil of national pauperism.

The attempt of Mr. O'Connell made at Dublin during the recess to secure at all hazards the passing of the corn bill and which was noticed in the letter of Lord George Bentinck, determined those who had freed themselves from the ancient thralldom of the delegate of the Irish priesthood, to take some decisive step on their return to England, which might open in impending changes some better prospect for their country than a mere transfer of office from Sir Robert Peel to his accustomed rival.

Accordingly when the house met on the 17th of April, and the secretary of state moved the order of the day for the adjourned debate (the fourth night) on the coercion bill, Mr. Smith O'Brien, with characteristic impetuosity, interposed to "make an appeal to the government with respect to that part of Ireland with which he was connected." The

people were starving, "but the circumstance which appeared most aggravating was, that the people were starving in the midst of plenty." Every tide carried from the Irish ports corn sufficient for the maintenance of thousands of the Irish people. He then criticised in considerable detail the ineffective measures of the government to meet this state of affairs. He was for increased assessments for the poor and additional assessments from absentees. He distinctly announced that he was one of those who differed from the great majority at his own side of the house with respect to measures to alter the corn laws. No doubt such alteration might be of service to England, but it must be for some time injurious to Ireland. It was unfair and ungenerous on the part of the government with respect to members who differed from them, to mix up the question of the corn laws with the coercion bill.

This address, well digested, full of detail, and evincing an acquaintance with the subject, forced a vindication of the government at some length from the secretary of state. Mr. Roche, the member for the county of Cork, and a gentleman who has always taken a very independent part in the house of commons, supported the views of Mr. Smith O'Brien so earnestly and effectively, that Sir Robert Peel himself felt obliged to rise and defend the administration. This interesting discussion threw back

the adjourned debate, which was continued later in the evening in a languid spirit, when a further adjournment was again moved, on the ground that, "though the debate had continued for four nights, only two members of the government had spoken, while not a single Irish member had yet come forward to advocate the measure."

Mr. Ellice, the member for Coventry, whose practised eye began to perceive that the embroilment was becoming serious, and that unless some arrangement were brought about, it was quite possible that the government might break up without passing the corn bill, appealed to the Irish members with specious sympathy not to persist in their course. He felt very strongly with them ; for nearly thirty years he had voted for a liberal policy to Ireland ; this appeal came from a warm friend to their country, but there were measures for which both England, Scotland, and even Ireland were waiting with anxiety. He entreated them to reflect on the course they were pursuing, and on the effect it would have on the country, suffering from one end to the other from the little progress yet made in the business of the session. But those persuasive tones and all that faculty of affectionate expostulation, which had so often soothed and stifled the mutiny of faction, were now employed in vain. Mr. Dillon Browne "could come to no other conclusion, than that the Irish members were treated

with contempt," so they divided on the adjournment, and being defeated, moved a fresh adjournment ; on which the minister surrendered, and postponed the debate until the next Monday, when to complete the vexation of the government they did not succeed in making a house.

Thus after the recess a whole week passed without the government advancing a single step, either with their corn or their coercion bill. So feeble and barren a behaviour, when above all things progress and even a little audacity were required, confirmed the suspicion in the public mind that had been for some time rising that the minister had undertaken a measure beyond his powers and one of a character unnecessarily extreme. The lovers of compromise, always the strongest party in this country, were now active. Why did not the whigs step in and settle the question in a spirit consistent with all their previous declarations, which even the protectionists would now willingly accept? A moderate fixed duty was the whig policy : it would save the honour of the landed party ; it would meet the scientific objections of those economical authorities, who, however favourable to interchange, were of opinion that it was injurious to encounter hostile tariffs by free imports ; it might prove a fruitful source of revenue ; finally, it would permit the formation of a strong government, and

the whigs would only be in their natural position as the leaders of the aristocracy of the country.

Nor were the objections and obstacles to a course so temperate and conciliatory very apparent. There was no excitement in England in favour of the government scheme; on the contrary, the only excitement then was that of the farmers, and that was against the measure; all the important elections had invariably been decided against the ministry; Ireland, for whose advantage it was especially introduced, viewed it with distrust and even dislike. There was the clamour of Manchester to encounter; but the manufacturing districts were by no means unanimous in favour of total repeal, and the proud confederation which now demanded that abrogation had, only a few months previously to the meeting of parliament, been on the point, with empty coffers, of withdrawing from a struggle which they then considered hopeless.

What then prevented the overthrow of Sir Robert Peel's government after the Easter recess of 1846? The whigs were sufficiently patriotic not to shrink from office; they were as a party both from feeling and conviction, unanimous in favour of a fixed duty; Lord Palmerston's speech was still ringing in the ears of the house of commons, and not to run the risk of its being forgotten his lordship

had properly taken care to have it printed ; they were under the circumstances of the unanimous support of the Irish members who would have got at the same time of the corn and the coercion laws ; they would have received from the landed interest a permanent support ; and if Lord George Bentinck had entered the new cabinet, which many among the whigs talked of and desired, he would have only reverted to that ancient political connection which his house for generations had been one of its main props.

What then prevented this important and desirable consequence ? The Edinburgh letter.

Some time however elapsed before the insurmountable nature of this barrier transpired ; and during this period of suspense, intrigue was active and prolific. Young Ireland was not sluggish. It was important to remove the prejudice which might be raised against the protectionist party, on the ground that in opposing the repeal of the corn laws they were preventing the necessary supplies from being poured into famished Ireland ; and it was therefore resolved that some public declaration of the position of the protectionists on this head should be immediately elicited. It was, therefore, on Tuesday, the 19th of April, at Harcourt House, and while in conversation with Mr. Baron Martin, that Lord George Bentinck received a letter from Mr.

Smith O'Brien, preparatory to the anticipated meeting of the house on the morrow, in which he inquired, "whether in the event of the government bringing in a bill to suspend the existing corn laws as regards Ireland, so as to admit grain duty free, the noble lord and the party with which he was connected would support such a measure?" Lord George Bentinck had no personal acquaintance with Mr. Smith O'Brien, and this was the first letter he had received from him. He opened it, and read it aloud without first reading it to himself, so that Mr. Martin became aware of its purport as soon as Lord George. The answer to the inquiry of Mr. Smith O'Brien was immediate and straightforward. Lord George said he would give no reply to such an inquiry without consulting his friends; that he would confer with them; and that if the question were addressed to him in his place in the house of commons, he would state the resolution at which they might arrive. These slight circumstances are noticed, because this inquiry led to no inconsiderable results; the government became much alarmed, and a cabinet minister who some days after was seeking the suffrages of the electors of a Scotch borough, declared that a "compact" had been entered into between the protectionists and the Irish members to defeat the repeal of the corn laws.

Mr. Smith O'Brien did not obtain his opportunity

until Friday, the 24th of April, when the order of the day was again moved for the fifth night of the adjourned debate on the coercion bill. Then he rose and put the question at once in the language we have quoted ; but without waiting for an immediate answer, he proceeded to illustrate his inquiry by a speech of some length, and very skilfully prepared.

Since he had called the attention of the house to the condition of his countrymen a week ago, the government had laid documents on the table which were now in everybody's hands. They proved that famine was rapidly approaching in Ireland, nay that it actually existed. Quoting from these documents in great detail, he said that such a state of things could not continue without some resort to violence by the people. With these documents before them he could hardly believe that government would persevere in a measure which took away from the people the right of being out of doors half an hour after sunset. Ministers declared they had foreseen famine. Why then had they not taken due precautions ? If the minister saw so clearly in November what has since occurred, was it not his business to have made arrangements to prevent starvation ? And now the Irish members were taunted with preventing an influx of food into Ireland, because the government will not proceed with the corn bill until the house has sanctioned their coercion bill.

The Irish members had attended in their place for the purpose of supporting those measures of free trade which the English liberals considered so essential to the welfare of the country. They might therefore have reasonably expected, that the English liberals would have concurred with them in offering such resistance to the coercion bill as would render it impossible for the minister to pass it. It was not so difficult to control a government which had only one hundred and twelve supporters.

The Irish members were denounced for doing their duty to their country; but they were not the only persons who received this welcome vituperation. Lord George Bentinck and his friends came in for a share of it. They were present to answer for themselves. He did not know what answer he should receive to the question that he had put to the noble lord, but as far as he could observe, the course of the protectionists up to the present moment had been this:—"You have no right," they said to the government, "to couple the question of Irish famine with the question of free trade; and if you had come down to this house and told us the people of Ireland were starving, we would have assented to placing a greater abundance of food at the disposal of the Irish government."

Mr. O'Brien felt the same; he felt there was a want of candour in mixing up the famine of Ireland

with the repeal of the corn laws. "The repeal of the corn laws was evidently an English measure; it was not an Irish measure." Although hitherto silent on that subject, he would speak on it now without reserve. He was now, as he had been in '42, an advocate for a fixed duty. Lord John Russell whom he followed on that occasion had left him in the rear; but he had seen no reason to change his views, which he considered best for Ireland and England too.

The house was very full when this declaratory inquiry was made, and great interest was felt when Lord George Bentinck rose to reply to it.

There had been a very numerous meeting held at Mr. Bankes' house in Palace yard, to take the proposition of the Irish members into consideration, and which had scarcely concluded in time for Lord George to take his seat at five o'clock. It was the first occasion on which Lord George had met his friends as their avowed leader. It might be thought, he said, that under ordinary circumstances it was not fair to ask an independent and isolated member what might be his intentions; but then the circumstances in which they found themselves were not ordinary. There was a ministry endeavouring to govern the country in which only a sixth of the members of the house of commons placed confidence, while those with whom

Lord George Bentinck acted and agreed "numbered two hundred and forty, constituting with the Irish members a clear majority of the house." He thought these were circumstances which entitled Mr. O'Brien to put to him the question which had been asked, and he would give to it a frank and honest answer.

If through a cry excited by the ministry, a feeling had been created in Ireland that the protectionist party, or the opponents of the coercion bill, were standing between the starving people of Ireland and their food, the protectionist party were willing to remove that delusion by passing, instantly, any measures which for a period should open the ports of Ireland. But, in so doing they solemnly protested against the assumption that they believed such a measure would afford any relief to the people of Ireland. Into London and Glasgow alone within the three months subsequent to the 1st of January '46, 260,000 quarters of oats had been imported from Ireland. How was it possible by suspending the corn laws in Ireland to supply oats for the people when the price of oats in the London market, exclusive of duty, was now one shilling a quarter higher than in Cork, the dearest market in Ireland? So as to wheat, it was 49s. 6d. per quarter, at Cork, while foreign wheat in bond averaged 54s. Cork was the most distressed part of Ireland, yet in this

year ('46), this year of scarcity, Cork had sent us more than 386,000 barrels of grain. If then the people of Cork were starving, it was not for the want of food, but for the want of money to purchase food, and the want of employment. Money must be afforded, or the employment which may be the means of obtaining money, in order to enable the people to purchase food.

The Devon commission had reported, that one year with another, there were always 2,300,000 destitute poor in Ireland, and deducting the establishment expenses (£90,000), there was raised by the poor law £166,000 to provide for their sustenance. That amounted to something less than one farthing and a half per head per week. There were at that moment 37,000 persons in the workhouses. £166,000 would give these persons one shilling per head, per week, and there were 2,263,000 paupers totally unprovided for. "And you wonder that riots and agrarian outrages occur with such a state of things!"

There was but one remedy : to make a sufficient provision for the poor of Ireland. Was it to be endured that £10,000,000 in food should come from Ireland, and that no more than £166,000 should be given to the poor of that country. But a poor law could not be carried in haste ; immediate relief was wanted. In '33 we could give £1,000,000 to

the tithe owners of Ireland: were the rigid rules of political economy not to be broken through when the people were starving? We must supply the immediate wants of the Irish people from the funds of this country, and then we must seriously endeavour to make a permanent provision for them.

"These are not new opinions," continued Lord George; "they were the opinions of one, who possessed the full confidence of this house. Mr. Huskisson said, that in a poor law for Ireland would be found the introduction of a feeling of security which would invite and retain the employment of English capital in that country. It was that feeling of security which had occasioned the greatness and wealth of this country, and which alone would produce similar results in Ireland. Mr. Huskisson even said, that unless such a measure were quickly brought in, all the hopes which he entertained of the benefit to Ireland from the passing of the emancipation act would be dissipated."

"I look to that period," concluded Lord George, with considerable emotion, "with the greatest satisfaction. In my humble office of private secretary to Mr. Canning, nearly a quarter of a century past, I had the satisfaction of possessing the confidence of that illustrious statesman. I have often heard Mr. Canning say, that it was to the poor laws of this country, that England owed her successful

ruggles with Europe and America, that they reconciled the people to their burthens, and had saved England from revolution. These are the measures which my friends around me look for the salvation of Ireland; for quieting disturbance and for promoting peace."

There was considerable cheering when he concluded an address which the house generally felt was not unworthy of the leader of a great party. His friends were extremely gratified, and the expressions of confidence in him on the part of several of the Irish members who sate on the liberal benches marked and uncompromising. An interesting debate took place and this again arrested the progress of the coercion bill. Late in the evening, Mr. Parnell, who had studiously kept in the back-ground during the session, watching his game played by less notorious hands, alarmed at the appearance of affairs thought it expedient to sound the note of anger, and rated the protectionist party in that clear and saucy style which he knows how to manage.

He said, that the house had rather lost sight of the origin of this discussion. Irregular and unexpected, it had originated in a question from Mr. Smith O'Brien to Lord George Bentinck, "which it seems had arisen out of a private communication between them." That question was, whether Lord

George Bentinck and his party would be willing to vote for a suspension of the corn law for months, limited to Ireland only. But they had another proposition before them, one not to suspend, but to abolish, the corn law; and, though the object of Mr. O'Brien was, instead of abolishing the corn law for the united kingdom, to institute a three months' suspension, applicable to Ireland only. Now he begged, in the first place, that Mr. O'Brien, and Lord George Bentinck, and two hundred and forty gentlemen who sat behind him and cheered his speech, that there were no parties to be consulted with regard to such a proposition—the people of England—"not the opposition party, but the people who live in towns, and govern this country."

The question of the corn laws, he said, could no longer be made matter for manœuvring and tactics within the walls of the house of commons. It was disposed of, settled, out of doors; and although their artificers here might delay the measure, and cause anxiety out of doors, still they could only do so. and, in fact, the only thing that could be substituted for the deferred measure, was total and immediate repeal. There was a delusion in the mind of the opposition as to the state of public opinion on this question, and he wished that circumstances would permit the minister to appeal to the co-

and make an example of honourable gentlemen below the gangway which they little anticipated.

He then argued, with great acuteness, that it was impossible to limit the suspension of the corn laws in Ireland, and added, "I have intruded but seldom in this debate. I am anxious to be a party to nothing, which, in reference to the coercion bill, stands in the way of the corn bill. I deeply regret that those two measures should have got into a dead lock. The people of England are utterly perplexed and puzzled at the state of things here. I am almost perplexed myself. During the recess, I was repeatedly asked to attend meetings at Manchester and elsewhere, to censure the delay. Upon my honour, I know not whom to blame. I cannot blame the government, for, though I were disposed to do so, I see them so much blamed by other gentlemen, that I may well abstain."

Mr. Cobden was repeatedly cheered as he spoke by the treasury bench, and particularly by Sir Robert Peel. The protectionists murmured among themselves, that the first minister had cheered the declaration, that this country should be governed by the towns, and that such a demonstration in a minister was unconstitutional, and in him, considering his antecedents, indecent. Several gentlemen having privately stated that they had personally

observed the minister cheer the obnoxious sentiment, a member of the protectionist party deemed it right to rise and charge the minister with what, if it had occurred, was undoubtedly an offensive indiscretion.

This member said, that Mr. Cobden had threatened the country party with the indignation of the people, and had followed up his threat by a terse definition of what the people was—namely, the people who lived in towns. This sentiment had been cheered and this definition accepted by one gentleman, the expression of whose opinion or of whose feelings must always make a great impression on the house and the country. It was the more curious, because the cheer came from the same right honourable individual, who was once so proud of being the head of the gentlemen of England.

Sir Robert Peel sprang from his seat, and emphatically denied the cheer. A scene of great excitement and confusion occurred. Various members favoured the house with their impressions as to the conduct of the minister. Of that however there could be no doubt after his declaration. The minister had cheered Mr. Cobden generally, but not that particular definition, “the validity of which,” as he stated subsequently in the evening, “he did not recognise. He totally dissented from the principle stated by Mr. Cobden.” At length all was tranquil,

but the fifth night of the adjourned debate only produced one speech, and that from Mr. John O'Connell.

As the house broke up at half-past one o'clock, members, as they put on their great coats and lit their cigars, said "It is impossible that this can last."

It was about this time, that a strange incident occurred at the adjournment of the house. The minister, plunged in profound and perhaps painful reverie, was unconscious of the termination of the proceedings of the night, and remained in his seat unmoved. At that period, although with his accustomed and admirable self-control he rarely evinced any irritability in the conduct of parliamentary business, it is understood, that under less public circumstances, he was anxious and much disquieted. His colleagues, lingering for awhile, followed the other members and left the house, and those on whom, from the intimacy of their official relations with Sir Robert, the office of rousing him would have devolved, hesitated from some sympathy with his unusual susceptibility to perform that duty, though they remained watching their chief behind the speaker's chair. The benches had become empty, the lights were about to be extinguished; it is a duty of a clerk of the house to examine the chamber before the doors are closed, and to-night it was also the strange lot of this gentleman to disturb the reverie of a statesman.

CHAPTER XII.

WHEN political affairs are critical, Sunday is always a great day for rumours. There was a rumour on the Sunday following the last adjournment; namely, that the government had resolved at all events to terminate "the dead-lock," as Mr. Cobden called it; and that next day the minister was not only himself to speak on the coercion bill, but to make a declaration of the danger in which cheap food for the people was placed by a factious opposition, and to intimate that if the obstacles were not removed he would counsel the queen to dissolve parliament.

This piece of news reached Lord George Bentinck from such a quarter that though very scrupulous, he credited it, and not at all alarmed at the consequences, devoted all his energies to the maintenance of "the dead-lock." He liked the phrase, and, as

was his custom under such circumstances, it was often in his mouth.

On Monday the house was very full. "Sir Robert will be up early," was the word of order. The debate was opened by a dashing speech from Mr. McCarthy, worthy of the historical society in the most fervent hour of its Rhodian eloquence. This coloured the atmosphere, but though it gained a deserved compliment from the first minister, the feeling was not sustained. The understanding that Sir Robert was to rise early checked debate. The promise was fulfilled. The minister rose about half-past nine o'clock and with that abstracted air which generally announced an important statement or a great effort.

After having vindicated the conduct of the government in their resolution of persisting in asking the house to read the Irish bill for a first time, and stating that "it was from no mere punctilious deference for the house of lords, that they took that course, but because they were deeply convinced, that if they permitted that bill, so recommended from the throne and so passed by the house of lords, to lie on the table without notice, the representatives of Ireland might accuse them, and justly accuse them, of offering an insult to their country:" he thus proceeded:—

"Sir, I think I need hardly refer to the injurious

surmises which I have heard thrown out, that her—majesty's government had become indifferent to the—progress of the corn bill, and that they had inter—posed this discussion, or rather this first reading of—another measure, with a view to the defeat of that—bill. I know that honourable gentlemen have not—said so for themselves, but they have said in the—course of discussion that such an impression exists—on the part of the public. Sir, I shall be prepared to give whatever proof may be required of the sincerity of my convictions on the subject of the corn bill. It is sufficient for me now to state, that the progress of the discussion, the lapse of time, and intervening events, have more strongly confirmed me in the feeling which I expressed when I proposed the permanent and final adjustment of this measure. Sir, I will not deny, that even during these debates, my opinions on that subject have undergone a change; but it is this change—that restrictions which I at first believed to be impolitic, I now believe to be unjust, and consequently a sense of their injustice precludes any compromise on my part. That which I have proposed, both with respect to the amount and the continuance of duty, is all that I am enabled to offer; and in answer to injurious suspicions, I think it enough to say, that I shall be perfectly ready to testify, by any public act, the sincerity of my intentions."

There was a dead silence in the house. Lord George Bentinck whispered to the member who sat next to him, "He has taken new ground; that which he believed to be impolitic, he now believes to be unjust. Note that."

"Any public act to testify sincerity" was generally accepted by both sides as dissolution. The protectionists as a body desired that result and were prepared for it; the whigs shrank from the settlement of the corn question devolving on them or disappearing as a party in the storm of a general election, a still more probable catastrophe. Lord George Bentinck never would believe that Sir Robert Peel would dissolve parliament, because whatever might be the national decision as to the principle of policy which was to be adopted, he was convinced that the whole body of the present men in office, at least with rare exceptions, must lose their seats. What he wanted was to force the ministry to resign, and secure the dissolution for their successors whoever they might be.

After this pointed declaration of the minister, he addressed himself to the bill before the house in detail, and spoke at great length and in his best manner. Nevertheless he did not succeed as was anticipated in securing the division. Although from the line which they had adopted, it was not in the power of the protectionists to protract the debate,

and although it was understood that the whigs began to be apprehensive of the possible consequences of further delay, and although Mr. Smith O'Brien had been withdrawn in the interval from the scene and was imprisoned by the house for refusing to attend on a railway committee, the Irish members contrived, not only to adjourn the debate until the next Friday, the 1st of May, but to sustain it, though somewhat languidly, the whole of that night, or rather till two o'clock on the morning of Saturday the 2nd, when the division on the first reading of the coercion bill took place, and the government carried it by a majority of 274 to 125.

Lord John Russell voted for the bill; Lord George Bentinck voted for the bill; followed by his brother, the Lord Henry, Mr. Bankes, Mr. Baring, the Marquess of Granby, Mr. Christopher, Sir John Trollope, Mr. Miles, and the great majority of the most influential members of the protectionist party. The individual who had ventured to oppose this step in council, on the ground that the support of an unconstitutional measure was equivalent to a vote of confidence in the government which introduced it and might eventually embarrass an opposition, left the house, as he would not be told from a different lobby from that in which his friends were counted.

The great obstacle which for five weeks had arrested the progress of business at so critical a

period was at length removed. The members felt like men in a great thoroughfare when some desperate stoppage has been broken up. The wheels of the state chariot were unlocked again, and the minister expected on Monday, the 4th of May, to go into committee on his corn bill as a matter of course. But this was not the intention of Lord George Bentinck. He was resolved to have an explanation from Sir Robert Peel of the strange announcement on the previous Friday of his present feelings with respect to the corn laws. Lord George had been brooding over it ever since it was made and was armed to the teeth for the combat for which he panted. At the meeting of the house therefore Lord George opposed the speaker leaving "the chair," as a new feature and a new character had arisen in the discussion of this question since it came under the consideration of the house. The first minister had allowed it to go forth to the country, that those laws which he desired to have repealed, and which, at the time he first intimated his intention to that effect, he deemed to be impolitic, he now considered unjust; that he, who had a hand in the construction of every corn law that had been devised for the last thirty years, had now been induced, by the debate of the last three months, so far to change his opinion, that those laws, which at the early part of last autumn, he

had deemed injudicious for the first time, he now deemed to be unjust. Lord George thought the house ought not to allow the measure to proceed until the minister had stated the views which had induced one to come to this extraordinary and sudden conclusion, who had for so many years exerted himself to maintain those laws.

Lord George then proceeded to consider the subject under all the heads on which new facts might have occurred since the opening of the session to authorize the statement of the minister. Was there anything in the state of the markets, either as to the existing prices, or the quantity that was to be consumed, which should induce us to forget the interest of the farmer for the sake of the consumer? The average price of wheat, on that day, was beneath that which, four years ago, this very minister stated was the price which was the object of the law he then as a minister introduced.

They had heard much of stagnation of trade; not they who resist a change in the laws of commerce but those who would create that change, are responsible for that stagnation. But Lord George showed by elaborate tables, with which he was prepared, that there had been 116,000 quarters more of grain sold in the 288 markets of England in this year of stagnation, than were sold in the four corresponding

weeks of the previous year. Well then, the stagnation of trade had not interfered with the supply and consumption of corn.

Was it the anxiety of foreign countries to establish an interchange with us, that made the minister so suddenly sensible of the injustice of the regulations that might prevent that intercourse? Lord George reviewed the latest information received from foreign countries on this important object. He quoted a recent declaration of M. Guizot not favourable to the English policy, he appealed to the latest intelligence from the United States extremely hostile to a mitigated tariff, he proved that Prussia was not yet "shaken."

Had anything occurred then in Ireland to operate this change in the convictions of the right honourable gentleman? Hardly that. And here he quoted a variety of documents from individuals of all ranks and journals of all opinions in Ireland, to prove that there was no sympathy with the measures of the government in that country, and a denial of the justness of the representations of its condition. "I think, therefore," said Lord George, "that we are entitled to know before we go into committee on this question, what are the new circumstances which have come to the knowledge of the minister and which have caused him for the last time to change anew his opinions?"

The reply of the minister was very remarkable. The appeal to him was unexpected; but when master of his case, he never spoke with more vigour and facility than when taken unawares. Preparation was apt to make him cumbrous. In the present instance, disposing at first of the Irish part of the case, and acknowledging "that he knew very well that scarcity was not universal in that country," he addressed himself to the particular inquiry of the protectionist leader. What had induced him to change his opinions, even in the course of this session, and now to believe that those restrictions, which, at the commencement of the session, he only thought impolitic, were also unjust.

In the first place the minister "adopted and deliberately repeated that statement." And he then proceeded to assign the grounds on which he had arrived at the opinion after listening to the discussions of the last three months and after mature consideration. He informed the house that there was an abstract presumption against restrictions on food, which he endeavoured to establish; that he did not believe that a free trade in corn involved any dependence on foreign nations for the necessaries of life; that he did not believe that the rate of wages varied with the price of food; that he did not believe that protection was necessary to farmers of adequate intelligence and means; that he did not believe that

taxation was a reason for the continuance of duties on corn, but quite the reverse. On these he dilated, and stated that, because of his in these opinions, he had come to the conclusion that it was unjust to continue legislative restriction upon food.

Both sides of the house listened with no little interest while the minister, with an apparent interest in the subject which it would have been supposed novelty could have alone inspired, recapitulated all those arguments which for years the anti-corn law league had presented to the consideration, not only of the community, but even of the house of commons, in every form which ingenuity could devise, and a versatile and experienced rhetoric illustrated and enforced. But when, with an air of disingenuousness, he availed himself of one of the most subtly and certainly not least hackneyed tactics of Mr. Cobden, in order to depreciate the importance of the growing, called upon the house to take the example of Great Britain, and divide the island by a line from Inverness and Southampton, and observe that, on the eastwardly speaking, to the westward of the line, the house had no interest in the restrictions on the cultivation of wheat, the gentlemen who had left their agricultural constituents in the lurch because they had been told that unless Sir Robert Peel were persuaded to repeal the corn laws, Mr. Cobden

might actually become a minister, began to ask themselves whether, after all, such an event would not have been the honestest arrangement of the two. Unlike the corn laws, the exclusion of Mr. Cobden might have been politic, but, "after the discussion of the last three months," it certainly seemed unjust.

This general feeling was expressed later in the evening by one of the protectionist party, who, noticing the observation of the minister that the debate was unexpected, observed "that not being prepared for debate was certainly not an excuse for not being prepared to answer the speech of the right honourable gentleman. It was not a speech that was heard for the first time. It had been heard in other places, in different localities, and he might be permitted to add, from a master-hand. That speech had sounded in Stockport; it had echoed in Durham. There had been on the stage of the classical theatre a representation of it on the finest scale, and, as is usual in such cases, the popular performance was now repeated by an inferior company. Especially, when he heard the line drawn, which marks on the map the corn-growing districts of Great Britain, he thought he might say, as he heard sometimes said on railway committees upon rival lines, 'That is surely the line of the honourable member for Stockport,' " (Mr. Cobden.)

This remarkable declaration of the minister that

he had changed his opinions as to the character of the corn laws even during the present session, was noticed also on a subsequent night by Mr. Ker Seymour, the member for Dorset, in a very happy passage of a maiden speech, which was also generally distinguished by its vivacity and acuteness.

"The minister," he said, "had admitted that changes had taken place in his opinion since his first introduction of the question, and in that respect he illustrated a well-known principle in human nature, according to which, persons became attached to that for which they had made sacrifices; and he believed that the sacrifices which the minister had made, with respect to this question, had been greater than was anticipated. He brought forward the measure on the ground of *policy*: but he found himself opposed by two hundred and forty members of that house, and then he raised it to a question of *justice*; and if he found hereafter, that the opposition to it in another place should be still more determined than that which it had met with in this house, he might raise it, as some reverend orators at Covent Garden theatre had done, into a *religious* question. But whatever might be the changes in the views of the minister, the question itself remained the same. It was no more a question of religion or justice now, than it was last year. It was a question involving great commercial and social considerations, but was no more a

question of justice or religion than the duties on hair powder."

What was the cause of this remarkable declaration of the minister, made with so much form and repeated with so much emphasis? It was not an ebullition. It was a preconcerted statement, at a very important crisis in the condition of his government (April 27th), every word of which had been weighed, though apparently not calculated to please those who, at great sacrifice, had remained attached to him. This declaration denoted a change in the parliamentary position of Sir Robert Peel, and an approachment to the Manchester confederation.

When Lord John Russell failed to form a government in Christmas, '45, and Sir Robert Peel was again sent for by her majesty, in resuming the reins of government and in appealing to his colleagues to co-operate with him in the subversion of the protective system, he justified his course by the assurances, that there was no other mode of preventing a third party from being called into the management of public affairs, and that too a democratic party: that the incompetency of the whigs to take charge of the administration when their turn and time had constitutionally arrived, rendered it necessary for the tories to fulfil those liberal functions which, according to the practice, if not the theory, of our political scheme, the whigs ought to have been prepared to

fulfil. In a word, the Manchester confederation was held up as the alternative to a conservative government. What a catastrophe for the territorial constitution!

The position therefore which Sir Robert Peel assumed and intended to preserve at the meeting of parliament was that of the patriotic individual who by great sacrifices had succeeded in preventing Mr. Cobden from becoming a minister. It was in this spirit that the appeal was made to the Duke of Wellington, and it was in this spirit that it received a sympathetic response. Not only was Mr. Cobden not to be a minister, but Sir Robert was to remain one. And in one of the last of those strange, unconstitutional speeches, full of naïveté and secret history, which the Duke of Wellington was in the habit of addressing to the peers when his grace led the house of lords, he said: "that, whatever may be the result of this bill (the corn bill, May 28th, 1846) in this house, it appears very clear, that the object I had in view in resuming my seat in her majesty's councils will not be attained. I conclude that another government will be formed."

After the alleged refusal of Lord Stanley to attempt to form a protectionist ministry in 1845, and the avowed failure of Lord John Russell to form a free trade one, it was not perhaps unjustifiable for a minister, elate with long success, haughty with

court favour, continental influence, and parliamentary sway, to believe that his position was impregnable. The private tone of the treasury bench therefore when parliament met, was martyrdom. They were sacrificing their opinions to save the country from Mr. Cobden, and several gentlemen of great weight were so touched by the interesting position of these statesmen, that although they entirely disapproved of the policy of the minister, they permitted their names to be seen in every division list with that of Mr. Cobden, in order to save the country from the pernicious influence of his councils. Not very fine in their observation of the phases of political life, they remained, notwithstanding some awkward incidents like the "injustice" speech, in the same fool's paradise, until having, as they supposed, repealed the corn laws in order to prevent the triumph of Mr. Cobden, they heard the member for Stockport hailed by their prime minister as the saviour of the country and the ornament of the senate.

When the first minister discovered that his original calculations were erroneous, and that the conservative party was lost to him, a remarkable change took place in his tone and especially towards the Manchester league.

The union of simplicity and sagacity which was the characteristic of Lord George Bentinck, shrank with indignation and a little disgust from what appeared to

him to be jesuitical. He sincerely believed that the system of the Manchester confederation was hostile to the greatness and permanent prosperity of this country, and that for the sake of a temporary expansion of our commerce, it was sacrificing the durable sources of power and public wealth. But he respected Mr. Cobden; for his talents, his position, the clear manner in which he had obtained it, and the considerable public sympathy which supported him. He thought Mr. Cobden a dangerous foe, but he was at least an open one; and if the Manchester principles were to predominate, he could not comprehend why they should not be administered by Manchester men. Nay, more; believing their principles were injurious, Lord George thought that he had a better chance of defeating them, when they were openly and completely avowed, than when they were carried into operation in detail by one who had obtained power by professing his hostility to them.

The "injustice debate" took up the whole of the night. The protectionists, at a certain hour, adjourned the committee on the corn bill, as the Irish members had adjourned the first reading of the coercion bill. The minister resisted the adjournment, and divided twice on it. He then gave up. "I have not strength to go through with this contest," he exclaimed; "I do not wish to subject others to it." The opposers of the Irish bill, how-

ever, had a great advantage over the opposers of the corn bill. Liberal members always gave up their motions to ensure precedence to the corn bill. The government therefore obtained the next night, otherwise devoted to private members, for the committee to sit again. Nevertheless they were again invited to battle.

On the first item in committee, the chairman reading the words, that "upon all wheat, barley, oats," Lord George Bentinck rose and said: "I rise, Sir, to move the omission of the word 'oats.'" And on this text, he delivered a most able speech on the agriculture of Ireland.

"Our former discussions," he said, "have almost entirely turned upon the species of grain with which mainly the people of England are concerned, and scarcely at all upon that species of grain in which the people of Ireland, Scotland, and Wales, are more particularly interested. When we come to consider that there are 558,000 occupiers of land in Ireland, almost every one of whom is a grower of oats, we cannot but admit how important it is to Ireland that we should not hastily alter the law which protects their grain in the English market."

After entering into very protracted calculations upon the effect of free importation on the oat producers of Ireland, he observed: "The minister last night referred to a statement of mine, that there

were 558,000 farmers in Ireland, with no other capital than their industry and their honesty, and he said that if it could be asserted generally of the farmers of Ireland, that they are without capital, can we contend that protection has been for their interests ; but the minister omitted the very important portion of my statement, that these farmers held but fifteen acres of land. How can it be possible that a farmer of fifteen acres should have much capital ? Can he have threshing and winnowing machines ? We must deal with the state of things we find. We did not make that state of things ; but we find 558,000 occupiers of land in Ireland who hold but fifteen acres, and we are to say, if we agree with my Lord Essex, with whom the right honourable gentleman seems very much to sympathize, that they ought never to have been farmers, and consequently, that they ought at once to be sacrificed ; that 558,000 farmers employing or sustaining, three millions and a half of human beings, are to be sacrificed, because they do not possess a sufficient amount of capital. It might certainly be better that there should not be these small tenures in Ireland—but they exist ; we must deal with things as we find them. I cannot cut up human beings like a log of wood.

“The minister asks what has protection done for these holders of land in Ireland ? I rather think the

question to be answered, is, whether the five or six hundred thousand small farmers of that country are worse off than they were at the time of the union, when free trade prevailed? Why, Ireland previously imported grain while now she is an exporting country to the amount of nearly five millions sterling, and of other agricultural produce, which will be affected by the measures now in agitation, to the extent probably of ten millions per annum. But the secretary of the savings' banks of Cork, tells us, that in the past year £200,000 were paid into that bank by small farmers of the class I speak of, in sums not exceeding £30. Was not that ground for believing that Ireland was making progress under a protective policy? These sums of £30 would certainly not constitute the capital necessary to a system of farming on a greater scale, or perhaps even to save the class of people possessing such a small amount of capital from being sacrificed by the minister at the shrine of free trade. Still, this indicated a state of independence much to be rejoiced at, and showed the existence of a race of men, who, though they might not perhaps 'cultivate their land to the best advantage,' or know how 'to make five quarters grow where three did before,' are yet a class of men, whom it would be worse than unwise to sacrifice for the sake of a system."

He contrasted this conduct with the policy towards

Ireland so earnestly supported by Mr. Burke and Mr. Pitt. "But our measures now, it seems, are to be of such a description that you must drive her agricultural produce out of the market. It may be true that you are not about to divorce her; but you are going to admit into the arms of England concubines from every part of the world."

Noticing the often repeated declaration of the minister, and which had been elaborately made on the previous night, that he felt no humiliation in confessing his errors and acknowledging that he had hitherto been wrong with respect to the commercial policy of the country, Lord George said, that he did not wish to say much on that head. "It may not be humiliating in a private gentleman to acknowledge that for thirty years of parliamentary life he has been entirely in error in his opinions on a great branch of public policy. But I cannot agree that it is not humiliating to a great minister, to one who aspires to be a great statesman, to be obliged to confess, that the whole course of his public career has been one continued series of errors. Why, what advantage is there in having men at the helm of public affairs, if not to direct the public judgment? And if he direct it entirely in a wrong course, surely it is humiliating, and surely it cannot be otherwise than a humiliating avowal, that he has governed the country erroneously for a long series of years. Sir,

it is the privilege of girls to change their opinions; but even they cannot do so without risk of a damaged reputation."

But notwithstanding the dauntless energy and inexhaustible resources of the protectionist leader, who watched every opportunity to resist the progress of the enemy, and was prepared always for every point; notwithstanding all his researches, his vast correspondence, his multifarious information, his conferences, interviews, deputations, his indefatigable life; notwithstanding he was never absent from his seat, retired the last and rose the earliest to a day of constant toil and never of sufficient sustenance, the inevitable hour arrived, and, on the 11th of May, the bill for the repeal of the corn laws was to be read a third, and last time.

Lord George Bentinck was extremely anxious that the protectionist party should sustain their reputation on this occasion, and also that the bill should not go up to the lords with a majority of three figures, which, it was boasted by the government and their new friends, would be the case. The amendment was moved at his instance by the Marquess of Granby, who, by his careful study of the question then under discussion, the earnestness of his disposition, and the firmness of his character, had attracted the attention and gained the confidence of his leader. Lord Granby more than fulfilled all that was hoped from

him. He placed the whole question before the house in a style, comprehensive, masculine, and sincere. He was seconded by Mr. Milnes Gaskell, who had retired from the treasury from his unwillingness to support the policy of the administration of which he had been recently a member. He brought to official experience the accessories of a cultivated mind and a classic elocution. The debate, after having occupied the 11th and 12th of May, was adjourned to Friday, the 15th of that month, when, after a discussion of perhaps unexampled excitement in the house of commons, the division was called at four o'clock in the morning of Saturday, and in a house of 560 members, the third reading of the bill for the repeal of the corn laws was carried by a majority of 98.

CHAPTER XIII.

ON the 13th of May, in the heart of the adjourned debate on the third reading of the corn bill, news arrived of the rejection by the legislative assembly of Canada of the new commercial policy of her majesty's government, and Lord George Bentinck seized the following night, the 14th, which did not belong to the government, to bring forward, though at a late hour, the whole question of the effect of our new commercial policy on our relations with Canada.

This is mentioned as illustrative of his energy and vigilance ; certainly throughout his remarkable and too brief career he never lost an opportunity. Even after the passing of the corn bill in the commons which took place as we have stated on Friday the 15th, on the Monday following, on the ministerial motion that the amendments made by the committee be read a second time, Lord George rose,

and moving an amendment that the resolutions be read that day six months, made an elaborate and able statement in which he laid down two principles, the effects of which he developed in detail : 1stly, that it was our duty to remit excise duties in preference to customs' duties ; and 2ndly, that the principle of reciprocity in our commercial intercourse, as laid down by Mr. Huskisson, should never be abandoned.

He urged also, that if we were to reduce customs' duties in preference to excise, we ought to reduce the duties on the produce of those countries which take most of our produce, and should give the preference to duties on articles which do not come into competition with the industry of this country. When excise duties were reduced, independently of the relief to the subject, the expences of collection were got rid of. The salaries of four hundred and fifty officers were saved by the mere reduction of the duties on auctions and glass. But when customs' duties are reduced, the services of not a single officer are dispensed with. Also, when you reduce customs' duties, the foreigner shares with the consumer in this country the benefit of the reduction. But if you reduce the duties on excise articles, the whole benefit is derived by the subjects of the queen. " I cannot understand," observed Lord George, " on what principle, so long as there are any excise duties to be

reduced, the legislature should prefer to levy these duties and to reduce the duties of customs."

Lord George said that Mr. Huskisson never contemplated free trade without reciprocity. The government appeared to have a pleasure in reducing the duties on the products of those countries which have hostile tariffs. The wiser policy would be to reduce the duties upon the products of those countries which take our manufactures at a low duty—China especially.

Lord George repeated and enforced the opinion of one of his supporters, that hostile tariffs could not be encountered by free imports. A very long debate ensued upon this interesting subject which terminated at a late hour.

The question whether hostile tariffs can be successfully combated by free imports has not yet received a satisfactory solution. Those who suppose they answer it when they point to the fact that under such circumstances our trade with a restrictive country has increased, have mistaken its bearing. The question refers not to the increase of trade, but to the terms of interchange. A trade may increase with diminished profits. Diminished profits must ultimately lead to diminished wages. If a trade be increased, or even sustained, by our exchanging more of our productions for a less quantity of a foreign article, it can scarcely be esteemed in a flourishing

condition. The action of a hostile tariff seems to be equivalent to an increase in the cost of production in the country against whose industry it is directed, and so alter the terms of exchange accordingly. So a reduction in the duties laid upon British goods in foreign countries would be as beneficial to England as an equivalent diminution in the cost of producing goods for foreign markets. If this be true, an increase of the duties imposed upon British goods in foreign countries, or a decrease of the duties imposed by England upon foreign goods, would be as injurious as the other movement would be beneficial.

It has been frequently urged that a hostile tariff exacts from a country whose ports are free what is equivalent to paying a tribute, and it has been replied that this can hardly be the case as the Manchester manufacturer for example sells his goods at the same price at Cincinnati as at Aylesbury. How then, it is asked, can the Manchester manufacturer pay a tribute to the American when he receives from him the same price as he receives from his fellow-subjects? But hostile tariffs diminish the demand for British goods in the foreign market; this diminished demand lowers their value in the Manchester market; and the fall of prices in the Manchester market causes a corresponding and a general fall in the prices of British goods throughout all the markets, domestic or foreign, in which those goods are sold.

It is also urged that countervailing duties on the raw materials of the manufacturer would be a very questionable mode of sustaining him against the taxes of the foreigner on his manufactured goods, but eminent men who have scientifically treated the important question of reciprocity have never sanctioned a tax upon a raw material. The object of the reciprocal system is to maintain the efficacy of native industry, and therefore it is opposed to any tax on a material which enters into native fabrics.

If the effect of this country combating hostile tariffs with free imports be, that its labour exchanges for a less quantity of foreign productions than heretofore, that result would of course equally apply to the precious metals which are foreign productions, and important considerations respecting our currency arising from our diminished command over the standard of value, have naturally occurred to economical writers and have engaged much of their attention. But all those questions which are dependent on the distribution and command of the precious metals have assumed a new aspect since the vast metallic discoveries that have taken place since the debates and discussions of 1846.

Irrespective of this last consideration the principle of reciprocity appears to rest on scientific grounds, and it is probable that experience may teach us that it has been recklessly disregarded by our legislators.

CHAPTER XIV.

AFTER a discussion of three nights, closed by the Duke of Wellington in a speech in which he informed the house of lords, that "the bill for the repeal of the corn laws had already been agreed to by the other two branches of the legislature," and that, under these circumstances, "there was an end of the functions of the house of lords," and that they had only to comply with the projects sent up to them; a sentiment the bearing of which seems not easy to distinguish from the vote of the long parliament which openly abrogated those functions, the lords passed the second reading of the measure on the 28th of May, by the large majority of 47.

On the day following in the commons the minister moved the adjournment of the house for one week, for the Whitsun recess; and gave notice

that, on Monday the 8th, the day of re-assembling being necessarily devoted to supply, the government would proceed with their coercion bill, and continue to do so in preference to all other business.

The time had now arrived when it became necessary for those who were responsible for the conduct of the protectionist party very gravely to consider the state of affairs, which had become critical, and to decide upon the future course. The large majority in the house of lords had extinguished the lingering hope that the ministerial scheme might ultimately be defeated. Vengeance therefore had succeeded in most breasts to the more sanguine sentiment. The field was lost, but at any rate there should be retribution for those who had betrayed it. Proud in their numbers, confident in their discipline, and elate with their memorable resistance, the protectionist party as a body had always assumed, that when the occasion was ripe, the career of the minister might be terminated: it was not until the period had arrived when the means to secure the catastrophe were to be decided on, that the difficulty of discovering them was generally acknowledged.

How was Sir Robert Peel to be turned out?

Here was a question which might well occupy the musing hours of a Whitsun recess.

The impetuous demanded a formal vote of want of confidence in the government, but the objection to

this suggestion was, that in all probability the vote would not have been carried. The whigs might have joined in it, and perhaps would have done so, on the ground subsequently taken by Lord John Russell, that, although they supported the government measures, the very introduction of those measures was a practical testimony that in former years the members of that government had been mistaken, and the whigs had been right. But although the whigs might have joined in the vote of condemnation, there was reason to believe that the great body of the liberal party would not have followed Lord John Russell, and, personally, the mere whig connection in the second parliament of the queen was extremely weak. The pure free traders could not with any grace censure the administration; and it was very questionable whether Mr. Hume and the English radicals generally would have co-operated in such a proceeding. Add to all this, an element of calculation, which, though an unknown quantity, could not be omitted by a sagacious leader—viz., the defalcation which would occur in the protectionist ranks themselves, if such a line were adopted. There were many who were not prepared to add to the mortification, which they had already experienced, the re-establishment in power, and by their own means, of that party to which they had ever been opposed.

If indeed the whigs had been prepared to form a

government on the economical principles of their own budget of 1842, the whole of the protectionist party would have arrayed itself under their banners, and the landed interest, whose honour they would have then saved, would have been theirs for ever. This was a result which the whigs as a party were desirous to accomplish ; and a nobleman, whose services have been since prematurely lost to the country and whose excellent sense, imperturbable temper, and knowledge of mankind, had for many years exercised a leading influence in the councils of the whigs, and always to their advantage, was extremely anxious, that by a reconstruction in this spirit an end should be put to that balanced state of parties, which, if permitted to continue frustrated the practicability and even the prospect of a strong government. What he wished particularly to accomplish was, to see Lord George Bentinck in the new whig cabinet. But though this eminent individual conducted his negotiations under the happiest auspices, for Lord George Bentinck entertained for him great personal regard, and was united to his son by ties of very warm and intimate friendship, his object was not attained. Lord John Russell could not recede from the Edinburgh letter, and he was more valuable to his party than a fixed duty on corn. Lord George Bentinck offered, and promised, to support the whig government, but would not become a member of

administration which was not prepared to do so to the land.

When all hope of reconstructing the whig party on a broad basis was reluctantly given up, and the ministers reconciled themselves to that prospect of a weak government which was so clearly foreseen by their sagacious friend and has been subsequently so unfortunately realized, those active statesmen who busy themselves with the measures of revenue fixed upon the sugar duties as the inevitable foundation on which the government might be expelled from office. The existing government, it was understood, had pledged itself to the colonial interest to maintain their old policy of excluding slave-grown sugar; and, in fact, it was only by such an engagement that the votes of those members of the house of commons connected with the two Indies had been secured to the protectionists in the division. It was supposed that the agricultural interest, having lost the protection which the land enjoyed, would not be disposed to console themselves for this deprivation by the enjoyment of cheap sugar, especially when the representatives of dear sugar had exhibited so decided predilection for cheap bread. But when Lord George Bentinck was sounded on this scheme he shook his head, with that peculiar expression which always conveyed to those who were appealing to him utter hopelessness of their enterprise. "No," he

said ; " we have nothing to sustain us but our principles. We are not privy-councillors, but we may be honest men. True to the principle of protection, we must support East and West India interests. We think it the wisest policy, at once, to give protection to colonial interests and thereby to our manufacturing interests at home. We are resolved to support British capital wherever it may be invested."

Slave-grown sugar would have united the whole of the liberal party under the auspices of Lord John Russell and Lord Palmerston, but then unfortunately it was just the question which would have brought the entire protectionist body back to the standard of the treasury, and instead of turning out the administration there would have been a painful resuscitation of the old conservative majority of 96.

Thus it happened, that, although for several weeks the persons most adroit in such affairs had been planning the overthrow of a government which was only supported by one-sixth of the members of the house of commons, the Whitsun recess had closed and parliament had again re-opened without apparently any approximation to the means which were to accomplish their purpose. The bill for the repeal of the corn laws could not be carried through the house of lords until the end of June ; and until that measure was secured the whigs and their liberal allies were not prepared to strike. What

opportunity would they have of dealing the blow after June? There was no reason why the government, having carried their measure, might not rapidly wind up the session and prorogue parliament. Was it probable that at the end of another month, the government having achieved their great object, those who were conspiring their overthrow would be richer in their resources or more felicitous in their expedients than at the present moment, when vengeance, ambition, the love of office, and the love of change, all combined to advance and assist their wishes. Notwithstanding the frank confession of the Duke of Wellington, which we have noticed, that he was disappointed in the object for which he had consented to the repeal of the corn laws and that a change of government was impending, the house of commons, better judges of such a contingency, began to suspect that his grace was a little misinformed, and that he only represented, which was the fact, the opinion of his colleagues after the Easter recess, and not after the Whitsun. If there were any doubt in the mind of Lord George Bentinck, that the government were convinced on the re-assembling of parliament that they had weathered the storm, this doubt was removed by an interesting occurrence.

About this time, Louis Philippe of Orleans, King of the French, exercised a great influence over public affairs. This prince had entirely identified himself

with the Peel administration. There existed between his majesty and the English minister not only a sentiment of sympathy but one of reciprocal admiration. Each believed the other the ablest man in their respective countries: their system of government was the same, to divert the public mind from political change by the seduction of physical enjoyment* and to neutralise opinion in the pursuit of material prosperity: finally, they agreed in another point, that their tenure of power was as interminable as the nature of things admitted, and that it was insured by mutual co-operation.

No one was more amazed and more alarmed by the breaking up of the conservative government in November, '45, than the King of the French. With the quickness of perception which with him always seemed rather instinct than thought, he instantly trembled before a long vista of war and revolution. His fears of Lord Palmerston were fed by all the diplomacy of Europe, and especially by the connections of the late conservative cabinet, who still hoped that the repugnance of the European courts to the appointment of that minister might, in con-

* "I have thought it consistent with true conservative policy, that thoughts of the dissolution of our institutions should be forgotten in the midst of physical enjoyment."—*Speech of Sir Robert Peel on the opening of Parliament, January 22, 1846.*

junction with the domestic weakness of the whig party, yet bring back the game to Sir Robert.

One, to whom the king had disburthened his mind in an hour of intolerable anxiety and from whom his majesty asked that counsel which circumstances permitted to be given, tried to relieve him from these bugbears of state, in a truer appreciation of the position than those around him cared to encourage. It was represented to the king, that a cordial understanding between the two countries had become a necessity for every English administration ; that the parliament and the people of England would never support a minister whom they believed to be inclined to treat the French connection with levity or disregard ; and that it was especially the interest of the whigs in their present feeble condition to prove to the country that they took office with no prejudice against their neighbours. With these views, and in order at once to relieve his mind, it was suggested to the king that through the medium of some private friend, it might be wise to make an effort to disembarass this question of the personal complications with which it had been the interest of certain individuals too long to invest it ; and that he should seek for some frank explanation of the feelings with respect to France with which the new English minister returned to office.

The king, who was a man of great impulse,

grasped at the suggestion and acted upon it immediately. The appeal was promptly attended with the most satisfactory results, and the king of the French, with a countenance radiant with smiles was assuring the whole diplomatic circle that he was never less uneasy as to the prospects of Europe and that Lord Palmerston had resumed office with a determination to act cordially with France, when to the astonishment of his majesty, he learnt, that Lord John Russell had resigned his mission, in consequence of an absurd and really discreditable intrigue against Lord Palmerston by a portion of his own party, on the plea that his appointment to the foreign office would endanger our friendly relations with the Tuileries.

The excitement of the king was very great on the return of Sir Robert Peel to power. His majesty looked upon all the intervening incidents which had occasioned him so much disquiet and perplexity merely as dexterous tactics preliminary to a crowning triumph. He thought that the sovereign, the parliament, and the nation, had combined to give the minister *carte blanche*. A member of the British parliament who was then at Paris presumed, with great humility, to question the justness of the royal conclusions.

"Do you not think then," said the king, "that Sir Robert Peel will carry his measures?"

"Yes, Sir."

"And what then?"

"And then, Sir, he will be turned out."

"Who is to turn him out? Lord John Russell has had the offer, and has refused. I can tell you, the Duke of Wellington says, the government is established. I remember," the king added, with a smile of confidence, "when they said that Mr. Pitt would not remain in for six weeks, and he was minister for twenty years."

The confidence of his majesty in the star of Sir Robert Peel remained unfaltering until at Easter he was apprised, from a quarter that could not be deceived, that the administration tottered. For more than a month the king was in the habit of assuring the royal circle, that in case there was a change of ministry in England, Lord Palmerston would be found inspired with the most cordial feelings towards France, which were reciprocated. It was at the very moment, when his majesty had reconciled himself to the worst, that the news of the large majority in the house of lords reached him, and he was assured at the same time that all danger was over, and that there were no existing means, and that none would certainly be afforded, of disturbing the government.

It was on the 6th of June, that the contents of this communication to the King of the French were placed before Lord George Bentinck, whose own ex-

perience at that moment proved how much foundation there was for the statement. It was clear that the blow must be dealt immediately. Even with that determination, the difficulty of proceeding seemed almost insurmountable. It might be three weeks before the corn bill was returned from the lords, and it was evident that the commons would not place the government in a minority until that measure was secured. A notice of a vote of want of confidence in the ministry was the only motion from which it was certain that the government would not shrink and would not attempt to avoid by prorogation; but then that was a motion which it was sufficiently clear must end in failure. It seemed that they had escaped, and that the King of the French, as usual, was right.

In this state of affairs it was submitted to the consideration of Lord George Bentinck, that there appeared only one course to be taken, and which though beset with difficulties was with boldness and dexterity at least susceptible of success. The government had announced their intention of moving the second reading of the Irish coercion bill on Monday the 8th of June. If this second reading were opposed both by Lord John Russell and Lord George Bentinck, the defeat of the administration seemed more than probable.

The first great difficulty to be considered in this

project, was that presented by the fact, that both Lord John Russell and Lord George Bentinck had hitherto supported that measure.

To support a government in an unconstitutional measure is tantamount to a vote of confidence in them ; and the step therefore taken by Lord John Russell and Lord George Bentinck, in the first instance, was unskilful and unwise. But Lord John had been embarrassed and entrapped by the precipitate acts and indiscreet admissions of his colleagues in the house of lords : and Lord George, though warned against taking a course which was in itself foreign to his policy with respect to Ireland, had been seduced into the proceeding by the irresistible temptation of securing delay in the progress of the corn bill.

Yet both of these leaders had been provident even in their errors. Lord John Russell had, very early in the discussion, prepared if necessary a retreat. When the bill was brought in, notwithstanding the unqualified approbation of it by his friends in the other house, he had ventured to hint that there were some clauses to which he had objections ; advancing with circumstances, he had, on a subsequent occasion, expressed his disapproval of the curfew clause supposed to be a vital part of the measure ; and just before the house was adjourned for the Whitsun holidays, he said, " he thought it would

be the fairer and more direct course to oppose the second reading of the bill, rather than so to mutilate it as to leave none of its important clauses." Thus about the 25th of May, when these expressions were used though at the time they did not attract all the attention they deserved, Lord John Russell had contrived to extricate himself pretty completely from the engagements of Lord Lansdowne, Lord Clanricarde, and Lord Grey.

The position of Lord George Bentinck in this respect was even stronger than that of Lord John Russell. When the coercion bill was first brought forward in the commons, he had described it as an unconstitutional measure and had even christened the curfew clause; but had stated, that he and his friends were prepared to support the measure, provided the government evinced an earnestness to press it forward and suffer no unnecessary delay or obstruction to interpose which they could reasonably avoid. But if on the contrary it should appear from the conduct of the government that they were lukewarm or indifferent, and that, permitting other measures of less necessity to be carried through the house in preference, they gave the house cause to believe that in their own minds no such emergency as they spoke of existed, then under such circumstances the protectionist party should not feel themselves bound to continue their support of such a measure.

lor could there be any misconception in the
d of the government, as to the intentions of
d George Bentinck in this respect. They were
to be collected merely from his speech. The
ler will recollect that after the Easter recess a
munication on the conduct of public business
opened with Lord George, by the secretary of
treasury on the part of Sir Robert Peel, and
recall the circumstances under which that
tleman, the present Sir John Young, M.P. for
an, figured as the "disavowed plenipotentiary."
t conference had led to a correspondence which
noble lord at the time had expressed his wish
uld be read to the house; but no member of
government had responded to that proposition
the correspondence therefore had remained in
curity. The following passage afterwards quoted
Mr. Stafford in the course of the debate on the
nd reading of the coercion bill indicated with
ision the views of the protectionist party on the
t of March, '46.

*tract of a letter from Lord George Bentinck to
John Young, Esq., Secretary of the Treasury.*

"I then frankly told you, with respect to the anti-
rder bill, that I believed the whole party with
om I served were but of one opinion, that it was

a most unconstitutional measure, and only to justified by some dire exigency. I believe I tern it another curfew act, and said, that nothing l the most imminent danger could excuse it ; but t if the government were prepared to state that t emergency did exist, and were ready to have th honesty and sincerity tested by pressing the meas with all possible speed through the house, we sho be disposed to give them credit for the existence so dire an emergency, and support them. But on the contrary, it should appear from their condu that in their hearts they did not believe such d necessity did exist, if the danger to life was so lit imminent that they could afford to postpone t measure on which the security of life was said depend, then the complexion of the case would very much altered, and I conceive we should f ourselves bound to take a different course, presumir as we must under such circumstances, that no tr or lasting ground did in fact exist for the adopti of so unconstitutional a measure."

Well then, "was the complexion of the case" ve much altered by the subsequent behaviour of t government, and might it be inferred "from the conduct, that, in their hearts, they did not believe the 'dire necessity,'" which was the alleged cause their proposition ?

It could not be denied that this coercion b

came down from the house of lords on the 13th of March and was not read for the first time until the 1st of May; and that since that period nearly six weeks had elapsed without her majesty's ministers attempting to take any steps to forward the measure. It might be replied, that these six weeks had been devoted to the discussion and passing of the corn and the customs' bills; and that although Lord George Bentinck might not credit the urgent necessity of such measures, that, at any rate, was not the predominant opinion of the house of commons. But this plea would not bear analysis. It appeared that, irrespectively of the government night on which no house had been made, four other of their nights had been occupied with government business other than the corn and the customs' bills; that these nights too were only partially occupied; that they were wasted; that on three of these occasions, the house rose before eight o'clock, and on the fourth, before nine o'clock.

Lord George Bentinck was of opinion that the case of the protectionists, as against the government, was a good one; he was also definitively of opinion that opposition to the coercion bill afforded the only opportunity of overthrowing the administration; but he doubted whether the protectionist party, after having voted once for the measure, would be generally, or in a sufficient degree, induced to strike the

blow. One section of his most zealous supporters, and a body of gentlemen too among whom he counted many warm personal friends, namely the Irish protectionist members, could certainly not be expected to vote against the bill; all those members, who from the strong feeling of their constituents might be counted on in any division against the government where the protective principle was involved but who in their hearts had no wish to disturb the administration, would certainly avail themselves of the opportunity of voting with a minister whom they had been obliged too frequently to oppose; strong measures also with respect to Irish outrage, it could not be denied, were popular with many most respectable persons, who, not having very deeply investigated the condition of our sister isle, held that violence could only be successfully encountered by restraint.

On Saturday therefore nothing was decided; on the following day, Lord George Bentinck took the opportunity of breaking the situation to several of the leading country gentlemen who were in the habit of acting with him; the reception of his suggestion was not favourable. They were embarrassed by their previous vote, and were astonished to learn that if they repeated it, the "government was it for ever."

On Monday, before the meeting of the house

Lord George held a rapid council with such of his friends as he could immediately collect. Only one voice supported him on the ground that the step was not only wise but indispensable. The rest, while they declared they would not desert him in any course which he pursued, gave it as their opinion that the movement would fail and might then become unpopular in the country.

Nothing was decided when Lord George had taken his seat, and while Sir William Somerville was moving the amendment that the coercion bill should be read that day six months. His solitary supporter in the council was sitting by his side. They had agreed their course should be decided by the report which they should receive from a gentleman who had the best acquaintance with the individual feelings of the members of the party and who, through absence from town, had not unfortunately been present at the previous consultations. While Sir William Somerville was closing his speech with an appeal to Lord George Bentinck, this much expected individual appeared at the bar.

"I call on all who prize liberty," said Sir William, "and value the constitutional rights of the subject, to support this amendment; and, above all, I call upon the noble lord, the member for King's Lynn, to be true to his own words, and to carry out his engagement by withholding his advocacy from a

measure, which the government had by their delays proved to be unnecessary, and into which they had introduced such changes as showed, that they did not know their own will, nor clearly understand what measure of power they required."

When Sir William Somerville sate down, Lord George exchanged signals with the member who had just arrived to join him in the library, and then requesting his companion to watch the debate, he repaired to that spot which has been the scene of so many important and interesting conferences.

While he was absent, the house was nearly counted out.

He came back in about a quarter of an hour, and remarked, "There are no means of calculating at this moment how our men will go, but he agrees with us. It may be perilous, but if we lose this chance the traitor will escape. I will make the plunge, and, as soon as I can. There is a rumour that Lord John is hardly up to the mark. I suppose he has heard that our men will not vote against the bill. Now, if I speak early and strongly, it will encourage him to be decided."

When the seconder of the amendment sate down, no one rose, and the division was called for. How strange, that a debate, which lasted nearly three weeks, led to such memorable consequences, and was distinguished by so many remarkable incidents, should

ice, within an hour of its commencement, have been on the verge of an untimely end. However, on the present occasion Mr. Osborne interposed with one of those sprightly taunts which often revive the fight, and drew forth the new Irish secretary, the Earl of Lincoln, who of course had never seriously intended to avoid speaking, as was proved by his address, which, though at first it did not escape the depreciating interruptions of some of the Irish members, was soon recognised by the house as a statement, both for argument and detail, quite worthy of the occasion and the office.

Lord Lincoln, now sitting for a Scotch borough, had originally been member for the native county of Lord George Bentinck, and had been driven from that honourable post mainly by the exertions of the leader of the protectionists and his energetic appeals to the indignant farmers of Nottinghamshire. There was therefore something of a public feud between the two noble lords, who rarely spared each other. Hitherto, the attacks of Lord Lincoln had been confined to the hustings, though that limitation scarcely deprived him of opportunities, for he had enjoyed the singular fortune of appearing on the hustings of three different places since the meeting of parliament. Lord George naturally delivered his rejoinders and criticism in the house, and they were certainly always prompt and in general tolerably plain. To-night, towards the

close of an apparently temperate speech, Lord Lincoln reminding the house of the difficulties which government had to encounter in Ireland, said "they had to adopt measures to meet a great emergency in the teeth of taunts and opprobrium from many of their former friends. They had received insults and reproaches that, out of the house, we not have been cast on them—taunts and reproaches that they had fabricated returns for party purposes and which, though uttered by honourable gentlemen sitting there, they would not have dared to pronounce out of the house."

The house being now full, for Lord Lincoln had spoken at considerable length, Lord George Bentinck rose, not encouraged to make a less decided declaration than he was prepared to do by the somewhat defying comments of one, between whom and him there perhaps existed local emulation as well as political difference.

Lord George touched lightly on the provisions of the bill, though he corrected one important statement of the chief secretary with great effect. "The noble lord, the chief secretary for Ireland, who was announced on the hustings of Falkirk, that he would be returned to this house in order to rescue matters from that defeat which otherwise, he said, was hanging over them, says it is a notorious fact, that crime in Ireland invariably diminishes in proportion

s the days grow long. Well, Sir, if that be the case, I think it was reason enough for passing this measure whilst the days were short and the nights long. This statement surely furnishes no grounds for postponing the second reading until the middle of the month of June. But, Sir, the very reverse of what the noble lord tells us is the fact. So far from this being the case, I find, that looking at the return of crimes committed last year, that the month of June, which it is perhaps known to the noble lord has the longest day, is precisely the very month when the greatest number of offences of this kind occurred."

Then dwelling on the conduct of the government, who, "at the expiration of five months, call upon the house to proceed with a measure to meet an emergency which occurred five months ago," he added, "But, Sir, there are much stronger and heavier reasons for my opposing the government on the present occasion. I and the gentlemen around me refuse to trust her majesty's ministers. We have for good reasons ceased to place any confidence in them. We are of opinion that we cannot with safety entrust them with the charge of so unconstitutional a power as this bill contains.

"I will not stay to discuss this measure. Is there any one who thinks the government mean to carry it through? After such postponements, such ob-

structions, such delays, with five months suffered to elapse between the first and the second reading, we know the session must be over, before this bill is dragged through the house of commons."

He said this, he continued, "because some of my Irish friends may be disposed to support this measure from an honest conviction that some measure of coercion is required.

"We have been told that the government were as much in earnest about carrying this measure as in carrying the corn law. But how different has been their conduct in the one measure and the other. They devoted every day, order and motion days, to the discussion of the corn bill, to repeal that law which they had so often pledged themselves to support. They acted with the zeal of converts, they forced on the measure, they were willing to sacrifice the holidays, they were to be worked up to Good Friday eve, in short, no toil too great, no question so important, no delay so fatal, as those which occurred on the corn bill. But how different the case with the coercion bill—delay, obstruction, months' intermission, short sittings. Why, bad and unkind as the government is, I cannot believe they are yet so far lost to a sense of propriety as to consent to a waste of so much valuable time if they really considered that the bill was necessary. No sooner does it become a question of the coercion bill instead of the corn

now, than the house is indulged with long holidays ; and on Monday night, Tuesday, and Thursday nights, permitted to adjourn at half-past seven. Does this look like earnestness, like sincerity ?

“ I should certainly have preferred an amendment which took the shape of a direct vote of want of confidence in her majesty’s ministers ; but if we can relieve any pledges which are given from the treasury bench, we may, I suppose, conclude that when they find they are no longer able to carry their measures, they will think it time to retire. We used, sir, I recollect, to be told by the right honourable aronet, that he would not consent to be a minister on sufferance ; but I think he must be blinded indeed by the flattery of those around him, if he has not learnt, that he is now a minister on sufferance, tossed from one side to the other, sometimes depending on honourable gentlemen opposite, sometimes on my friends around me, supported by none but his forty aid janissaries, and some seventy other renegades, the half of whom, while they support him, express their shame of doing so.

“ We are told now—we hear it from the minister himself—that he thinks there is nothing humiliating in the course which he has pursued—that it would have been base and dishonest in him, and inconsistent with his duty to his sovereign, if he had concealed his opinions after he had changed them ; but I have

lived long enough, I am sorry to say, to remember, and to remember with sorrow—with deep and heartfelt sorrow—the time when the right honourable baronet chased and hunted an illustrious relative of mine to death ; and when he stated, that he could not support his ministry, because, as leading member of it, though he had changed no opinion, yet from his position he was likely to forward the question of catholic emancipation. That was the conduct of the right honourable baronet in 1827 ; but in 1829, he told the house that he had changed his opinions on that subject in 1825, and had communicated that change of opinion to the Earl of Liverpool.

“ If, therefore, the right honourable baronet says it is base and dishonest, and inconsistent with the duty of a minister to his sovereign, to continue to maintain opinions after he has changed them, does not he stand convicted, by his own verdict, of base and dishonest conduct, and conduct inconsistent with the duty of a minister to his sovereign ? When I recollect his conduct in 1827 and in 1829, after his change of opinion in 1825, though he has been sitting long on the stool of repentance, I am satisfied that the country will not forgive twice the same crime in the same man. A second time, has the right honourable baronet insulted the honour of parliament and of the country, and it is now time

that atonement should be made to the betrayed constituencies of the empire."

The speaker sat down in a tumult of applause, amid which the secretary at war rose, with great indignation, to notice "charges against her majesty's government couched in language seldom heard in that house, language which, for the sake of the character of that house, it would be better should not be heard.

"The noble lord," continued the secretary, after having spoken for some time amid much interruption, "says we are not in earnest in passing this bill. He quotes, with that unfortunate love of arithmetic which leads him to calculations in human blood now, just as it led him to in grain, tallow, and timber before—a calculation to show that, though three weeks back it might be worth the while of the government to interfere, it is not worth while to interfere now, because he finds only 552 homicides in the returns. Only 552 homicides ! The whole tone of his mind in fact is changed in consequence of some prospects he entertains from the combination of parties in this house, but totally irrespective of the opinions he himself advanced."

After denouncing the conduct of Lord George Bentinck in changing his course upon this measure at some length and with no inconsiderable acrimony, and saying that the country would judge between him and the government, the secretary at war

added, that under a paramount sense of duty, the government would persevere with the coercion bill, "notwithstanding all his vituperation, and all his factious combinations.

"I make no charge against honourable gentlemen opposite," continued the secretary at war. "It is those who alter their course without any alteration in the circumstances, I denounce. Sir, if I may be allowed to allude to the rumours circulated for the last few days, I may repeat, that I have heard it stated, that proposals have been made to the noble lord opposite (Lord John Russell) to bring to his assistance the services of a number of gentlemen, who are more anxious to divide with him, than to consider the merits of the question—a proposal in reference to which the noble lord has acted as I should have expected him to act—he treated it with that silence which I suppose it is hardly parliamentary to designate as the silence of contempt."

At these strange expressions, unwise even if they had had some foundation, singularly unfortunate in the actual circumstances, there was a burst of exclamations from below the gangway, where the protectionist host were encamped, and loud cries of "Name, name." The secretary at war declined to name those who had "preferred to make a combination to unseat a government, rather than to support a policy to which they were pledged," and said their names would be

found in the division list; then, pursuing his speech, and reiterating his declaration that to "this measure the government was determined to adhere," resumed his seat. Whereupon the Marquess of Granby, with promptness and spirit, said that the secretary at war had complained that Lord George Bentinck had used language in that house which was most unusual: but Lord Granby complained that the government had taken a course which was most unusual. The conduct of the government had been such as had not before been witnessed in that house. Unusual conduct required unusual expressions. They had been told that they had entered into a compact with those opposite. Lord Granby was not aware of this compact. He believed the only agreement between the protectionists and the opposition was, that they had no confidence whatever in her majesty's government.

An Irish member then moving the adjournment of the house, Mr. Stafford rose, and said he would take advantage of the question of adjournment to ask the secretary at war for an explanation of his remarkable statement, that a proposition for a compact had been made between the protectionists and Lord John Russell and had been treated with silent contempt. If said only in the heat of debate, an explanation might be offered, but if said "decidedly, believing it, and intending to adhere to it," the house had a

right to require a reiteration of that statement in detail, and the name of the members of the protectionist party who had endeavoured to make this compact. The secretary at war, thus pointedly appealed to, rose and stated, that "he had not asserted of his own knowledge any fact, nor had he quoted any authority. But rumours had flown about town that Lord George Bentinck had made an offer to Lord John Russell of the kind described, 'Rumour had no name.'" Upon this, Mr. Eliot Yorke said, that it had been most distinctly stated that a negotiation had been carried on. No other construction could be put upon the words of the secretary at war but that some application had been made to Lord John Russell. If so, by whom made? Let Lord John Russell inform the house, and let the individual who made the application, have an opportunity of stating his authority to do so.

Lord John Russell upon this rose and said, that "no application or proposition had been made to him on the part of Lord George Bentinck, or of any other member. Some of his own friends indeed had asked what he intended to do with regard to the coercion bill, and far from using any contemptuous silence, he had replied, that it was his intention to oppose its second reading, and that they might tell

that to any person who required information on the subject. Lord George Bentinck had come to his conclusion on grounds satisfactory to himself; and he, Lord John Russell, had come to the same conclusion with regard to the bill on grounds which were satisfactory to himself. Those grounds were entirely public; he should be prepared to state them in debate; but they mainly rested on this, that he thought it would be injurious to Ireland and to the protection of life to allow the government measure to pass."

Thus closed this eventful night. The field on which the fate of the administration depended was fixed. The leaders of the three parties were pledged. The government would stand or fall by their measure: Lord John Russell and Lord George Bentinck had come "to the same conclusion" on different grounds, but "satisfactory to themselves." But what would the house do? At present, it adjourned at two o'clock in the morning, in great agitation. Rage rather than despair was conveyed by the countenances of the "janissaries" and the "renegades." The "moderate men," who wished to be at the same time on the best terms with their constituents and the treasury bench, keep in the government and yet keep their seats, murmured their disapprobation of "strong language," and said,

that a vote of non-confidence would have been the proper course, knowing very well, that they would not have supported it. Many trimmers were observed to walk home with "janissaries," or lighting their cigars with "renegades," declare, with a glance of secret sympathy, that they being thorough protectionists should certainly vote for protection of life,

CHAPTER XV.

EVERY influence that existed or that could be created was now used and devised to break up the protectionist party on the impending question. The estimate of those whose authority on such matters with the first minister was deservedly great was, that two-thirds of the two hundred and forty gentlemen, who had "maintained the chastity of their honour" on the famous division of February, would be found on the critical night in their old conservative ranks.

Three days had to elapse before the struggle could be continued, and they were days teeming with intrigue; with calculations, combinations, and canvassing. At least a fortnight must pass before the decision could be arrived at. The debate must be maintained until the third reading of the corn bill had been agreed to by the house of lords. What a

situation ! And what might not be managed in such a fortnight, for such a stake ? The assailant and the assailed were not fighting on equal terms : they were not rivals. Power, place, patronage, might reward those who upheld the minister ; they might even at this conjuncture become "janissaries" without ever having been "renegades." On the contrary if Lord George were victorious, he handed over the prize to another, and the fulfilment of retribution was the only return that he could afford his supporters. Besides there was a very lively recollection among the tory party generally of the evil effects which had accrued in 1830 from their former punishment of Sir Robert Peel. Old gentlemen at clubs shook their heads remembering the family boroughs that they had lost by avenging the betrayed protestantism of '29. It was felt that if the conservative party were broken up again a period of terrible indefiniteness must elapse before its reappearance on the political stage. And why should Lord John be brought in ? Was he not as bad as Sir Robert Peel ? Rather worse, because had it not been for that mischievous Edinburgh letter there might have been a politic solution of the affair in a respectable, moderate, fixed duty, which might have benefitted no one and satisfied everybody.

On Friday, June 12th, the minister concluded a tame discussion by a spirited speech. He spoke with an alacrity which of late had somewhat deserted

. His manner was confident, his voice merry, his eye sparkled with that rich humour of which he had a store, but of which he had favoured the house with no ebullitions in this sad, fierce session. He threw off that martyr aspect which hitherto he had very ostentatiously worn. It was thought that his manner was auspicious of the impending event. He fastened at first upon the recorder of Dublin, who, on a previous occasion, "in order to prove the difference in England, from highest to lowest, on the condition of Ireland," appeared to have stated that a housemaid in his establishment had very inconceivably declined to accompany the right honourable gentleman to the seat of his judicial duties. Sir Robert stated the circumstances with great gravity, but with playful misrepresentation, in tones of sympathy but with a twinkling eye. The recorder, impatient of the malicious narrative and anxious for the cause of truth, interrupted the orator and indignantly exclaimed, "No, no," which was exactly what Robert was angling for, who, turning round with unaffected gravity, and saying, "Well, then, at this point of the discussion, I must really ask the right honourable gentleman to explain to the house, what he did say respecting his housemaid," amid the murmurs of his audience, provisionally resumed his seat. This made good sport, and it was continued, for the learned judge had on his legs to repeat what the

minister had already described as "the housemaid argument;" and Sir Robert had a further opportunity of stating "with perfect sincerity, that nothing could be further from his mind than to cast any reflection whatever on the conduct or motives of the right honourable and learned gentleman, *ne sit ancille tibi amor pudori!*" There was much merriment in the house; it was even general. It was thought that every protectionist who laughed must be going to vote for the government.

After discussing the bill in detail and with much animation for more than an hour, the minister, suddenly assuming a solemn tone, said, that he should here have stopped, but he felt it incumbent on him not to sit down without noticing the speech made on the last night by Lord George Bentinck. There was a general rustling in the house and a momentary pause of the speaker.

When his voice again sounded, it was in tones of sorrowful indignation: not for his own sake but for the sake of the character of the house he deeply regretted, "Yes, I do deeply regret, that during this session there has been, for the first time, a license assumed, which is, I think, injurious to the cause of legitimate debate." He descanted much upon this; at least his parliamentary life for five and thirty years could afford no example for such license. There were bounds which ought to be respected by

every one who respects the usages of parliament. "Janissaries and renegades!" He had heard Lord George Bentinck absolutely speak of those with whom "he was connected by official ties," as paid janissaries and renegades, "gentlemen engaged in the public service; acting from as pure and conscientious a sense of public duty as ever influenced any member of this house. Unless a restraint was placed upon the exercise of such language, however right party feeling may prevail, it was calculated to create unmitigated disgust."

He now came to the personal attack upon himself; he rejoiced that he did not attempt to answer those personal imputations until he had had an opportunity of ascertaining how far they were well founded. The reply of the minister was therefore made with ample research and the advantage of four days for reflection, but it consisted mainly of inferences. Would the friends of Mr. Canning have joined Mr. Peel in '28 when the Duke of Wellington formed a cabinet, if he had so conducted himself towards Mr. Canning in '25 and '27? Amongst Mr. Canning's most intimate friends were Mr. Huskisson, Lord Dudley, Mr. Grant, and Lord Melbourne. Not one of them made any objection to join him on account of any preceding transaction. They one and all consented to serve with him in the government and cabinet. Is it likely that, if such an impression respecting him prevailed in their minds, as would appear now for

the first time to rankle in the heart of Lord George Bentinck, they would, in five months after the death of Mr. Canning, join with him in the cabinet and admit him as the leader of the house of commons? Was it likely that Lord Anglesea, "the personation of a gallant and chivalrous spirit, the embodiment of every generous and manly emotion, the intimate friend of Mr. Canning," would have gone as lord-lieutenant to Ireland when Mr. Peel was secretary of state if he had thought that Mr. Peel "had chased and hunted Mr. Canning to death?"

How came it that the minister heard of these feelings on the part of Lord George Bentinck for the first time on Monday last? Lord George had been in parliament since '26. "There may have been intermissions," observed Sir Robert, "but since 1835, I have been honoured with the noble lord's cordial, and, I must say, his pure and disinterested support. He called me his right honourable friend; he permitted me to be the leader of the party to which he belonged; he saw me united to his own immediate connexions and followers; never, and until Monday last, in June 1846, did I harbour the suspicion that the noble lord entertained such feelings in respect to me and believed me to be a man who had hunted and chased his relation to death."

But the minister was not satisfied with inferences. He gave the whole statement an unequivocal denial:

he declared, that the charge that in 1829 he had avowed a change of opinion in 1825, which change of opinion he concealed in 1827, was utterly and entirely destitute of foundation. To confirm his statement, Sir Robert read a long extract from his speech of 1827, which he made in the presence of Mr. Canning, but it was observed that he did not refer to the speech in question, the speech of 1829, and prove to the house that no such passage as described could be found in it. Finally, dwelling strongly on the possible error but the positive purity of his conduct in his present measures, he concluded by this extraordinary statement: "I may have been mistaken in my views respecting the corn laws, and the mistake, if it be one, may and ought, perhaps, to involve the forfeiture of political confidence, but that I have been influenced in this course by any desire to rob others of the credit which is their due; by any desire to interfere with the noble lord (Lord John Russell) whom I should have been glad to have seen in office; this imputation to me of motives so base, I declare to be as foul a calumny as a vindictive spirit ever directed against a public man."

It being fresh in the recollection of the house, the statement indeed emanating from his own lips, that Sir Robert Peel, in the previous November, had proposed to his cabinet the repeal of the corn laws, and was prepared, if they had assented to his propo-

sition, to attempt to carry that repeal, without any effort or inclination whatever to yield the measure to Lord John Russell, or any one else, this emphatic declaration was listened to by all with an air of perplexity and astonishment, so that the applause when the minister sate down was not such as might have been expected from so able and interesting an address, but was feeble and partial.

The house adjourned till Monday the 15th.

Lord George Bentinck had made the charge against Sir Robert Peel, that he had admitted in 1829, that, four years previously, he had expressed to Lord Liverpool an opinion that the catholic question ought to be settled, while, in the interval (1827), he had declined acting with Mr. Canning inasmuch as he was a minister favourable to such settlement, because Lord George Bentinck believed that he had himself heard such a declaration at the time from the lips of Mr. Peel. He did not refer to the circumstance as a matter of controversy, but as a fact acknowledged by all those who sate in the parliament of that day, or who were familiar with the political transactions of those times. The charge was a statement from his personal experience and memory, the tradition of the circle in which he lived, and the conviction of his hearth. He was prepared for an explanation from Sir Robert Peel, but he was not prepared for his denial of the fact. But since Sir

Robert had denied the fact unequivocally, and had referred to authoritative reports of parliamentary proceedings in support of such denial, it became necessary for Lord George Bentinck in the interval occurring until the next night of debate to examine the evidence on which the minister rested his vindication and generally to investigate the subject.

Of course the admission in question did not occur in the report of the speech of 1829 in Hansard, since that was a republication of the pamphlet in which the speech had authoritatively been published, as avowedly corrected and revised by Sir Robert Peel.

The alleged admission was however found in the *Mirror of Parliament*, a publication which had ceased, but which according to its prospectus was edited by a Mr. Barrow with the assistance of the ablest parliamentary reporters. Mr. Barrow was a well-known and accomplished parliamentary reporter, a writer of short-hand, and a gentleman of character and education.

The alleged admission appeared also in the parliamentary report of the *Times* newspaper of that day.

There appeared also in the *Mirror of Parliament* a very full version of a somewhat celebrated speech, ending with "*nusquam tuta fides*," made by Sir Edward Knatchbull, in answer to the speech of

Mr. Peel in which was the alleged admission, and in which speech Sir Edward Knatchbull attacked the admission with bitterness and in a spirit of great reproach. "If, as he now says, he had discovered in 1825 the necessity of passing this question, I ask why he did not say so in 1827, and give his support to Mr. Canning then, when the supposed difference between him and Mr. Canning obtained for him the support of many honourable gentlemen, who differed with him only on that, which I confess was the case with me."

Here were the materials of a good reply. Indeed the case seemed conclusive, especially to one whose memory and personal experience only required these documents as confirmation. Unfortunately, as he had already spoken in the debate, it was not open to Lord George Bentinck to avail himself of the fruits of his researches which he gave therefore on Monday morning to a friend who had not yet interfered in the discussion.

Late at night therefore on Monday this reply was made on his behalf. The extraordinary declaration with which the minister had concluded his speech on the preceding Friday was noticed and contrasted with his own official statements in the course of this very session; Lord George Bentinck was vindicated from the use of un-parliamentary language, and quotations from celebrated speeches of

Mr. Fox and of Mr. Grenville were read, not only far exceeding any observations of Lord George in vituperative expression, but absolutely using, in both instances, that particular phrase of "janissary," the unprecedented use of which in debate had been denounced by the minister in tones of such solemn indignation. The documentary evidence was then clearly put before the house and enforced, and the speaker sat down.

The minister rose confused and suffering. He said he had no right to reply, but continued to make deprecatory and feeble observations. Finally, he called upon the house to "suspend their judgment," until an opportunity for reply came.

The house adjourned until Thursday. The general opinion was that the minister was greatly damaged, and that had the division then taken place the government would certainly have been in a minority. On Thursday, the debate was very rapid: it was supposed that Sir Robert Peel would have made his explanation on that night, but he was silent. There were rumours from the treasury bench, that certain papers that were expected from Drayton had not arrived; the delay made the protectionists confident. When the house broke up, it was bruited about that the "explanation" would certainly be made on the next day.

Consequently on Friday, at five o'clock, the house

was crowded ; the gallery of the peers was full and the diplomatic body were present ; the minister entered the house with an air of injured innocence, with his eyes cast upon the floor, and as if he felt that, until his character was cleared, it was not becoming in him to assume the deportment befitting his high office.

When the statement was made on the 15th by the friend of Lord George Bentinck in vindication of the charge and in rejoinder to the reply of the minister, a member of the liberal party had sent across the house a number of the *Edinburgh Review*, of April, 1829, in which was an article on the state of parties, written, it was understood, by an individual, who had subsequently become a member of the whig government, and in which article it was stated, "that at the very time Sir Robert Peel told Mr. Canning, in the house of commons, that his unlooked-for opposition to that statesman was grounded on a difference of opinion on the catholic question, he had in his desk a letter, in which, two years before, he had told Lord Liverpool that, in his opinion, the catholic claims ought to be granted, and proposing, that he should retire from office in the meantime."

This document, thus casually turning up and inadvertently introduced, was used by the speaker after he had concluded that portion of his statement which depended upon evidence ; "after this, I think,

it is unnecessary to produce any more evidence," were his words. "I have accomplished the vindication of my noble friend who had not the power of speaking again in this debate;" and then, with reference to an observation of the minister in his reply that the charge made by Lord George Bentinck was heard by him for the first time, it was observed, that that was remarkable, since although an anonymous publication was no authority, there were publications of that kind of such high character, as the *Edinburgh and Quarterly Reviews* for example, to which many cabinet ministers of both sides had been contributors, that they might fairly be adduced not as evidence of any fact, but certainly as evidence of the belief of well-informed circles on particular circumstances; and in one of them this charge had been made without reserve, and with the allegation of circumstances, which Lord George Bentinck on an anonymous authority did not for a moment adduce, and then the authority was read.

It is necessary to record this insignificant circumstance, because it illustrates the admirable art with which Sir Robert Peel managed a case in the house of commons. In the present instance, after a prelude in which he ran over the long series of great political events that had occurred since the period in controversy: "There have been great political conflicts and great political excitement since that period.

Since 1825, there has been the severance from Mr. Canning; the formation of his government; the formation of the government of Lord Goderich; the union of the friends of Mr. Canning with the Duke of Wellington and myself in 1828; the separation from us of those friends of Mr. Canning in the same year, on matters totally unconnected with the reputation or character of Mr. Canning. Then followed the fierce conflicts of 1829, when I felt it my duty to propose the adjustment of the catholic question. In 1830, the government of the Duke of Wellington, the combination of parties against that government, and the loss of power by the Duke of Wellington, and those who held office under him. Then followed the government of Lord Grey, and the severe conflicts of reform; the dissolution of the government of Lord Melbourne in 1834; and the formation of that government over which I presided in 1835, attempting to conduct the affairs of this country by a minority of this house for about three months, when I yielded to the right honourable gentlemen opposite, and the formation of their government in 1835 ensued."

Surely after such a series of party contentions he was justified in presuming that so far as they could be the subject of crimination against him they were buried in oblivion. Every document connected with these events had been sent, many years ago, to a

distance from London, in the full confidence that future reference to them would not possibly be required. Occupied by urgent public duties, he had been unable himself to repair to their place of deposit. The private secretaries by whose aid the correspondence of that period was conducted had passed away; the whole of the correspondence had been sent to his country residence in Staffordshire. He was obliged to have it examined by those who were no parties to the conduct of it; they had brought to London a confused and complicated mass of documents, from which, for the last three days, he had been attempting to collect the materials for his vindication from charges directed against his veracity and honour.

This picture quite carried away the feelings of the house. A minister, at such a moment of public duty, forced to investigate such a mass of documents for the vindication of his private character, "a confused and complicated mass." And why was all this, and what was all this about?

Now came the skilful disposition of the case. Because a charge had been made against him by a member of parliament, that there was a letter of his to Lord Liverpool in existence, which proved that he had intimated to Lord Liverpool that there had been not only a wish to relinquish office but that there was a change of opinion on his part on the

roman catholic question. "And he cited, as a proof of that, an article in the Edinburgh Review, in which it was stated that I had a copy of that letter in my desk."

No such charge had ever been made and no such proof ever been adduced. Admitting that his communications with Lord Liverpool, "whom he saw every day," were verbal ones, and that he was "not in the habit of making formal written communications" to that minister; that he "had not the slightest doubt," that on the subject in question, "no written communication took place between them," he informed the house, that the whole of his correspondence, for seven years, under the letter L, had been brought to London, and, as he had anticipated, the written communications with Lord Liverpool were very few. Nothing should be concealed; he would read every one of these letters to the house. And he did read, amid profound silence, three gossiping notes, marked *private* and *most confidential*, under date of 1825. This was not much fruit from a "confused and complicated mass of documents," which, yet too, seemed sufficiently digested and in order, in well-arranged pigeon-holes, with appropriate initial letters. Such an investigation would hardly seem to have required three days. But the audience was not critical. It was full of sympathy, admiration of such public devotion, and gratitude for such confi-

nial revelations. The vindication which did not even meet the imaginary charge was held complete, and the house only checked its cheers that it might not lose a syllable of this great historic and personal explanation."

The answer to the "letter in the desk," took about an hour, though the time passed so quickly that all were unconscious of its lapse, except one individual who carefully watched the dial, and that was the orator himself. Hitherto all had gone off to his perfect satisfaction. Scarlett himself in the days of his *nisi prius* glory had never shown more droitness or more intimate acquaintance with the tribunal to which he appealed. But this was nothing to his next position.

He now addressed himself to the report of his speech contained in the Mirror of Parliament, which comprised the admission in controversy. He denied, that there was any report in the Mirror of Parliament at all. He said the alleged reports of that publication were compilations from the newspapers; he denied that Mr. Barrow wrote short-hand; he denied that he was even a parliamentary reporter; finally, he denied that there was such a man as Mr. Barrow, for he was dead, or otherwise he should have referred to him. As it was, he had referred to those who from their pursuits were acquainted with this subject; gentlemen connected with the public press,

beyond his influence, beyond his control, who had given him this information, who, actuated by no other feelings than those which are suggested by a love of justice, had generously come forward to supply him with the information necessary to vindicate himself, and to prove that the equivocal expressions appeared only in one organ, the Times, and that the report in the Times was adopted and engrafted into the Mirror of Parliament.

All this was very victorious; but when the minister, feeling the wind was in all his sails, described himself as "oppressed with public business yet having to devote three days to the collating and contrasting of newspaper reports and speeches delivered seventeen years ago," some might have remembered, that only one space of three days had elapsed, and that that had already been occupied by the "confused and complicated mass of documents," sent up from his country residence in Staffordshire, from which he had "to attempt to collect the materials for his vindication from charges directed against his veracity and honour."

The awkward speech of Sir Edward Knatchbull was treated gingerly. It still remains a very singular circumstance. Sir Edward was then alive and had been the colleague, and the recent colleague, of Sir Robert Peel. A line from the county of Kent might have thrown some light upon this perplexed affair,

and have saved Sir Robert a very elaborate statement ; but no application was made to Sir Edward Knatchbull. Sir Robert indeed suggested that Sir Edward was not present in the house when he made his speech in 1829, but unfortunately a division happened to take place on that evening, and in the list of names that of Sir Edward Knatchbull appears. Glancing only at this, the minister recurred to some personal considerations, attacked, though cautiously, his principal assailant in this affair, declared that nothing would have tempted him to condescend to a defence, but for "the plausibility of the charge and the concatenation of circumstances which had been brought together as evidence ;" and then with the air and tone of a man who thus assailed might have become a victim, had he not been saved by the generous interposition of the press and the spirit of justice in the house of commons, almost overcome by his emotions, and observing that it was exactly half-past seven o'clock, he sent the peers, the diplomatic body, and two-thirds of the house of commons, to dinner, knowing very well that Demosthenes himself under such circumstances and at such an hour could not have revived the fight and turned the tables against him.

There never was a more successful "explanation : " and nobody, except perhaps Lord George Bentinck, would have had the courage to have risen amid the

noise and disregard of a dissolving assembly to reiterate his charges, and to declare, that they either were not met or that they were evaded. And thus he ended : “ I will maintain, as long as I have a seat in this house, my right to denounce the men, whether they are ministers or private individuals, who shall have betrayed the trust confided to them by their constituents.”

Mr. Bickham Escott, interrupting him—“ Who has betrayed them ?”

“ You ask me,” replied Lord George, “ who has betrayed them ! Why you ! On high constitutional grounds I say we ought not to wrap up in deceitful language the crime of tergiversation of which so many have been guilty. And I use the sentiments of Lord Chatham, when I say that ‘ if the country cannot place confidence in the promises and pledges of their representatives, the power and authority of this house will fall,’ and it is because for the second time the right honourable baronet has attempted to lower the character of English gentlemen who are representatives in this house and to drag them through the mire, that I denounced such conduct so strongly as I did on a former occasion. Sure I am of this, that the tendency—I will not say the object—but the tendency of the measures of the minister is to lower the character and to sap and undermine the confidence reposed in the characters of English

emen, and so to destroy them. He has sub-
d that feeling of placing political trust in the
representatives of the people which is essential to
tain the power and dominion of the house of
nons. He has brought things to this pass,
by his example he has taught the representatives
e English people, that if it is not their duty, it is
privilege, to betray their constituents. And I
re to say, without fear of contradiction, that
was not when parliament met—though I hope
s are different now—a great constituency in the
ry, that did not apprehend more danger from
whom they had themselves sent to parliament
otect their property and to defend their rights,
from their most open and daring enemies.”

ter in the evening, a very interesting criticism
ie career of Sir Robert Peel was given in his
nce by Lord John Russell. Lord John noticed
tail the points of the evidence, that had been laid
e the house. He acknowledged that, some
before, “in the course of this very year,” the
t in the *Mirror of Parliament* had been
ed out to him by a friend, and had “made some
ssion on him,” and that there were other pas-
in the speeches of Sir Robert Peel on this
t at the time, as his answer to Lord Uxbridge
others, which without careful comparison with

other statements, were liable to misconstruction, but recapitulating all the heads of the case, he expressed his opinion, after hearing the statement of the minister, that the charges were in his opinion unfounded.

"Sir, having said thus much," continued Lord John, "I must add that I think these questions are entirely different from any which my noble friend or others can raise as to the political conduct of the right honourable gentleman. As to his opposition to Mr. Canning, in 1827, I have myself a strong opinion, but my opinion is not now in question. As to the general political conduct of the right honourable gentleman, I think he has rendered great service to his country, in taking the post he has taken on the present occasion; but, at the same time, I cannot express surprise or wonder at any warmth or vindictive feeling being directed against him, because in his political career he has done that which, perhaps, has never happened to so eminent a man before. He has twice changed his opinion on the greatest political questions of his day. Once, when the protestant church was to be defended, and the protestant constitution rescued from the assaults of the roman catholics, which, it was said, would ruin it, the right honourable gentleman undertook to lead the defence. Again, the corn laws were powerfully attacked in this house, and out of it. He took the lead of his

ty to resist a change, and to defend protection. nink, on both occasions, he came to a wise conclusion, and to a decision beneficial to his country ; t, when he repealed the roman catholic disabilities ; and secondly, when he abolished protection. t that those who had followed him—men who l committed themselves to these questions on the h of his political wisdom, on the faith of his acity, led by the great eloquence and ability he played in debate—that when they found he had nged his opinions, and proposed measures different m those on the faith of which they had followed , that they should exhibit warmth and resentment was not only natural, but I should have been prised if they had not displayed it.”

Not the least curious circumstance connected h this episode is, that, six months after it l occurred, and when it was already forgot- in the important result which had immediately followed, Mr. Barrow, whose existence even s denied by Sir Robert Peel, called at Harcourt use on Lord George Bentinck. He had just urned from India, where he had been on an important mission from a London newspaper, as “our n correspondent,” and not a little indignant that was described by the highest authority as being d, unable to write short-hand, and never a mem-

ber of that distinguished body, the parliamentary reporters of England, in whose brotherhood although a barrister-at-law he was justly proud of having been once enrolled and as one of its ablest members, Mr. Barrow wished his case to be brought before the house, and the honour and accuracy of the *Mirror of Parliament* vindicated on certain representations which he was prepared to make, and Lord George Bentinck was very inclined to undertake the office, but he was persuaded that, on the whole and under the existing circumstances it were better not to revive the controversy, and if the writer of these pages had any influence in that resolution, and thus deprived Mr. Barrow of his opportunity, he has tried to compensate a very respectable and intelligent gentleman for this deprivation by recording his name and merits in a volume which perhaps may live as long as a personal debate.

The truth about the question which so conveniently occasioned this interesting episode in the debates on the coercion bill appears to be this: that Sir Robert Peel, in 1829, having to make a complicated and very embarrassing statement respecting his change of opinion and policy with regard to the roman catholics, and to refer by dates to several periods, both as to his positive and his contingent conduct upon that subject, conveyed by some expres-

sions a meaning to the house of a very perplexing character and quite different from that which he intended ; that the reporter of the Times caught the sentence and although it was inconsistent with the reputation of Sir Robert Peel perhaps imperfectly preserved it ; that the reporters of the other journals, not comprehending the remark and deeming it quite incongruous and contrary to received impressions, omitted it, as under such circumstances is not unusual ; that Sir Robert Peel, when he corrected the version of his speech, which he did from the report of the Times, finding a sentence which conveyed a false meaning, and which was authorised by no analogous expressions in the other papers, very properly struck it out ; that the reporter of the Times, who, after due comparison and consultation with the reporters of some other principal journals, prepared with them the matured version, for the Mirror of Parliament, adhered to his text with the general concurrence of his colleagues, and thus embalmed the error. Perplexing as it is, we have no doubt that the speech of Sir Edward Knatchbull can be explained to the entire vindication of Sir Robert Peel ; the solution of this, however, as far as we are concerned, must be left to Œdipus, with a full admission that though Lord George Bentinck was perfectly justified in making the particular charge which he

advanced it was without real foundation. For the rest, those who are well-informed of the political history of this country, know that between Mr. Canning and Mr. Peel there existed an antipathy. They disliked each other: Mr. Canning was jealous of Mr. Peel, and Mr. Peel was a little envious of Mr. Canning.

CHAPTER XVI.

THERE are few circumstances more remarkable in parliamentary history than the suspense which attended the fate of the Peel government. The opposing hosts were drawn up in array for three weeks without the possibility of a general engagement. The return of the corn bill from the house of lords was to be the signal for a general battle and a bill of such vast importance could not be hurriedly passed by either house of parliament. Had it not been for the Canning episode, it is difficult to see how the evenings devoted to the adjourned debate on the coercion bill could have been filled up.

But that episode was now concluded, and it was said that another week must inevitably elapse before the corn bill could come down. The friends of the government, elate with the last rally of their chief, and encouraged by several circumstances which then

occurred, redoubled their efforts to detach the protectionist party from Lord George Bentinck. The colonial and shipping interests were very active in this endeavour. They had deserted the land on the great division, and were now very busy through their representatives in the house of commons, canvassing the votes of protectionist members and trying, as they styled it, to reconstruct the conservative party. Sugar yet remained to be conserved, and the wooden walls of old England, as with Kyan's patent. The colonial and shipping interests therefore were very stirring; denounced unprincipled coalitions with revolutionists and radicals, and were decided in their reprobation of "strong language."

There were however deeper heads working at this crisis than those gentlemen, whose efforts, though not without effect, were rather on the surface. Sir Robert had always been well served in the unseen management of his party. The great conservative party that destroyed everything was the creation of individuals who did not figure in senates or cabinet councils; above all, of one gentleman who by his knowledge of human nature, fine observation of opinion, indefatigable activity, universal correspondence, and fertility of resource, mainly contributed to the triumph of '42, though he was spoken of only in a whisper and moved only behind the scenes.

Such scheming spirits, at such a crisis, did not desert the chief whom they had so laboriously placed upon so mighty a pedestal. It was thought that some signal demonstration from an unsuspecting quarter, made before the division, appealing to the conservative sympathies of the party, and disapproving of the spirit in which the opposition to the minister had been conducted, might have a very great effect, and in the present wavering disposition of members turn the scale of the division. But where was the personage to perform the evolution? No small man would do. Several petty squires, who had of course voted against the ministerial measure to save their seats, had already been egged on to take what was called a temperate tone, that is to say, cheapen Lord George Bentinck and keep out the whigs; but these efforts had been quite uninfluential, indeed unnoticed; the house would not listen to and the reporters would not record these prim philippics, laborately prepared and precisely delivered, with all the solemn conceit of a quarter sessions' pedant.

But if small men would not do, where were great ones to be found? The Francis Egertons, the Sandons, the Wilson Pattens, and men of that stamp, from whom a selection is generally made when an influential diversion is desired to extricate a minister from embarrassment, were all "renegades," and had consequently forfeited all authority.

In this state of affairs some judicious and faithful friends of the minister had the happy hardihood to address themselves to the Duke of Buckingham, a nobleman who, from his long and faithful services as a county member and from the undeviating consistency of his political life, justly possessed the confidence and the regard of the agricultural interest. There were few public men on whom the ministry had less claims than the Duke of Buckingham. His grace had quitted the cabinet of Sir Robert Peel almost immediately after its formation, deeming its policy inconsistent with the professions by which its members had obtained power. After his secession, there was an ungracious and unwarrantable delay in conferring upon him the garter, which conveyed an impression that this great distinction was the consequence of some disreputable compact, whereas it had been arranged that the duke should have the garter long before he had felt the necessity of resigning his office as lord privy seal. No one also had given a more earnest opposition to the present measure of the minister than his grace, which he had proved by very decided conduct.

It would seem therefore at the first blush that the application for assistance to such a quarter was not likely to prove favourable. But the Duke of Buckingham had had a long and very active experience of party life; he had witnessed the overthrow

he tory party by the Duke of Wellington, and felt all its dangerous not to say fatal consequences. No one was more conscious of the difficulties of reconstructing a great party than the Duke of Buckingham, for no one had made greater exertions for such an object or greater sacrifices. He shrank from a repetition of ten years of balanced parties and weak governments. Indignant and irritated by the conduct of those with whom he was associated, he was still unprepared to assist in handing over the government to the whigs, who offered by their accession to office nothing to the tories but the gratification of vengeance. The Duke of Buckingham therefore was not willing to see the government overthrown, and he listened with sympathy and with cordiality to their overtures.

The plan was most ingenious : a combination of the highest class, and worthy of the only political man capable of devising it.

A member of the ministry, high in office and returned to parliament on strong protection principles, had been called upon by his constituents at the commencement of the session to oppose the government measure or to resign his seat to one more faithful to their cause. The right honourable gentleman after reflection felt it his duty to quit the House of Commons, and the son of the Duke of Buckingham, the Marquess of Chandos, was elected

in his stead. Entering parliament as a true protectionist, on the very corpse of a convert and a victim, having voted in every division against the government measure, pure from all antecedents, and the son of the Duke of Buckingham—what an authoritative organ to rally round the minister the distracted and scattered sympathies of the conservative party ! But Lord Chandos was a youth who had not long completed his majority, little known and extremely reserved, and had never opened his mouth in the house of commons. Tender shoulders whereon to place so weighty a charge ! A party manifesto and a maiden speech ; the rescue of a powerful ministry by an inexperienced stripling !

On the 22nd, the debate proceeded : at the end of the night the secretary of state relieved the dullness ; it was observed that his tone was more cheerful ; he particularly impressed on those who were satisfied of the necessity of the measure to give the government a manly and cordial support, apart from all questions of confidence or want of confidence in the existing administration. That question could be raised at any time and upon other issues. " I may be wrong," concluded the secretary, " but I have a deep conviction, that if all those who are conscientiously satisfied that in the present circumstances of Ireland this bill is necessary shall support the present reading, the result of the division will not be doubtful."

The debate was adjourned till the next government night, Thursday, the 25th of June. Fine calculators thought it possible that the corn-laws might come down from the lords that night; but at all events it must come down early on the following day, Friday, so the decision was inevitable that week. All were wearied and exhausted with suspense.

On Thursday morning Lord George Bentinck was informed in confidence, but with circumstances of some exaggeration, of the intended movement of Lord Chandos, and of the great defalcation in the protectionist ranks which would certainly take place. It reached him also that an application of the greatest urgency was made late at night, on Wednesday, to a member of the cabinet whose seat was in the house of lords, on a subject which might considerably affect the division; that the secretary of the treasury had stated to this personage, that "things never looked better," and that, at the worst, the majority against the government could not exceed eleven. It was added, that the ministry would not feel it necessary to retire if the majority were so light under the circumstances of coalition.

Thursday came at last. The debate was languid until Mr. Charles Buller rose, who spoke with his usual vivacity and clear argument. While he was speaking, Mr. Buller was interrupted by messengers from the lords. Two masters in chancery were

here introduced, bringing several bills from the upper house, among which were the corn importation and customs' duties bills. Mr. Speaker, amid profound silence, announced that the house of lords had agreed to the corn importation bill, and the customs' duties bills, without any amendment. This announcement was followed by loud cheering.

Seated on the highest bench, hid by a column, with his back against the wall, in a position from which no person ever yet did, or apparently ever could, address the house, a young man whom nobody knew now sprang up, very pale, and solicited Mr. Speaker's eye, who called Mr. Bankes. "Chandos," whispered a member to Lord George, who looked round and threw at him a scrutinizing glance.

Mr. Bankes was down, and Lord Chandos rose again, but the speaker called Mr. Spooner. When Mr. Spooner had finished it was about ten o'clock, and the speaker retired to his coffee and his only relaxation of ten minutes, preliminary to the great speech of the night, which, on this occasion, was to be offered by an orator no less accomplished than Mr. Shiel, whose name had of course been called before the chair was vacated. The house broke into groups, members talked together on the floor with their hats on, some lounged in the lobby, some sauntered to the galleries. "Well, we shall divide

at last," was the general observation, "and how will it be?" At that hour, neither Sir Robert Peel nor perhaps even Lord George Bentinck could exactly tell. The result depended on what number of protectionists would stay away. If all those members of the protectionist party who did not follow their leader in the present instance voted with the government, it was concluded that the majority in favour of the ministry might not be contemptible. The managers for the government were certain of the support of a very large portion of the protectionist party. They were induced to believe that many of that party would avoid the division, but that very few indeed would bring themselves to vote against a bill which they had already stoutly supported. The ministerial managers felt quite assured that all the Tory members for Ireland would be found in the government lobby. The protectionists were very discreet and their tactics extremely close; the party was never better managed than on this division. As late as midnight Lord George Bentinck received bulletins of the varying circumstances of the impending event.

The house listened with glowing attention to the last great Irish harangue of the most brilliant of modern rhetoricians. It was so eager for division that none but he could have commanded and charmed it. When Mr. Shiel sat down, Lord Chandos and a

member of the government, the solicitor general rose at the same time. The speaker of course called the minister, but the restlessness and impatience of the house were so uncontrollable that the learned gentleman was quite inaudible during his address. When he concluded, the calls for a division were overpowering; nevertheless Lord Chandos rose again, and this time, as he rose alone, he was necessarily named. The cries for Lord Chandos from the treasury bench were vehement, and the voices of more than one of the leading members of the administration were easily to be distinguished. The position of the speaker, the novelty of the example, for surely a maiden speech was seldom made under such difficult circumstances, the influence of the treasury bench in their neighbourhood, and the conciliatory circumstance that he was a "new member," combined suddenly to produce in this disturbed scene a complete stillness.

Very pale, looking like the early portraits of Lord Grenville, determined but impassive and coldly earnest, Lord Chandos, without any affectation of rhetorical prelude, said in a clear and natural tone that he wished to state his intention of recording his vote for the measure of the government. And he gave succinctly his main reasons for so doing. Those reasons convinced him of its necessity. He had felt it his duty since his return to parliament to oppose

the measure of her majesty's ministers, voting with a portion of the party sitting on the same side as himself; but he wished to take this opportunity of saying, that he should be sorry it should be thought he concurred in the language which had been directed by that party against the government, and especially against the right honourable baronet who was at the head of it. They were told that the question to-night involved a vote of confidence in the minister. He did not acknowledge the justness of that conclusion. He gave his vote on this bill solely with reference to the condition of Ireland, but if he could bring his mind to understand that the question of general confidence in the administration was the principal question on which they were going to decide to-night, and the proper government of Ireland only a secondary one, then he thought it fair to say that he for one was not prepared to vote a want of confidence in the present conservative government. He supported them as an administration founded on conservative principles, and he for one did not agree, that conservative principles depended on tariff regulations, or that the existence of the institutions of the country relied upon the maintenance of a fiscal principle. Whatever the result of the division, he should have the satisfaction of knowing that his vote would be registered freely and fairly on the merits of the question, and that he was not actuated by personal prejudice or factious opposition.

There is unfortunately no report of these observations. Sir Robert Peel turning his face to Lord Chandos listened to him with great attention and watched him with approving interest. When he sat down, the cheering from the treasury bench and its quarter was vociferous. The observations of Lord Chandos prolonged a little the debate, which was concluded by Mr. Cobden offering the reasons why he voted against a minister in whom he had the utmost confidence, and on whom he delivered a fervent panegyric, tendering him "his heart-felt thanks for the unwearied perseverance, the unswerving firmness, and the great ability, with which he had, during the last six months, conducted through the house of commons one of the most magnificent reforms ever carried through any country."

At length, about half-past one o'clock, the galleries were cleared, the division called, and the question put. In almost all previous divisions, where the fate of a government had been depending, the vote of every member with scarcely an exception had been anticipated: that was not the case in the present instance, and the direction which members took as they left their seats was anxiously watched. More than one hundred protectionist members followed the minister; more than eighty avoided the division, a few of these however had paired; nearly the same number followed Lord George Bentinck. But it was not merely their numbers that attracted the anxious

reservation of the treasury bench as the protectionists passed in defile before the minister to the hostile lobby. It was impossible that he could have marked them without emotion: the flower of that great party which had been so proud to follow one who had been so proud to lead them. They were men to gain whose hearts and the hearts of their others had been the aim and exultation of his life. They had extended to him an unlimited confidence and an admiration without stint. They had stood by him in the darkest hour, and had borne him from the depths of political despair to the proudest of rising positions. Right or wrong, they were men of honour, breeding, and refinement, high and generous character, great weight and station in the country, which they had ever placed at his disposal. They had been not only his followers but his friends; had dined in the same pastimes, drank from the same cup, and in the pleasantness of private life had often forgotten together the cares and strife of politics.

He must have felt something of this, while theanners, the Somersets, the Bentincks, the Lowthers, and the Lennoxes, passed before him. And those country gentlemen, "those gentlemen of England," whom, but five years ago, the very same building was ringing with his pride of being their leader—if his heart were hardened to Sir Charles Burrell, Sir William Jolliffe, Sir Charles Knightly, Sir John Trollope,

Sir Edward Kerrison, Sir John Tyrrell, he surely must have had a pang, when his eye rested on Sir John Yarde Buller, his choice and pattern country gentleman, whom he had himself selected and invited but six years back to move a vote of want of confidence in the whig government, in order, against the feeling of the court, to install Sir Robert Peel in their stead.

They trooped on : all the men of metal and large-acred squires, whose spirit he had so often quickened and whose counsel he had so often solicited in his fine conservative speeches in Whitehall gardens : Mr. Bankes, with a parliamentary name of two centuries, and Mr. Christopher from that broad Lincolnshire which protection had created ; and the Mileses and the Henleys were there ; and the Duncombes, the Liddells, and the Yorkes ; and Devon had sent there the stout heart of Mr. Buck—and Wiltshire, the pleasant presence of Walter Long. Mr. Newdegate was there, whom Sir Robert had himself recommended to the confidence of the electors of Warwickshire, as one of whom he had the highest hopes ; and Mr. Alderman Thompson was there, who, also through Sir Robert's selection, had seconded the assault upon the whigs, led on by Sir John Buller. But the list is too long ; or good names remain behind.

When Prince Metternich was informed at Dresden,

with great ostentation, that the emperor had arrived —“Yes; but without his army,” was the reply. Sir Robert Peel was still first minister of England, as Napoleon remained emperor for a while after Moscow. Each perhaps for a moment had indulged in hope. It is so difficult for those who are on the pinnacle of life to realize disaster. They sometimes contemplate it in their deep and far-seeing calculations, but it is only to imagine a contingency which their resources must surely baffle; they sometimes talk of it to their friends, and oftener of it to their enemies, but it is only as an insurance of their prosperity and as an offering to propitiate their Nemesis. They never believe in it.

The news that the government were not only beaten, but by a majority so large as 73, began to circulate. An incredulous murmur passed it along the treasury bench.

“They say we are beaten by 73!” whispered the most important member of the cabinet in a tone of surprise to Sir Robert Peel.

Sir Robert did not reply or even turn his head. He looked very grave, and extended his chin as was his habit when he was annoyed and cared not to speak. He began to comprehend his position, and that the emperor was without his army.

CHAPTER XVII.

THE house met the next day (Friday), but the first minister was not in his place, and it having been privately notified that in consequence of the vote of the previous evening the government was at an end, all business was postponed, and the house adjourned.

On Monday at five o'clock, the public notification of the resignation of the ministry was made by Sir Robert Peel, to a crowded house, and in a remarkable speech. He said that the ministers had advised her majesty to accept their resignations without adopting the alternative of dissolving the parliament, though had they failed in carrying in all their integrity the main measures of their commercial policy, they would have recommended an appeal to the country. Sir Robert then passed in review the principal acts of his administration in every department. He did not forget to touch on the bank charter act of 1844, as "giving

stability to the monetary system of the country without interfering with legitimate speculation, without paralyzing or at all deranging the credit of the state." The speech was considered one of glorification and pique. It included an unparliamentary eulogium of Mr. Cobden, whom it mentioned to the surprise of the house by name; and it terminated with a panegyric of himself, elaborate, but rather clumsily expressed, in which he talked of his leaving a name which would be execrated by monopolists, but sometimes remembered perhaps with good-will by those who recruited their exhausted strength with abundant and untaxed food, the sweeter because it was no longer leavened by a sense of injustice.

Little was said: Lord John was absent, having repaired, by command, to her majesty "for the purpose of rendering his assistance in the formation of a government." Lord Palmerston very properly said something, that "the silence of himself and his friends should not be construed into an acquiescence in the general commendation which Sir Robert Peel had passed on the measures of his own government." After this protest, the house adjourned.

Nature had combined in Sir Robert Peel many admirable parts. In him a physical frame incapable of fatigue was united with an understanding equally vigorous and flexible. He was gifted with the faculty of method in the highest degree; and with great

powers of application which were sustained by a prodigious memory ; while he could communicate his acquisitions with clear and fluent elocution.

Such a man, under any circumstances and in any sphere of life, would probably have become remarkable. Ordained from his youth to be busied with the affairs of a great empire, such a man, after long years of observation, practice, and perpetual discipline, would have become what Sir Robert Peel was in the latter portion of his life, a transcendent administrator of public business and a matchless master of debate in a popular assembly. In the course of time the method which was natural to Sir Robert Peel had matured into a habit of such expertness that no one in the despatch of affairs ever adapted the means more fitly to the end ; his original flexibility had ripened into consummate tact ; his memory had accumulated such stores of political information that he could bring luminously together all that was necessary to establish or to illustrate a subject ; while in the house of commons he was equally eminent in exposition and in reply : in the first, distinguished by his arrangement, his clearness, and his completeness ; in the second, ready, ingenious, and adroit, prompt in detecting the weak points of his adversary and dexterous in extricating himself from an embarrassing position.

Thus gifted and thus accomplished, Sir Robert Peel had a great deficiency ; he was without imagina-

tion. Wanting imagination, he wanted prescience. No one was more sagacious when dealing with the circumstances before him; no one penetrated the present with more acuteness and accuracy. His judgment was faultless provided he had not to deal with the future. Thus it happened through his long career, that while he always was looked upon as the most prudent and safest of leaders, he ever, after a protracted display of admirable tactics, concluded his campaigns by surrendering at discretion. He was so adroit that he could prolong resistance even beyond its term, but so little foreseeing that often in the very triumph of his manœuvres he found himself in an untenable position. And so it came to pass that roman catholic emancipation, parliamentary reform, and the abrogation of our commercial system, were all carried in haste or in passion and without conditions or mitigatory arrangements.

Sir Robert Peel had a peculiarity which is perhaps natural with men of very great talents who have not the creative faculty; he had a dangerous sympathy with the creations of others. Instead of being cold and wary, as was commonly supposed, he was impulsive and even inclined to rashness. When he was ambiguous, unsatisfactory, reserved, tortuous, it was that he was perplexed, that he did not see his way, that the routine which he had admirably administered failed him, and that his own mind was not constructed

to create a substitute for the custom which was crumbling away. Then he was ever on the look out for new ideas, and when he embraced them he did so with eagerness and often with precipitancy; he always carried these novel plans to an extent which even their projectors or chief promoters had usually not anticipated; as was seen for example in the settlement of the currency. Although apparently wrapped up in himself and supposed to be egotistical, except in seasons of rare exaltedness, as in the years 1844—5, when he reeled under the favour of the court, the homage of the continent, and the servility of parliament, he was really deficient in self-confidence. There was always some person representing some theory or system exercising an influence over his mind. In his "sallet-days" it was Mr. Horner or Sir Samuel Romilly; in later and more important periods, it was the Duke of Wellington, the King of the French, Mr. Jones Lloyd—some others—and finally, Mr. Cobden.

Let us now see how this peculiar temperament influenced his career and the history of this country.

There never was such an opportunity of forming a strong and enlightened administration, and rendering the tory party famous and popular in the country! as on the junction of the friends of Mr. Canning after his decease with the followers of the Duke of Wellington. All personal jealousies had ceased, and

men like Mr. Huskisson, Mr. Lamb (Lord Melbourne), and Lord Palmerston, had without reluctance or reserve recognised the leadership of Mr. Peel, then only in the perfection of his manhood, and were acting with him with deference and cordiality. The times were ripe for a calm, prudent, and statesmanlike settlement of two great questions: the admission of roman catholics into the house of commons, and some reconstruction of that assembly itself. Very moderate measures would have sufficed. The enfranchisement of half a dozen of the great manufacturing towns would have been hailed with general satisfaction. The Duke of Wellington was against all change. Sir Robert Peel was then under the influence of the Duke of Wellington. He believed that the Duke of Wellington was indicated as the man who would govern the country for the next quarter of a century. He joined the duke therefore in resistance to those who would have transferred the forfeited franchise of a corrupt Cornish borough to some great town of the north. The followers of Mr. Banning who would not agree in so short-sighted a policy were rudely expelled from the cabinet, and Sir Robert Peel remaining the leader of a parliamentary party destitute with his own exception of parliamentary renown, was forced in a short space of time hurriedly to concede to the violence of external agitation so unconditional a satisfaction of the claims

of the roman catholics that he broke up the tory party, and the reform of the house of commons was consequently carried and in the midst of a revolution.

After a great disaster it was observable of Sir Robert Peel that his mind seemed always to expand. His life was one of perpetual education. No one more clearly detected the mistakes which he had made or changed his course under such circumstances with more promptness; but it was the past and the present that alone engrossed his mind. After the catastrophe of '30, he broke away from the Duke of Wellington, and announced to his friends with decision that henceforth he would serve under no man. There are few things more remarkable in parliamentary history than the manner in which Sir Robert Peel headed an opposition for ten years without attempting to form the opinions of his friends or instilling into them a single guiding principle, but himself displaying all that time on every subject of debate wise counsels, administrative skill, and accomplished powers of discussion. He could give to his friends no guiding principle for he had none, and he kept sitting on those benches till somebody should give him one. He was so blind to the future, that when the whigs utterly prostrate yielded him the government of the country on a colonial defeat in '39, he did everything he could to avoid taking the

helm, when he might have come into office comparatively unpledged, and free at least, whatever course he had taken, from the painful and deserved reproaches that accompanied his last acts. But it so happened the finances of the country at that time were not flourishing; the great interests under such circumstances were beginning as usual to grumble; and Sir Robert Peel wanted to be brought in by the great interests. He succeeded in this object, and in the course of five years he was denouncing those great interests as monopolies, and destroying them.

The roman catholic association, the Birmingham union, the Manchester league, were all the legitimate offspring of Sir Robert Peel. No minister ever diminished the power of government in this country so much as this eminent man. No one ever strained the constitution so much. He was the unconscious parent of political agitation. He literally forced the people out of doors to become statesmen, and the whole tendency of his policy was to render our institutions mere forms. In a word, no one with all his conservative language more advanced revolution. In an ordinary period, he would have been a perfect minister, but he was not a minister for stormy times: he wanted depth, and passion, and resource for such an occasion.

After destroying the tory party in '46, he fell a

thinking again over the past and the present as he did after his fall in '30, and again arrived at a great conclusion. In '30 he said he would act no longer as a subordinate; in '46 he said he would act no longer as a partisan. In '30 he visited his position on the Duke of Wellington: in '46, on the political ties of '41; but if he had been a man of genius, he would have guided the Duke of Wellington, and in '41 would have given a creed to his party, always devoted to him, instead of borrowing their worn out ideas.

No one knew better than Sir Robert Peel that without party connection that parliamentary government which he so much admired would be intolerable; it would be at the same time the weakest and the most corrupt government in the world. In casting this slur upon party, Sir Robert Peel meant only to degrade the combinations of which he had experience and by which he had risen. Excluded from power which he ought to have wielded for a quarter of a century he sate on his solitary bench revolving the past. At sixty he began to comprehend his position. The star of Manchester seemed as it were to rise from the sunset of Oxford, and he felt he had sacrificed his natural career to an obsolete education and a political system for which he could not secure even an euthanasia.

Sir Robert Peel had a bad manner of which he

was sensible ; he was by nature very shy, but forced early in life into eminent positions, he had formed an artificial manner, haughtily stiff or exuberantly bland, of which generally speaking he could not divest himself. There were however occasions when he did succeed in this, and on these, usually when he was alone with an individual whom he wished to please, his manner was not only unaffectedly cordial but he could even charm. When he was ridiculed by his opponents in '41, as one little adapted for a court, and especially the court of a queen, those who knew him well augured different results from his high promotion and they were right. But generally speaking he was never at his ease and never very content except in the house of commons. Even there he was not natural, though there the deficiency was compensated for by his unrivalled facility which passed current with the vulgar eye for the precious quality for which it was substituted. He had obtained a complete control over his temper which was by nature somewhat fiery. His disposition was good ; there was nothing petty about him ; he was very free from rancour ; he was not only not vindictive, but partly by temperament and still more perhaps by discipline, he was even magnanimous.

For so very clever a man he was deficient in the

knowledge of human nature. The prosperous routine of his youth was not favourable to the development of this faculty. It was never his lot to struggle; although forty years in parliament it is remarkable that Sir Robert Peel never represented a popular constituency or stood a contested election. As he advanced in life he was always absorbed in thought, and abstraction is not friendly to a perception of character, or to a fine appreciation of the circumstances of the hour. After the general election of '34—5, a nobleman, who was his warm friend, and who had exerted himself very greatly to establish Peel in power, expressed his regret that the result of the appeal to the country had not been so favourable as they could have wished. In short, the tories on their own dissolution were in a minority. Sir Robert however did not share the apprehensions of his friend. "I have confidence in my measures," said Sir Robert, with an expression of satisfaction. Now to suppose that any measures, had they been arch-angelic, could have influenced the decision of a liberal parliament that had been rudely dissolved by a court intrigue, of which by-the-bye Sir Robert Peel was perfectly innocent, and which was panting for vengeance, displayed a confidence in the abstract justice of man which experience does not warrant. The minister of a

court which had outraged a parliament, and that minister in a minority, was not exactly the personage to carry measures. As might have been expected, the house of commons refused even to put his speaker in the chair, in order that accepting the intimation his measures might not even be brought forward.

After the reform of the house of commons, Sir Robert Peel was naturally anxious to discover who was to be the rival of his life, and it is noticeable that he was not successful in his observations. He never did justice to Lord John Russell until he found Lord John not only his rival, but his successful one, and then, according to his custom and his nature, he did the present minister of England full justice. No person could be more sensible of the grave import of the events in Canada which occurred on his accession to office in '34 than Sir Robert Peel. They were the commencement of great calamities and occasioned him proportionate anxiety. It was obvious that everything depended on the character of the individual sent out by the metropolis to encounter this emergency. The highest qualities of administration were demanded. After much pondering, Sir Robert selected the amiable and popular Lord Canterbury. It was entirely his own selection and it was perhaps the most unfit that could be made. But Sir Robert Peel associated

Lord Canterbury with the awful authority of twenty years of the speaker's chair. That authority had controlled him, and of course he thought it must subdue the Canadians. It was like a grown-up man in the troubles of life going back for advice to his schoolmaster. But perhaps his want of perception of character was never more remarkably illustrated than in the appointment of his secretaries of the treasury in the government of '34. The party had been managed in opposition by two gentlemen, each distinguished by different but admirable qualities. One was remarkable for the sweetness of his temper, his conciliatory manners, and an obliging habit which gains hearts oftener than the greatest services; he knew every member by name, talked to all sides, and had a quick eye which caught every corner of the house. His colleague was of a different cast; reserved and cold and a great parliamentary student; very capable of laborious affairs and with the right information always ready for a minister. Sir Robert appointed the man of the world financial secretary of the treasury, locked him up in a room or sealed him to a bench, and entrusted to the student, under the usual title of patronage secretary of the treasury, the management of the house of commons, a position which requires consummate knowledge of human nature, the most amiable flexibility, and complete self-control. The administration did not last

five months ; but enough occurred in the interval to induce the minister to change on the next occasion the positions of these two gentlemen, who then served him as efficiently as they had before done with fidelity and zeal.

As an orator Sir Robert Peel had perhaps the most available talent that has ever been brought to bear in the house of commons. We have mentioned that both in exposition and in reply he was equally eminent. His statements were perspicuous, complete, and dignified ; when he combated the objections or criticised the propositions of an opponent, he was adroit and acute ; no speaker ever sustained a process of argumentation in a public assembly more lucidly, and none as debaters have united in so conspicuous a degree prudence with promptness. In the higher efforts of oratory he was not successful. His vocabulary was ample and never mean ; but it was neither rich nor rare. His speeches will afford no sentiment of surpassing grandeur or beauty that will linger in the ears of coming generations. He embalmed no great political truth in immortal words. His flights were ponderous ; he soared with the wing of the vulture rather than the plume of the eagle ; and his perorations when most elaborate were most unwieldy. In pathos he was quite deficient ; when he attempted to touch the tender passions, it was painful. His face became distorted, like that of a

woman who wants to cry but cannot succeed. Orators certainly should not shed tears, but there are moments when as the Italians say the voice should weep. The taste of Sir Robert Peel was highly cultivated but it was not originally fine ; he had no wit ; but he had a keen sense of the ridiculous and an abundant vein of genuine humour. Notwithstanding his artificial reserve, he had a hearty and a merry laugh ; and sometimes his mirth was uncontrollable. He was gifted with an admirable organ ; perhaps the finest that has been heard in the house in our days, unless we except the thrilling tones of O'Connell. Sir Robert Peel also modulated his voice with great skill. His enunciation was very clear, though somewhat marred by provincialisms. His great deficiency was want of nature, which made him often appear even with a good cause more plausible than persuasive and more specious than convincing. He may be said to have gradually introduced a new style into the house of commons, which was suited to the age in which he chiefly flourished and to the novel elements of the assembly which he had to guide. He had to deal with greater details than his predecessors and he had in many instances to address those who were deficient in previous knowledge. Something of the lecture therefore entered into his displays. This style may be called the didactic.

After his fall, in the autumn of '46, when on a visit to one who had opposed his policy but who was his friend, sauntering with his host and sitting on a stile, Sir Robert Peel spoke very fully of the events that had just occurred. He said then, and was then in the habit of saying, though it was quite a self-illusion, that nothing should ever induce him to accept power again. And he gave among many interesting reasons for arriving at this conclusion, not only the untimely end of so many of his predecessors, significant of the fatal trust, but a consciousness on his own part that his debating powers were declining. But this would seem to have been a false judgment. Sir Robert Peel encountered in '46 an opposition which he had not anticipated and partly carried on in a vein in which he did not excel. To be bearded, sometimes worsted, in that scene where he had long reigned paramount, at the moment galled and mortified him and he accounted for the success of his opponents by the decay of his own powers. But Sir Robert Peel made some of his most considerable efforts in the great struggle of '46 ; and it may be a question whether his very best speeches were not those which he made during the last three years of his life. They were more natural than his speeches either as minister or as leader of opposition. There was more earnestness and more heat about them and much less of the affectation of plausibility.

It is often mentioned by those political writers who on such a subject communicate to their readers their theories and not their observations of facts, that there was little sympathy between Sir Robert Peel and the great aristocratic party of which he was the leader; that on the one side there was a reluctant deference, and on the other a guidance without sentiment. But this was quite a mistake. An aristocracy hesitates before it yields its confidence, but it never does so grudgingly. In political connections under such circumstances the social feeling mingles and the principle of honour which governs gentlemen. Such a following is usually cordial and faithful. An aristocracy is rather apt to exaggerate the qualities and magnify the importance of a plebeian leader. They are prompted to do this both by a natural feeling of self-love and by a sentiment of generosity. Far from any coldness subsisting between Sir Robert Peel and the great houses which had supported him through his long career, there never was a minister who was treated with such nice homage, it may be said with such affectionate devotion. The proudest in the land were prouder to be his friends, and he returned the feeling to its full extent and in all its sincerity.

Sir Robert Peel was a very good-looking man. He was tall and, though of latter years he had become portly, had to the last a comely presence. Thirty

years ago, when he was young and lithe with curling brown hair, he had a very radiant expression of countenance. His brow was very distinguished, not so much for its intellectual development although that was of a high order, as for its remarkably frank expression, so different from his character in life. The expression of the brow might even be said to amount to beauty. The rest of the features did not however sustain this impression. The eye was not good; it was sly, and he had an awkward habit of looking askance. He had the fatal defect also of a long upper lip, and his mouth was compressed.

One cannot say of Sir Robert Peel, notwithstanding his unrivalled powers of despatching affairs, that he was the greatest minister that this country ever produced, because, twice placed at the helm, and on the second occasion with the court and the parliament equally devoted to him, he never could maintain himself in power. Nor, notwithstanding his consummate parliamentary tactics, can he be described as the greatest party leader that ever flourished among us, for he contrived to destroy the most compact, powerful, and devoted party that ever followed a British statesman. Certainly, notwithstanding his great sway in debate, we cannot recognize him as our greatest orator, for in many of the supreme requisites of oratory he was singularly deficient. But

what he really was, and what posterity will acknowledge him to have been, is the greatest member of parliament that ever lived.

Peace to his ashes ! His name will be often appealed to in that scene which he loved so well and never without homage even by his opponents.

CHAPTER XVIII.

THE retribution which attended the colonial interest for their vote against agricultural protection was swifter than the most prophetic vengeance could have dreamed. Within a month of his accession to power, at the end of an exhausting session, the new minister announced a sweeping measure which was at once to admit sugar the produce of slave labour to the British market. The measure was in accordance with the views which the whigs in opposition had always maintained, but it was not thought probable that they would have involved the house and the government in the permanent settlement of so complicated a subject at so late a period in the year, especially when political vicissitudes had broken up the house and little disposed it for the prudent consideration of an important question. Lord John however remembered that sugar was an article of

colonial produce which had been embarrassing if not fatal to many governments. Strange that a manufacture which charms infancy and soothes old age should so frequently occasion political disaster. The minister therefore was resolved to rid himself of this perpetual difficulty by precipitating a settlement while the elements of opposition on this question, though powerful, were distracted. Until the year 1846, the duties on sugar had been voted annually. It was the constitutional practice to leave a large amount of revenue dependent on an annual vote of the house of commons in order that the allegation, and if proved, the redress of grievances might be secured. The minister, and very wisely, did not think it expedient that the duties on sugar should be made a subject of annual debate. He proposed therefore a permanent settlement of these duties ; and in order not to depart from a constitutional practice, promised to substitute in their stead at the same time another branch of revenue which it would be less objectionable to take as an annual vote. One advantage of settling great questions in a hurry and at the end of a session, is that a minister often obtains his main object without fulfilling the conditions on which it was to have been dependent. Thus, in the present case, the sugar duties ceased to be an annual vote and the immediate influence of the house of commons on that large branch of revenue was abolished,

but the substitute which was to have secured the same amount of constitutional control was unfortunately forgotten to be appropriated.

The new minister dilated on the inconsistency of our receiving slave-grown cotton, and slave-grown coffee, and slave-grown tobacco, and rejecting slave-grown sugar; he showed that the British merchant would not be balked of his profit by our legislation and that he purchased slave-grown sugar notwithstanding all our restrictions, but had to dispose of it in an indirect manner instead of in our own market; that we therefore did not by our laws prevent the employers of slave labour in Cuba and Brazil from selling their sugar in Europe and obtaining a profitable return, but that this was done with inconvenience and loss to English commerce and above all with a very great loss to the English consumer. The result of our legislation was that Cuba and Brazil carried on a thriving trade, supplying the world by our means with cheap sugar while we ourselves paid a very high price for the article. The minister showed also that under the stipulations of treaties we were bound to admit the slave-grown produce of countries which were on the footing of the most favoured action.

The case of the whigs thus stated was unanswerable, but it was a condemnation of all that this country has done for the abolition of slavery, a cause

too which the whigs had especially taken up in their generation for party purposes and which they had most vehemently stimulated. Before the abolition of slavery the British colonies could successfully compete with any country in the world in the production of sugar. Having deprived our colonies of those successful means of general competition, it would seem that the metropolis was at least bound to secure them a home market. If the consequence of such a monopoly were a dear article, the increased price must be considered as an amercement for the luxury of a philanthropy not sufficiently informed of the complicated circumstances with which it had to deal.

The movement of the middle classes for the abolition of slavery was virtuous, but it was not wise. It was an ignorant movement. It showed a want of knowledge both of the laws of commerce and the stipulations of treaties; and it has alike ruined the colonies and aggravated the slave trade. But an enlightened aristocracy who placed themselves at the head of a movement which they did not originate, should have instructed, not sanctioned, the virtuous errors of a well-meaning but narrow-minded community. If, instead of quoting in the house of commons, in 1846, "the correspondence of an ancestor of mine, John Duke of Bedford, who was employed in negotiating the treaty of 1762," Lord John Russell and the

whigs had warned the people of the West Riding, a quarter of century before, that the policy of a nation must be directed with reference to public engagements, such as the treaty of Utrecht for example, of which the abolitionists probably had never heard, they would have fulfilled one of the offices of their position. The first duty of an aristocracy is to lead, to guide, and to enlighten ; to soften vulgar prejudices and to dare to encounter popular passion. The plea of the free-traders for the admission of slave-grown sugar in 1846 on the ground of inconsistency in excluding it since we admitted other products of slave-grown labour, can be characterised only by an epithet too harsh for polite composition when we recollect that when the whole community shrunk from the abomination of consuming the slave-grown sugar of our own colonies, they had then for years, nay, in some instances almost for centuries, been in the habit of drinking slave-grown coffee, smoking slave-grown tobacco, and spinning slave-grown cotton. They therefore took their resolution with a full knowledge of these inconsistent accessories. The history of the abolition of slavery by the English and its consequences, would be a narrative of ignorance, injustice, blundering, waste, and havoc, not easily paralleled in the history of mankind.

Lord George Bentinck met the motion of the government with an amendment couched in very

temperate and guarded language. It did not express so much as he himself felt, but he wished to unfurl a flag which might rally many round it. He adopted therefore the language of the famous amendment moved by Lord Sandon when member for Liverpool to the whig proposition of '41, and which if the memory of the present writer does not deceive him, was drawn up by the late prime minister himself. With Sir Robert Peel sitting opposite Lord George Bentinck, and Lord Sandon sitting beneath him, this was rather a dainty device of the protectionist leader.

The amendment declared that in the present state of the sugar cultivation in the British East and West Indian possessions, the proposed reduction of duty upon foreign slave grown sugar was alike unjust and impolitic, as tending to check the advance of production by British free labour, and to give a great additional stimulus to the slave trade.

Lord George spoke well to his resolution, and in an ample but well digested address fully considered the three points of the case; the probable supply of sugar under the existing laws, the probable amount of revenue under the projected change, and finally the influence of that change, if agreed to, on the interests of the African race. Sir Robert Inglis, peculiarly entitled on such a subject to offer his opinion to the house, said "that the speech of

Lord George Bentinck was a speech so comprehensive in details and so abounding in facts—every fact too an argument in itself—that he, for his part, could have been content to have rested the decision of the question on it.”

That consequence of being obliged to take office without a parliamentary majority, which the late Lord Bessborough fervently deplored and endeavoured to prevent, now occurred. Although the government had been in office only a month and no party, however anxious they might be to assert their opinions, wished to dislodge them, nothing but violent sacrifices of parliamentary consistency and even of personal conviction on the part of those who had no political connection with them, could maintain them in their places. It was clear from the speech of Sir Robert Inglis, cheered by Sir Thomas Acland, that the pure abolitionists were not going to compromise their principles for the convenience of any government past or present. When Lord Sandon rose there was a dead silence. The noble lord delivered a funeral oration over the cause of abolition. “He confessed that in his opinion every government which had been in power since the passing of the emancipation act had failed in dealing with this subject.” He had made inquiries of competent persons, who had informed him that this measure was not likely to promote or encourage the

slave trade. He thought under these circumstances that the time was come when this fallacy, which had produced a great degree of irritation in Brazil, Spain, and other countries, and which threw great obstructions in the way of British commerce should be dispelled. Shortly after this Sir Robert Peel rose, and after declaring that had he remained in office it had been his intention to have persisted in his resistance to the admission of slave grown sugar, and offering in a very lucid manner every argument that could be urged against the resolutions of the government, concluded by saying that it was his intention to support ministers. And on this ground, that if the ministers resigned office, which they ought to do if defeated, he was not prepared to take the reins, and he wished to prevent Lord George Bentinck from taking them, he being at the head of a party which conscientiously sought the reversal of the new commercial system.

This was the reward of the sugar members for deserting their party and voting against their constituents and their convictions a month ago. It was sad to watch their countenances; they knew what was at hand from the carrying of this bill, of which before two years had passed several of them were among the noblest victims. As for Lord John Russell, if he had only pulled his hat a little more over his eyes than usual, and in the magic

f abstraction called up the tumultuous scene of 1801, when the Sandon amendment sounded the knell of his government and virtually made Sir Robert Peel prime minister of England, he must have moralised over the strange vicissitudes of political life.

In the course of this debate a follower of Lord George Bentinck, lamenting the destruction of our colonial system and expressing his belief that we should ere long have to reconstruct it, observed that it was a characteristic of our history that this country generally retraced its steps. He attributed the prosperity of England in a great measure to this cause. We did not commit less blunders than other countries but we were a people more sensible of our errors. The history of England, he said, is a history of reaction. We destroyed, for example, our church establishment and we replaced it. We destroyed our ancient monarchy and we restored it. We destroyed the house of lords and yet we were now obliged to take up our bills to them for their sanction. We even abolished the house of commons and yet here we were at the end of the session debating a great question. This gentleman pursued his illustrations which nevertheless were not exhausted by him.

These observations amicably nettled the new prime minister, who, being an advocate of slave-grown sugar, naturally looked upon himself, at any

rate for the nonce, as the representative of progress. He therefore replied to them and vindicated his cause. Lord John Russell said the illustrations which had been adduced had been chiefly drawn from times of violence, though what bearing that had on the argument was not very apparent. Great changes naturally will often take place in times of violence, and the political characters of 1630-40 on both sides, in ability, education, energy, and social weight have never been surpassed by any body of public men who have influenced opinion and events. The county gentlemen certainly never stood so high as at that period. But then, said Lord John Russell, in happier and more modern times have we retraced our steps? Has not the triumph of reason, of liberty, and of truth, been decided and continuous? The habeas corpus act, the bill of rights, the act of toleration? Have they been repealed? In these instances have we retraced our steps? His lordship even adduced the act for the abolition of the slave trade, which, considering the subject under discussion and the consequences which it involved, seemed, notwithstanding Lord Sandon, somewhat bold. Lord John Russell may now recollect, that before two years had passed he was himself retracing his steps on this very sugar bill of '46, and mitigating its stern provisions. But this is a little matter.

What does Lord John Russell say to the county courts which he has helped to establish? To that measure which has shaken to its centre, nay, has almost swept from the face of the land, that mighty fabric of centralized jurisprudence which was the most enduring element and perhaps proudest achievement of the Norman conquest. Is that progress? Or is it reaction?

The truth is progress and reaction are but words to mystify the millions. They mean nothing, they are nothing, they are phrases and not facts. In the structure, the decay, and the development, of the various families of man, the vicissitudes of history find their main solution. All is race. The Norman element in our population wanes; the influence of the Saxon population is felt everywhere, and everywhere their characteristics appear. Hence the honour to industry, the love of toil, the love of money, the love of peace, the passion for religious missions, the hatred of the Pope, the aversion to capital punishments, the desire to compensate for injuries, even the loss of life, by a pecuniary mulct, the aversion to central justice, finally the disbelief of our ever being invaded by the French. The state of public opinion in this country at present more resembles that of England under Edward the Confessor than under Queen Anne.

CHAPTER XIX.

IF we take a general view of the career of Lord George Bentinck during the last seven months—from the time indeed when he was trying to find a lawyer to convey his convictions to the house of commons until the moment when her majesty prorogued her parliament, the results will be found to be very remarkable. So much was never done so unexpectedly by any public man in the same space of time. He had rallied a great party which seemed hopelessly routed; he had established a parliamentary discipline in their ranks which old political connections led by experienced statesmen have seldom surpassed; he had brought forward from those ranks entirely through his discrimination and by his personal encouragement considerable talents in debate; he had himself proved a master in detail and in argument of all the great questions arising out of the reconstruc-

tion of our commercial system ; he had made a vindication of the results of the protective principle as applied to agriculture which certainly so far as the materials are concerned, is the most efficient plea that ever was urged in the house of commons in favour of the abrogated law ; he had exhibited similar instances of investigation in considerable statements with respect to the silk trade and other branches of our industry ; he had asserted the claims of the productive classes in Ireland and in our timber and sugar producing colonies with the effect which results from a thorough acquaintance with a subject ; he had promulgated distinct principles with regard to our financial as well as to our commercial system ; he had maintained the expediency of relieving the consumer by the repeal of excise in preference to customs' duties, and of establishing fiscal reciprocity as a condition of mercantile exchange. On subjects of more occasional but analogous nature he had shown promptitude and knowledge, as in the instances of the urgent condition of Mexico and of our carrying trade with the Spanish colonies, both of which he brought forward in the last hours of the session, but the importance of which motions was recognised by all parties. Finally, he had attracted the notice and in many instances obtained the confidence of large bodies of men in the country, who recognised in him a great capacity of labour com-

bined with firmness of character and honesty of purpose.

At the close of the session (August 28), Lord George visited Norfolk, where he received an entertainment from his constituents at King's Lynn, proud of their member, and to whom he vindicated the course which he had taken, and offered his views generally as to the relations which should subsist between the legislation of the country and its industry. From Norfolk he repaired to Belvoir castle, on a visit to the Duke of Rutland, and was present at a banquet given by the agriculturists of Leicestershire to his friend and supporter the Marquess of Granby. After this he returned to Welbeck, where he seems to have enjoyed a little repose. Thus he writes to a friend from that place on the 22nd September :

"Thanks for your advice which I am following, having got Lord Malmesbury's Diary ; but I am relapsing into my natural dawdling, lazy, and somnolent habits, and can with difficulty get through the leaders even of the 'Times.'

* * * * "The vehemence of the farmers is personal against Peel ; it is quite clear that the rising price of wheat has cured their alarm. The railway expenditure must keep up prices and prosperity, both of which would have been far greater without free trade, but in face of high prices, railway prosperity,

and potato famine, depend upon it we shall have an uphill game to fight.

“ O’Connell talks of parliament meeting in November, to mend the Irish labour rate act. Do you believe this ?”

The labour rate act passed at the end of the session, ('46), was one by which the lord lieutenant was enabled to require special barony sessions to meet in order to make presentments for public works for the employment of the people, the whole of the money requisite for their construction to be supplied by the imperial treasury, though to be afterwards repaid. The machinery of this act did not work satisfactorily, but the government ultimately made the necessary alterations on their own responsibility, and obtained an indemnity from parliament when it met in '47. The early session therefore talked of by Mr. O’Connell became unnecessary. As the only object of this labour rate act was to employ the people, and as it was supposed there were no public works of a reproductive nature which could be undertaken on a sufficient scale to ensure that employment, the Irish people were occupied towards the end of the autumn of '46, mainly in making roads which, as afterwards described by the first minister, “ were not wanted.” In the month of September more than thirty thousand persons were thus employed ; but when the harvest was over and

it was ascertained that its terrible deficiency had converted pauperism into famine, the numbers on the public works became greatly increased, so that at the end of November the amount of persons engaged was four hundred thousand, receiving wages at the rate of nearly five millions sterling per annum. These immense amounts went on increasing every week, and when parliament met in February 1847, five hundred thousand persons were employed on these public works, which could bring no possible public advantage, at an expense to the country of between £7 and 800,000 per month. No board of works could efficiently superintend such a multitude or prevent flagrant imposition though the dimensions of that department appeared almost proportionably to have expanded. What with commissioners, chief clerks, check clerks, and pay clerks, the establishment of the board of works in Ireland, at the end of '46, consisted of more than eleven thousand persons.

Always intent upon Ireland, this condition of affairs early and earnestly attracted the attention of Lord George Bentinck. So vast an expenditure in unproductive labour dismayed him. He would not easily assent to the conclusion that profitable enterprise under the circumstances was impossible. Such a conclusion seemed to him unnatural, and that an occasion where we commenced with despair justified a bold and venturesome course. The field is legiti-

ately open to speculation where all agree that all hopeless. The construction of harbours, the development of fisheries, the redemption of waste lands, are resources which had been often canvassed, and whatever their recommendations with the exception of the last they were necessarily very limited, and the most though it might afford prompt could hardly secure profitable employment. Prompt and profitable employment was the object which Lord George wished to accomplish. Where millions were to be expended by the state something more advantageous to the community should accrue than the temporary subsistence of the multitude.

Lord George had always been a great supporter of railway enterprise in England on the ground that, irrespective of all the peculiar advantages of those undertakings, the money was spent in the country ; and that if our surplus capital were not directed to such channels it would go as it had gone before to foreign mines and foreign loans, from which in a great degree no return would arrive. When millions were avowedly to be laid out in useless and unprofitable undertakings, it became a question whether it were not wiser even somewhat to anticipate the time when the necessities of Ireland would require railways on a considerable scale ; and whether by embarking in such enterprises, we might not only find prompt and profitable employment for the

people, but by giving a new character to the country and increasing its social relations and the combinations of its industry might not greatly advance the period when such modes of communication would be absolutely requisite.

Full of these views, Lord George in the course of the autumn consulted in confidence some gentlemen very competent to assist him in such an inquiry, and especially Mr. Robert Stephenson, Mr. Hudson, and Mr. Laing. With their advice and at their suggestion two engineers of great ability, Mr. Bidder and Mr. Smith, were despatched to Ireland, personally to investigate the whole question of railroads in that country.

Meditating over the condition of Ireland, a subject very frequently in his thoughts, and of the means to combat its vast and inveterate pauperism, Lord George was frequently in the habit of reverting to the years '41—'42 in England, when there were fifteen hundred thousand persons on the parish rates; eighty three thousand able-bodied men actually confined within the walls of the workhouse, and more than four hundred thousand able-bodied men receiving out-door relief. What changed all this and restored England in a very brief space to a condition of affluence hardly before known in her annals? Not certainly the alterations in the tariff which were made by Sir Robert Peel at the com-

ancement of his government, prudent and salutary they were. No one would pretend that the abolition of the slight duty (five-sixteenths of a penny) on the raw material of the cotton manufacturer, or the free introduction of some twenty-seven thousand head of foreign cattle, or even the admission of foreign timber at reduced duties, could have effected this. Unquestionably it was the railway enterprise which then began to prevail that was the cause of this national renovation. Suddenly, and for several years, an additional sum of thirteen millions of pounds sterling a year was spent in the wages of our native industry; two hundred thousand able-bodied labourers received each upon an average twenty-two shillings a week, stimulating the revenue both in excise and customs by their enormous consumption of malt and spirits, tobacco and tea. This was the main cause of the contrast between the England of '41 and the England of '45.

Was there any reason why a proportionate application of the same remedy to Ireland should not proportionately produce a similar result? Was there anything wild or unauthorised in the suggestion? On the contrary: ten years before (1836), the subject had engaged the attention of her majesty's government, and a royal commission had been issued to inquire into the expediency of establishing railway communication in Ireland. The commissioners,

men of great eminence, recommended that a system of railways should be established in Ireland, and by the pecuniary assistance of government. They rested their recommendation mainly on the abundant evidence existing of the vast benefits which easy communication had accomplished in Ireland, and of the complete success which had attended every parliamentary grant for improving roads in that country.

The weakness of the government, arising from the balanced state of parties, rendered it impossible at that time for them to prosecute the measures recommended by the royal commissioners, though they made an ineffectual attempt in that direction. Could it be suspected that the recommendation of the commissioners had been biassed by any political consideration? Was it a whig commission attempting to fulfil a whig object? Another commission, more memorable, at the head of which was the Earl of Devon, was appointed by a tory government some years afterwards virtually to consider the condition of the people of Ireland, and the best means for their amelioration. The report of the Devon commission confirmed all the recommendations of the railway commissioners of '36, and pointed to these new methods of communication by the assistance of loans from the government as the best means of providing employment for the people.

When Mr. Smith of Deanston was examined by

a parliamentary committee and asked what measure of all others would be the one most calculated to improve the agriculture and condition of Ireland, he did not reply, as some might have anticipated, that the most efficient measure would be to drain the bogs; but his answer was, "advance the construction of railways, and then agricultural improvement will speedily follow."

To illustrate the value of railways to an agricultural population, Mr. Smith of Deanston, said, "that the improvement of the land for one mile only on each side of the railway so constructed would be so great, that it would pay the cost of the whole construction." He added, that there were few districts in Ireland in which railway communication could be introduced, where the value of the country through which the railway passed would not be raised to an extent equal to the whole cost of the railway.

Arguing on an area of six hundred and forty acres for every square mile, after deducting the land occupied by fences, roads and buildings, Mr. Smith of Deanston entered into a calculation of the gain derivable from the mere carriage of the produce of the land, and the back carriage of manure, coals, tiles, bricks and other materials, and estimated the saving through those means on every square mile to more than £300, or something above £600 on 1280 acres abutting

each mile of railway, this being the difference of the cost of carriage under the old mode of conveyance as compared with the new. Following up this calculation, he showed that fifteen hundred miles of railway would improve the land through which it passed to the extent of nearly two million acres at the rate of a mile on each side ; and taken at twenty five years' purchase would equal twenty four millions sterling in the permanent improvement of the land.

The ground therefore was sound on which Lord George cautiously and after due reflection ventured to place his foot.

And now, after the reports of these two royal commissions, what was the state of railway enterprise in Ireland in the autumn of '46, when a vast multitude could only subsist by being employed by the government, and when the government had avowedly no re-productive or even useful work whereon to place them ; but allotted them to operations which were described by Colonel Douglas, the inspector of the government himself, " as works which would answer no other purpose than that of obstructing the public conveyances ?"

In '46, acts of parliament were in existence authorizing the construction of more than fifteen hundred miles of railway in Ireland, and some of these acts had passed so long as eleven years pre-

viously, yet at the end of '46 only one hundred and twenty-three miles of railway had been completed, and only one hundred and sixty-four were in the course of completion, though arrested in their progress from want of funds. Almost in the same period, two thousand six hundred miles of railway had been completed in England, and acts of parliament had passed for constructing five thousand four hundred miles in addition: in the whole eight thousand miles.

What then was the reason of this debility in Ireland in prosecuting these undertakings? Were they really not required; were the elements of success wanting? The first element of success in railway enterprise according to the highest authorities is population; property is only the second consideration. Now, Ireland in '46 was more densely inhabited than England. A want of population could not therefore be the cause. But a population so impoverished as the Irish could not perhaps avail themselves of the means of locomotion; and yet it appeared from research that the rate of passengers on the two Irish railways that were open greatly exceeded in number that of the passengers upon English and Scotch railways. The average number of passengers on English and Scotch railways was not twelve thousand per mile per annum, while on the Ulster railway the number was nearly twenty-two

thousand, and on the Dublin and Drogheda line the number exceeded eighteen thousand.

The cause of the weakness in Ireland to prosecute these undertakings was the total want of domestic capital for the purpose and the unwillingness of English capitalists to embark their funds in a country whose social and political condition they viewed with distrust, however promising and even profitable the investment might otherwise appear. This was remarkably illustrated by the instance of the great southern and western railway of Ireland, one of the undertakings of which the completion was arrested by want of funds, yet partially open. Compared with a well known railway in Great Britain, the Irish railway had cost in its construction £15,000 per mile, and the British upwards of £26,000 per mile; the weekly traffic on the two railways, allowing for some difference in their extent, was about the same on both, in amount varying from £1000 to £1300 per week; yet the unfinished British railway was at £40 premium in the market, and the incomplete Irish railway at £2 discount. It was clear therefore that the commercial principle, omnipotent in England, was not competent to cope with the peculiar circumstances of Ireland.

Brooding over the suggestions afforded by the details which we have slightly indicated, Lord George Bentinck, taking into consideration not merely

the advantage that would accrue to the country from the establishment of a system of railroads but also remembering the peculiar circumstances of the times, the absolute necessity of employing the people, and the inevitable advance of public money for that purpose, framed a scheme with reference to all these considerations and which he believed would meet all the conditions of the case. He spared no thought, time, or labour, for his purpose. He availed himself of the advice of the most experienced and prosecuted his researches ardently and thoroughly. When he had matured his scheme, he had it thrown into the form of a parliamentary bill by the ablest hands, and then submitted the whole to the judgment and criticism of those who shared his confidence and counsels. Towards the end of November he was at Knosley, from whence he communicated with the writer of these pages. "I am here hatching secret plans for the next session; and now if you have not quite abjured politics, as you threatened for the next three months to do, devoting yourself to poetry and romance, I think I ought to have a quiet day with you in order that we may hold council together and talk over all our policy. I shall be at Harcourt House on the 30th. I shall stay there till the 3rd of December, for a meeting on that day of the Norfolk estuary company of which I am chairman. Would that evening suit you? or Friday? or Wed-

nesday? I am not well acquainted with the geography of Buckinghamshire but presume you are accessible either by rail or road in less than twelve hours.

"The activity in the dockyard must be in preparation to interfere in Portugal to keep king Leopold upon the Portuguese throne: it cannot be for Mexico, for our friend the 'Times' formally abandoned Mexico in his leader some days ago.

"* * * * has been entertaining Lord * * * * in Ireland, and writes: 'How Peel must chuckle at the whig difficulties.' I dare say he does, but in Ireland it seems to me Lord Bessborough is putting the late Irish government to shame, whilst the rupture of the *entente cordiale*, the conquest of California and New Mexico, and the complications in the river Plata, are complete inheritances from Lord Aberdeen.

"Eaton has come to life again: else there was a prospect of George Manners quietly succeeding him in Cambridgeshire. I fear we shall do no good in Lincolnshire, notwithstanding the industry of our dear friend the 'Morning Post' in getting hold of Lord Ebrington and Lord Rich's letters to Lord Yarborough. I suppose there is no mistake in Lord Dalhousie ('the large trout') going out to Bombay with the reversion of Bengal.

"The Duchy of Lancaster is to be put in commission, Lord * * * * to be one of the commis-

sioners, *but unpaid*. He has begun, I presume, to overcome the false delicacy which prevented his acceptance of office under the whigs in July. S * * thought G * * * was to be another of the board, but that turns out a mistake, but Lord H * * * is to be.

“The manufacturers are working short time, and reducing wages in all directions, John Bright and sons at Rochdale among the rest. The Zollverein increasing their import duties on cotton and linen yarn, and putting export duties of 25 per cent (some of the states at least) on grain.”

We must not omit to record, that in the autumn of this year at Goodwood races, the sporting world was astounded by hearing that Lord George Bentinck had parted with his racing stud at an almost nominal price. Lord George was present, as was his custom, at this meeting held in the demesne of one who was among his dearest friends. Lord George was not only present but apparently absorbed in the sport, and his horses were very successful. The world has hardly done justice to the great sacrifice which he made on this occasion to a high sense of duty. He not only parted with the finest racing stud in England, but he parted with it at a moment when its prospects were never so brilliant; and he knew this well. We may have hereafter to notice on this head an interesting passage in his life.

He could scarcely have quitted the turf that day without a pang. He had become the lord paramount of that strange world, so difficult to sway, and which requires for its government both a stern resolve and a courtly breeding. He had them both ; and though the blackleg might quail before the awful scrutiny of his piercing eye, there never was a man so scrupulously polite to his inferiors as Lord George Bentinck. The turf too was not merely the scene of the triumphs of his stud and his betting-book. He had purified its practice and had elevated its character, and he was prouder of this achievement than of any other connected with his sporting life. Notwithstanding his mighty stakes and the keenness with which he backed his opinion, no one perhaps ever cared less for money. His habits were severely simple and he was the most generous of men. He valued the acquisition of money on the turf, because there it was the test of success. He counted his thousands after a great race as a victorious general counts his cannon and his prisoners.

CHAPTER XX.

THE new year ('47) opened under circumstances of gloom. Two bad harvests had precipitated and aggravated the consequences of the reckless commercial speculations which had prevailed since '45. The state of Ireland however was not one merely of deficient harvest, it was one of absolute dearth. Three fourths of the potato crop the food of the millions had failed while no less than one-third of the oat crop which they exported was deficient. The estimated loss of produce on these two crops, for the year '46, was £16,000,000 ; that is to say, calculated by weight and measure, eight millions and a half tons of potatoes, and more than five million two hundred thousand quarters of oats. There is no population however prosperous their ordinary circumstances that would not have felt such a visitation acutely. But the ordinary circumstances

of the Irish population were not prosperous. It appears by the report of the commissioners of poor law inquiry made in '35, that there were then between eleven and twelve hundred thousand agricultural labourers in Ireland whose average earnings did not exceed from two shillings to half-a-crown a week ; that one half of that number were destitute of work during thirty weeks in the year ; and that these with their families made a total of nearly two millions and a half of human beings out of work and in distress thirty weeks in the year. One of the witnesses before that commission said, "that the county of Mayo alone could furnish beggars for all England."

It was said by the royal commission over which Lord Devon presided, that these people were the worst housed, the worst fed, and the worst clothed of any in Europe. They live in mud cabins littered upon straw ; their food consists of dry potatoes of which they are often obliged to stint themselves to one spare meal ; sometimes a herring or a little milk may afford them a pleasing variety, but sometimes also they are driven to sea-weed and to wild herbs. Dwelling in hovels and feeding upon roots they are clothed in rags.

Those were the ordinary circumstances of Ireland, and to such a state of affairs famine was now added with all its attendant horrors, pestilence and death.

In the southern and western parts of the country the population was decimated: ten thousand persons at the meeting of parliament had died in the union of Skibbereen, which numbered one hundred thousand souls. Scenes were enacted worthy of the page of Josephus or Thucydides. It was truly and tersely said by Lord John Russell, that it was a famine of the thirteenth century with a population of the nineteenth.

That under such circumstances, and especially in such a country, crime should have increased is not remarkable; but it is strange, and it is interesting, that the character of that crime should have altered. The increase in offences was entirely in offences against property. Burglaries abounded, and highway robbery was almost for the first time introduced. Agrarian outrage greatly diminished: the influence of the secret societies died away; the spirit of combination ceased, and although the offences were numerous there was no difficulty in obtaining convictions or in enforcing the law. All of which shows that the difficulty of vindicating the law in cases where the tenure or occupation of land is concerned does not arise from any inherent repugnance to order and justice in the hearts of the Irish multitude.

The social machinery which was to cope with these dreadful perplexities was very feeble. Most of

the great proprietors were absentees ; the number of the smaller gentry resident was in no part considerable ; the clergy of the various creeds had long been prevented from co-operation by sectarian animosities ; generally speaking the capitalist farmer and the substantial tradesman were two characters unknown ; indeed one striking feature of Ireland was the total absence of the machinery of retail trade ; the farmer paid his servants with the roots which were produced by their labour, and receiving no money wages they required no village shop to supply their wants. There were very few towns, in fact, of trade sufficient to create a responsible class of shopkeepers. It might almost be said that in Ireland parochial authority did not exist. Over this land patrolled an armed constabulary whose iron discipline rather avenged outrage than secured order.

The famine which was apprehended by Sir Robert Peel in '45—6, was averted by a variety of circumstances, but one of the principal causes of its not happening was the abundant crop of potatoes in '44—5, so that the quantity of food in Ireland when parliament met in '46, was much more considerable than the ministry supposed or led parliament to believe, and in the first five months of that year can scarcely be said to have been deficient. The government of Sir Robert Peel took at once two precautionary measures against the apprehended scarcity.

They purchased Indian corn considerably but secretly in foreign markets which they supplied to the people from government depôts, and they immediately carried a measure authorizing advances of money from the treasury to baronies presenting for public works, so that the destitute might be employed during the spring and summer months. That act proceeded upon what was called the half grant system, a repayment of only half the amount expended being required from the proprietors. When the government of Lord John Russell succeeded to office it was their opinion that the Irish proprietors had availed themselves of the provisions of this act to an extent far beyond what the necessity of the country required. The Irish proprietors had then made disbursements exceeding in amount one million sterling, and although a sum less than three hundred thousand pounds had only been expended in wages by the 1st of September, '46, that sum had already deranged the labour market of Ireland, affected the supply of labour to England and Scotland, and even the harvest in Ireland stood uncut for want of labourers. It was on the petition of the Irish farmers themselves that the works under this act were stopped in order that they might get labourers to reap their corn.

But although the government of Lord John Russell had stopped this public employment of the

people at the beginning of the autumn, they had nevertheless, in case of emergency, providently passed a new labour rate act of their own before parliament was prorogued, which was avowedly constructed with the object of checking that extravagance which had already alarmed them. To secure this result it was resolved to terminate the half-grant system as the great inducement to over-presentment, and to exact repayment of the whole of the sums advanced, charging that repayment on the poor rates of the country. Such was the origin of that famous labour rate act to which we have already referred and which produced such enormous results!

This law which was to prevent fraud and to check extravagance was destined immediately to encounter that famine which had been so long hovering over the Irish people. A crisis arrived that defied all prudential calculations and all economical checks. Notwithstanding their absolute liability for the whole advances from the treasury, out of the three hundred and sixty baronies into which Ireland is divided nearly three hundred when parliament met had held presentment sessions and sanctioned the expenditure of several millions. The number of persons employed under the labour rate act, principally in useless and entirely in unproductive works, which in the month of September had amounted to thirty thousand, reached when parliament met, the awful

um of half a million, representing it was said as far as the means of subsistence were concerned two millions and a half of her majesty's subjects. A nation reeking stones upon the road! Equal to the population of Holland, a community enjoying ancient renown and present respect and prosperity; all those sources of moral satisfaction and material comfort which render a people proud and content. The payment of wages in this enormous enterprise will always form one of the most singular documents in the archives of the Exchequer. It was when parliament met about £200,000 per week distributed by five hundred pay clerks; and subsequently was very much increased. These clerks however formed only a small portion of the staff of officers which superintended the operations. There were seventy-four chief inspectors, and thirty-six principal engineers, three hundred and eighty-five assistant surveyors and engineers, nearly three thousand check clerks, and nearly seven thousand overseers.

The government trembled before this appalling reaction of an act of parliament, while their confidential agents advised them that, while the system had become so extensive, the destitution, certainly the demand, had proportionably increased. This was no doubt in some degree occasioned by the frauds inseparable from so vast and peculiar an undertaking. The great difficulty in its conduct was of course

to obtain efficient labour from the people employed. When the works commenced, those who travelled through the country generally reported that the alleged labourers were loitering idly about the roads. In this state of affairs the lord lieutenant ordered task work to be introduced, and at first his resolution met with great resistance. But his excellency was peremptory and successful. A new evil however sprang from this apparently prudent arrangement. The public labourers at task work earned wages considerably higher than any money wages which could otherwise be obtained. They earned from 1s. 4d. to 1s. 10d. per day; there was consequently a great run upon the public works, and amid the general competition to be placed upon them the farmers were left without labourers, and the cultivation of the soil, after all the most pressing necessity of a country, was neglected. It was even found that farmers themselves, men holding twenty, thirty, and even sixty acres of land, had obtained tickets from the relief committees and were placed upon the works, receiving the money intended for those who were dying by their side. In the course of one fortnight in the county of Clare five thousand persons were struck off who had been thus improperly preferred by the relief committees of the district. The chancellor of the exchequer frankly told the house of commons that the system could be carried

no further, and that the labour rate act had broken down.

Notwithstanding this somewhat petulant admission of an able minister and the fierce criticism with which the conception and the conduct of the labour rate act were assailed by persons in and out of the house of commons, the future historian of our country will not ratify this condemnation. With a nation starving it was not difficult however painful to adduce numerous instances of fatal destitution. One might paint with terrible ease the cabin tenanted only by a corpse, or sketch the wild dogs grossly feeding on human flesh; the churchyard converted into a charnel-house, and emaciated figures staggering to the union that they might enter and die, and secure by a legal application for relief at the last moment of their flitting life the solace of a consecrated burial. But no one heard of the lives that were saved. It is perhaps impossible to overstate and exaggerate the amount of human suffering which in many parts of Ireland was then endured. Thousands perished, but hundreds of thousands were preserved. That was a period when all classes of the community were pervaded with an admirable sympathy; religious rancour and political discord alike vanished; the clergyman and the priest laboured in the same vineyard; the contributions of private charity, especially those collected and managed by a committee of Lon-

don merchants, were princely in amount and distributed with skilful zeal; even distant states and foreign lands forwarded their tributes of tenderness; the sultaun of Turkey sent his purses from the Bosphorus to the desolation of Mayo and the agony of Connemara; but all these unprecedented efforts would not have sustained the people a single week. Notwithstanding the severe evils which it has undoubtedly entailed upon the country, it was the labour rate act that saved Ireland, and especially the courageous manner in which it was administered, for it was worked with a large deviation from its strict provisions. No government ever before assumed so great a responsibility. The ministers were at one moment spending a million sterling a month without the sanction of parliament; but they had a wise confidence in the disposition of the English people. It is easy to criticise disbursement under such novel circumstances and to prove waste in so vast and hurried an outlay. Against all this it would perhaps be but generous to remember as a set-off the priceless labours of those functionaries who had shaken their constitutions and shortened their days in the fulfilment of this measure. But the question must be considered in a larger manner. A country in a state of famine, and its population suddenly employed at wages by the state and so sustained for months without disturbance or confusion, will

stand out from the crowd of cotemporary incidents and rank among the memorable events of history.

Lord George Bentinck shared these sentiments, although he disapproved of the policy pursued by the ministry with respect to the Irish famine. It was his opinion, in the first place, that all public works should be reproductive ; and in the second, that, under the circumstances, it was the duty of a government not only to employ, but to feed, the people ; but remembering that the occurrence of the famine was almost cotemporaneous with the accession of the government to office, he felt that circumstance took their conduct out of the pale of criticism, and that no time or opportunity had been afforded them to prepare for the most effectual encountering of such a visitation. " But, sir," he said on the first night of the session, " at the same time we must be permitted to deal frankly with the conduct of ministers ; and while we are not disposed to say that they acted wrongly in declining to call parliament together, nor to censure them for having over-ridden the law and superseded the duties of the legislature itself, we are disposed to say, that the measures to which they have had recourse are not those in which we can altogether agree." He expressed his disapprobation of a mode of employing the people which was unprofitable, which was worse than useless, since according to the inspector-general of works, it only

obstructed the public conveyances, and which was even injurious, since it had withdrawn the people from the cultivation of the fields. "With respect to the supply of food to the people, I for one cannot agree altogether with those principles of political economy which have been advanced by the chief secretary. This principle of non-interference with the import and retail trade may be good in ordinary times, but in times such as the present, when a calamity unexampled in the history of the world has suddenly fallen upon Ireland—when there are no merchants or retailers in the whole of the west of Ireland—when a country of which the people has been accustomed to live upon potatoes of their own growth, produced within a few yards of their own doors, is suddenly deprived of this the only food of its people, it was not reasonable to suppose that suddenly merchants and retailers would spring up to supply the extraordinary demands of the people for food. Therefore, I should say this was a time when her majesty's ministers should have broken through these severe rules of political economy and themselves have found the means of feeding the people. The chief secretary says, that the ministers did wisely in this decision, but I differ from him when I hear every day of persons being starved to death, and when he himself admits that in many parts of the country the population had been decimated."

Whether under any circumstances it should be the office of a government to supply the people with food is a very interesting question. When famine revails there will always be a numerous party who will maintain the affirmative. Death and decimation are stern facts which seem to bring conviction. Yet it is the duty of a minister to consider, whether, if the government were to interfere, the dearth might not be increased and the rate of mortality aggravated. In the first place, when a minister enters the market all private merchants withdraw; they cannot compete with a rival who seeks no profit in his transactions; and though he thus appears to assume the advantageous position of a purchaser without contest, a dealer who has undertaken the responsibility of feeding a nation has in reality no option. Prices therefore rise, and considerably. But this, though a great, is not the chief evil. Commerce is not a mere affair of gross purchase; it is a pursuit of skill; of traditional means, of local knowledge, and organised connection. The employment of capital must be combined with all these incidents to render commerce not merely profitable but competent to supply a demand. The imports of the government would therefore not only be expensive, but they would be scanty. The government would have to pay more for a less quantity than they require.

But if these be the evils inseparable from public

imports, what might be the consequences of a government undertaking not only to obtain but to distribute food, and the retail trade of a country being carried on in Downing Street? Perhaps a host of subordinates more fatal than the famine. The colossal staff superintending the public works would be but an intimation of these legions of hucksters. No metropolitan authority could control such a multitude, or prevent an endless series of inefficiency, embezzlement, and waste.

The peculiarity of Ireland that it was without the usual class of retail dealers overweighed according to Lord George Bentinck clearly the last head of objections. He thought the difficulties under such circumstances of a government becoming chapmen ought to have been encountered and might have been overcome. And certainly if any minister could have satisfactorily conducted the experiment he was the man, for he combined with a fiery energy of soul and a stern determination a love and mastery of details which rarely accompany those higher qualities of the human disposition. He was alike vigilant and inflexible; nothing escaped him and nothing melted him where duty was concerned. In vain would the sluggard and the shuffler appeal to him; to such he was ever inexorable. The ministry, although they resolved not to interfere in the import of food, were nevertheless of opinion that to a certain

degree it was incumbent on them to assist its distribution in the lonelier parts of Ireland, and they established some depôts west of the Shannon. They justified this course by a precedent afforded by Sir Robert Peel, but the cases were not similar. The late administration had established depôts in Ireland for the sale of Indian corn, because they wished to introduce a new species of food to the people, against which there was that prejudice which novelty in such matters generally entails. The course of trade could not have introduced this grain to the Irish people, for its import was prohibited by high duties. In establishing depôts for its distribution the government of Sir Robert Peel performed a political rather than a mercantile office. There is reason to believe that the establishment of government depôts at the end of '46, however cautiously introduced, tended in the localities to arrest the development of that retail trade which was then rapidly extending throughout Ireland.

Upon this subject, however important in itself, Lord George on the first night of the session did not much dwell. It was an affair of the past, and he had commenced by expressing his determination to view the past conduct of the government, "placed in very difficult circumstances," in no critical spirit. But with regard to the employment of the people, inasmuch as the ministry announced that the system

established by their labour rate act must with all convenience be abrogated and other means be found for the object which it proposed to attain, he felt it his duty to speak explicitly as to the course which he thought they ought to adopt.

"With respect," he said, "to the other measures proposed by her majesty's ministers which have not yet been propounded, all I will say is, that I trust they will be measures of such a description as will encourage the employment of capital in Ireland. It is not by grants and by gifts, and additional taxes on the people of this country, that the permanent interests of Ireland can be promoted. Her majesty's ministers must propound some large measures by which enterprise shall be encouraged in Ireland; some great measure by which English capital may be induced to pour itself into Ireland. I hope the measures of her majesty's ministers will be such as without any interference on our part will attain that object. I know nothing as to what they may be; but if her majesty's ministers should not bring forward some comprehensive measures of this kind, I give notice to the house, that at the earliest opportunity, I shall, with the advice of my friends, bring forward a large comprehensive measure for this purpose."

During the discussions on the state of Ireland which more or less spread over the first week of the

session, and in which the government at different times announced the various measures of relief which was their intention to introduce, Lord George carefully considered whether any were proposed calculated to effect the object which he desired. The first measure of the government was to suspend the duties on corn, established for three years by the law of 1846. Mr. Ricardo, who seconded the address, had anticipated objections from the protectionists to his measure, on the ground that the law of '46 was a compact between parliament and the agricultural interest. "I for one," said Lord George Bentinck, repudiate the idea of any such compact. We do not claim a compact in 1842 with the late ministry, which was broken in '46. But we have never consented to any compromise in '46, and we release altogether the government from any notion that they are bound by any compact to us, or we by any compromise to them. It is not our intention to offer any obstacle to the repeal of the 4*s.* duty. But when we say this, we do not admit that the repeal of that 4*s.* duty will confer any benefit whatever on the consumer. That it will be a loss to her majesty's exchequer, no one can doubt. But if the chancellor of the exchequer is prepared to say that the revenues of the country are in so prosperous a condition, that he can afford to spare three or four hundred thousand pounds, it is not for us to oppose


nim. But it is our opinion that every farthing of that £400,000—of that 4*s.* duty—will go into the pockets of these corn-merchants and forestallers ; that it will go into the hands of men who, while they buy at New York Indian corn at 3*s.* a bushel, sell it in Ireland at 9*s.* ; that it will go into the pockets of the American merchant and the American agriculturist. Better by half to retain the 4*s.* duty, and give the money accruing from it to Ireland to feed the people with.”

He said he did not expect to see much benefit derived from any interference with our navigation laws. It was too late in the day to assemble foreign ships to send them to America. If the government wanted ships, there were eight ships of the line, which Lord Hardwicke, “one of the finest seamen in the British service,” would undertake to get ready in little more than four and twenty hours, “would have the guns out of all of them, batten down their ports, and caulk them up, and bring eighty thousand quarters of grain in ten weeks from the American ports to the western coast of Ireland. These ships might make four voyages before harvest, and bring altogether three hundred and twenty thousand quarters. That would bring down prices at London, Liverpool, and Glasgow ; that was the way to succour the people, if the government would only “cast off their too devoted regard to the principles of political

omy." If it were proposed to make a permanent
ation in the navigation laws, "which I do not
ve is intended, I should have felt it my duty," he
ed, "to oppose any such proposition."

n the course of the next ten days the government
sures of relief distinctly transpired. One of these
a public undertaking to reclaim a portion of the
te lands of Ireland; but it was faintly proposed by
first minister, sneered at a few days after by his
chancellor of the exchequer, and finally fell
strate before a bland admonition from Sir Robert
l, who was skilful always in detecting when the
net was not confident in a measure, and by an
it interposition often obtained the credit with the
ntry of directing the ministry, when really he had
discovered their foregone conclusion. Then
e were to be loans for the improvement of private
tes, an extension of the system of drainage by the
rd of works, some encouragement of fisheries, and
improved poor law. Projects for ordinary times,
lly adequate to absorb the teeming millions of the
lic works, whose numbers increased with every
graph, and alarmed the cabinet like a fresh inva-
of some barbaric host appalling the trembling
ctors of Rome.

How were the ministry to cope with this awful
plexity? The confidential agents of the govern-
nt had informed them that the means of employ-



ing the people on public works had reached their limit. "Any extension of those works was impossible." Even had those means not been exhausted a further outlay would not meet the difficulty. The famine was increasing, deaths became more frequent, the prospect was one which might appal the stoutest heart. There were many classes of persons, and those the most destitute, by whom labour could not be performed, and to whom therefore relief was not given. In many parts of the country crowds flocked to the works who were unable from weakness to perform their task, and who only reached the spot to faint and die. Many persons too who at the commencement of the visitation could earn even large wages by task work were now unable to earn enough to procure themselves subsistence. The pulse of the community was so depressed that it began to be incapable of employment. Labour was no longer a specific. When the labour rate act was called into full play in the autumn, the only alternative was gratuitous alms. In the sudden outbreak of a widespread calamity, wholly unparalleled in Europe in this or any other age, it had gained time. During the interval thus obtained the thoughts of the ablest men in Europe had been fixed on the subject of feeding a famished people, the extent and nature of the particular evil had been more clearly ascertained, and a terrible experience now suggested measures which

deemed more suitable to the exigency. It was probable that the vast expenditure should afford more actual relief, and it was hoped that the evils which were now encountered in its administration might be removed or at least greatly mitigated.

The plan of the ministry was to form relief committees in every electoral district; to empower these committees to levy rates and to receive subscriptions, and to assist them with public grants. In these means they were to purchase food and to distribute rations to the people, not requiring as the substitution of relief the test of labour. The substitution of this system for that of the public works was to be made as easy in the transition as possible. There was to be no rude dismissal of the people at once, when the arrangements of the new system were completed no new public works were to be undertaken. It was thought that the temptation to abuse would be less if relief were afforded by food instead of wages; that the expense of the staff of inspection might be greatly diminished; and that by allowing the labouring man while he secured him a certain quantity of food to work on his own account, the ordinary operations of agriculture would be less neglected.

On stating this measure to the house the minister announced that the government now looked upon the present famine as an imperial calamity, and therefore no longer thought it right that the whole burthen occa-

sioned by it should remain on Irish property. They therefore proposed an arrangement by which in each succeeding year when an instalment became due, upon half that instalment being paid, the other half should be remitted. They proposed however that the whole debt should be kept up until one half of it was discharged, but eventually one moiety of the whole charge was to fall upon the treasury of the united kingdom.

The drift of the ministerial propositions was not satisfactory to Lord George Bentinck. They were a confession according to his views that the system of employing labour on useless and unprofitable undertakings, while it had occasioned and was occasioning a prodigious outlay, had failed in its main object, namely, sustaining the people. Nor did there seem any certainty, scarcely any prospect, of the vast sum of one million sterling a month thus expended being speedily or considerably reduced. The new plan could not be immediately put in practice, and it might fail. Even if it succeeded it was by no means clear that the expenditure would be reduced. Alms-giving had in fact succeeded to fruitless employment; such projects were the desperate efforts of an emergency, but sufficient time had now elapsed for the substitution of less reckless methods. If the capital or the credit of the nation were to be thus largely called upon they should be employed if possible in a manner

ich, while it afforded some remedy for the immediate evil, might improve the country and ultimately set the tone of the community. Influenced by these words he summoned his friends and with their full approbation gave notice that on Thursday, the 4th of February, he should move for leave to bring in a bill, to stimulate the prompt and profitable employment of the people by the encouragement of railways in land."

Although a slight circumstance, it ought perhaps to be noticed that some change took place at the commencement of this session ('47) in the local situation of parties in the house of commons. On the accession of the whigs to office in the preceding year, the protectionists had retained their seats both the gangway on the ministerial side. They took this on the reasonable ground, that as it was their intention to support the general policy of the new government, it was unnecessary for them to dispossess the house with the late cabinet which they had themselves mainly driven from power. But as time ran on considerable inconvenience was found to result from this arrangement, for the protectionists were so numerous, that the greater portion of the avowed supporters of the whigs were obliged to range themselves on the benches opposite the men whom they had always supported and with whom they were still voting. This led to some conversa-

tion between the treasury bench and Lord George Bentinck, and it was finally agreed that on the whole it would be more convenient that on the meeting of the house in '47, he should take the seat usually occupied by the leader of the opposition, and that his friends should fill the benches generally allotted to an adverse party. This was the origin of his taking a position which he assumed with great reluctance, and of his appearing as the chief opponent of a ministry which he was anxious to uphold. He was indeed so unwilling to appear in the shape of an opponent of the government, that he communicated privately with the first minister, between whom and himself there were relations of mutual regard, as to his intentions in the course he was pursuing. He referred to this interview and these feelings in his statement. "In introducing, sir, this measure to the house, it has not been my wish to bring forward any proposition either of hostility or rivalry to the government of my noble friend. I have assured the house publicly, and privately I have pledged my honour to my noble friend the first minister, that I seek no advantage from the carrying of this measure; and that it is my anxious hope that we may come to the consideration of it as if it were a great private bill, and we were all selected members of a committee to inquire into its worth."

The speech in which he introduced his measure

s the best he ever made. Although he spoke for six hours, and had necessarily to deal greatly with details, he treated them with so much dexterity that he commanded during the whole time the unbroken attention of his audience. Confident in his subject and himself he was throughout animated and interesting, and received when he resumed his seat general applause. The subject was treated in a masterly manner. "It is not my intention to make a very long preface," he said, "on the condition of Ireland. Enough that there are half a million able-bodied men in that country living upon the funds of the state, commanded by a staff of eleven thousand five hundred and eighty-seven persons, and all employed upon works, which have been variously described as 'works worse than idleness;' by theomanry of Ulster as 'public follies;' by the inspector general of these works himself as 'answering no other purpose than that of obstructing the public conveyances.' " He said he would not despair of Ireland. A loss of property to the value at least of £10,000,000 sterling was a great calamity, but not enough to daunt a nation which in the time of war had expended on an average of three years more than £103,000,000 a year. We ought, he said, to have a confident hope that good would come out of evil, have the spirit to look our difficulties bravely in the face instead of "lying down and

weeping over our misfortune like children lost in a wood."

He went over the points which we have touched on in the preceding chapter, on the influence and effects of railway enterprises in England and of the high authorities who had recommended an extension of their beneficial consequences to our sister island; and after very clearly placing before the house what had been already effected in Ireland as to the construction of railways, what works were unfinished, and what ought to be commenced and completed, sketching with much liveliness the lines of communication and the undeveloped resources of the districts through which they ran, and showing that if his plans were supported, "there would not be a single county in Ireland which would not be traversed by railways," he made his proposition that the imperial government should come to the aid of these enterprises.

The proposition of Lord George Bentinck was, that for every £100 expended to the satisfaction of the imperial government in railway construction £200 should be lent by government at the very lowest interest at which, on the credit of the government, that amount could be raised, so that if two millions were produced annually for four years by the Irish companies, the imperial government should advance an additional four millions, ensuring in Ire-

for four years the expenditure of six millions in public works of an useful and reproductive nature. This proposition was recommended by Lord Grey as offering an ample security for the public.

For this purpose he adduced evidence to show that the worst railroad ever yet constructed in England, or Scotland, or Belgium, would afford ample security under such circumstances. He stated that the government would lend the money at five per cent. and take the whole railway as security. Subsequently a line paying £7 upon £300 expended would afford ample security for £200 lent by the government at £3 10s per cent., and he was therefore prepared to prove that a line which paid but a dividend of £1 6s. 8d. per cent. would afford perfect security. The interest of the loan made by the govern-

ment in establishing this point, he proceeded a step further and proved to the house that there was no other mode of transport in existence which would not yield a greater return than this. He took the line in the empire in which the gross traffic receipts were the lowest; the Great Northern railway, between Arbroath and Forfar. Prior to the formation of that railway all the traffic that was carried on between these places was carried on by one horse and a light cart, which was led between the two towns twice a week. The population of these towns, nearly equal, was

together only about eighteen thousand, and the intermediate villages not numerous, yet the number of passengers, and it was only passenger lines that were treated of in this measure, amounted to ninety thousand a year, or two hundred and forty-seven a day, yielding an average amount of receipts of £150 to £200 a week, which was a profit of .5 per cent. on the whole of the expenditure, that being £145,000. Now this railroad, "the worst line in the empire," cost £9,000 a mile; the gross receipts in the first year were £468 a mile, while the cost of working the line was £202 a mile, and yet upon that line, even at that low amount of traffic, the government would receive their $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. interest, leaving nearly 2 per cent. over for the shareholders.

The project which offered this security was in its immediate influence on labour to employ one hundred and ten thousand able-bodied men upon productive works, and in its ultimate and yet speedy results nearly to double the amount of persons thus employed. But while it secured "prompt and profitable employment" to the people, beneficial consequences were not limited to the mere labourers; the landowners would receive large sums for their land, the farmers for their tenant right, but, above all, the state would greatly profit by sanctioning this proposition. "The state," said Lord George, "will be a sleeping partner in the concern, for while the

state lends really nothing but its credit and its name, it will reap from the expenditure not of £16,000,000, but of that sum lent by the government with £8,000,000 share capital added, making in the whole £24,000,000 in Ireland, an enormous amount of increased revenue. And then he entered into one of his characteristic calculations on this head which greatly amused and interested the house.

“ We have it stated by Messrs. Grissell and Peto,” said Lord George, “ who are constantly employing nine thousand labouring men on English railways that in order to promote habits of sobriety it was thought advisable to restrict each man to one gallon of strong beer a day. Now, a gallon of strong beer brewed from malt and hops, pays 4*d.* duty ; so that each railway labourer, setting aside what his family consumes and what he pays on other articles such as tea, tobacco and sugar, actually pays the sum of £5. 0*s.* 4*d.* per year in excise on beer alone. Let us see then how this calculation may be worked out. On looking at and comparing the amount of excise paid by the Irish people with the amount of excise paid by the Scotch, we find that the Scotch, in the excise duty alone, pay £1. 0*s.* 2*d.* per head on the whole population, while in Ireland the amount is only 3*s.* 10½*d.* per head. This, after excluding and deducting the soap and brick duties not paid in Ireland, shows a difference in the amount of excise duties paid

as between the Irish and Scotch of 16s. 3½d. per head. Now, I am not going to say that this calculation would be correct as regards the entire population of Ireland; it was made however, by Mr. Stanley of the board of works about ten years ago; nor do I mean to state that the effect of making one thousand five hundred miles of railway will be to raise the entire population of Ireland to the level of the population in Scotland. But I think I may say, and not overcharge the case, that that population of five hundred and fifty thousand represented by one hundred and ten thousand labourers will be raised to the average level of all Scotland. If then, we calculate what 16s. 3½d. per head will come to on five hundred and fifty thousand persons, we shall find an additional yearly amount to the revenue to the extent of £447,448, and this at 3½ per cent. interest will represent a capital of £12,784,000. Well then there are the customs' duties; and I think when we are constructing railways it will not be unfair to assume that the customs will be as much increased as the excise. I am aware there is great difficulty in getting at the exact amount of customs' duties paid by Ireland and Scotland, so large a portion of those duties being received in this country; but from an official estimate made, either by Mr. Porter or Mr. M'Gregor, the gross amount of customs in Ireland shows an average of 5s. 8d.

head, Scotland 13*s.*, making a difference between the two countries of 7*s.* 4*d.* per head. This increase would represent a sum of £202,000 representing at 3½ per cent. interest, a capital of £6,000,000 sterling. If it were fair to calculate on this employment continuing after the railways were completed and in full vigour, it would be for me to say there would be an increase of value to the state for ever of no less than £200,000, representing a capital of more than £6,000,000 sterling. But stating the amount at not even one third, the sums respectively would be two or six millions, and there are good grounds for thinking that the construction of one thousand hundred miles of railway will employ as many men hereafter as when in the course of execution.

After a desultory discussion, leave was given to the Committee in the bill "to stimulate the prompt and profitable employment of the people by the encouragement of railways in Ireland," although the first objection, with a full recognition of "the ability with which Lord George Bentinck had displayed both in carrying out his plan and explaining it to the house," was that the government would feel under the necessity of opposing its progress on the next stage. The conduct of the government in acceding to the objection under such circumstances was very much

arraigned by some, but generally speaking few propositions have ever been made in the house of commons which were received at the outset with so much favour as the present one, and when late in the evening Lord George Bentinck appeared at the bar with the bill, and was ordered by the speaker to bring it up, as he advanced to the table he was loudly cheered by both sides.

A week elapsed before the second reading of this bill, but as regarded its fate and fortunes, the week was a memorable one. The bill when printed confirmed the favourable impression which the exposition of its scope by Lord George Bentinck had at once created. Admitting its premises it is still agreed that this bill was one of the best legislative projects that was ever introduced into the house of commons; never were details matured in a more business-like manner; from the means for raising and applying the capital to the provisions for the benefit and advantage of the labourers employed, securing them weekly wages in coin of the realm and the construction of decent and suitable dwellings for them during their work, the machinery was acknowledged to be perfect. Also, when a great outlay of public money is inevitable popular opinion will naturally lean to that proposition which would favour reproductive industry rather than useless labour. It was said, "True it is that the plan of Lord

George Bentinck demands a large sum, but it is the credit of the state, not the capital of the nation, that is required. There appears to be sufficient security for the advance, and the fair prospect of a good return. And even if the worst were to occur, and the four millions per annum were entirely lost, that might be a cheap expenditure were it to relieve the country from the ministerial system of useless works, which at the present moment requires in the wages of labour about a million sterling per month, with no prospect of a cessation of the cost."

The lord lieutenant of Ireland too, it was known, had pressed very much the construction of railways on the attention of the government, as a source not only of immediate relief but of permanent improvement to the country, and the government had more than listened to his excellency's recommendation; hence probably the want of decision with which the first minister had encountered the scheme of Lord George Bentinck, which although alarming from its dimensions was nevertheless far from discordant with the actual tendencies of the cabinet. The proposition of Lord George Bentinck likewise was avowedly not brought forward as a party question. If the second reading of the bill were carried, it did not follow that the original scheme would be entirely consummated; the principle might be successfully asserted, and its application if necessary be partially or even consider-

ably modified. No one was pledged by voting for the second reading further than to the salutary and popular principle that the public funds should be expended in achieving the useful instead of the useless. These considerations and circumstances combined so operated that within a very few days of the speech of Lord George Bentinck it was generally felt that the second reading of his bill would be carried.

The bill had been attacked by Lord Brougham in the house of lords opportunely to a vindication of his own character from some imaginary charge the very day after the motion of Lord George Bentinck, which called up Lord Stanley who entirely concurred in the observation of Lord Brougham that this was a time of all others when public men ought not to be subjected to misrepresentation with regard to their motives, and particularly when their motives and actions had reference to the relief of public distress, in whatever part of the empire that distress might exist. It was his entire concurrence in that observation which led him to notice a remark that fell from Lord Brougham conveying, unintentionally of course, an entire misrepresentation of the motives and intent of the measure which had been introduced by a noble friend of his into the other house of parliament. Lord Brougham had stated that the object of Lord George Bentinck was to introduce a measure the effect of which would be to raise the

ce of Irish railway shares, and enable railway proprietors to make large profits. Now, he was certain that Lord Brougham could not have heard : able, the eloquent, the statesmanlike speech delivered by Lord George Bentinck last night when introducing the bill ; otherwise the monomania of position to railways, which in many cases biassed judgment, would not have caused him to misunderstand the object of a measure which, whatever intrinsic merits, was undoubtedly introduced with feeling which commanded the attention and sympathy of all parties in parliament, and which he believed also commanded the admiration and approval of a great portion of the community of this country. The time might come when that measure might have to be argued in their lordship's house, less some extraordinary exercise of the prerogative the crown should be made to stop its progress ; but he must assure Lord Brougham that its object and intent were not to raise the price of railway shares, or to facilitate their disposal by those who now held them in Ireland. The object of the measure was to interpose the credit of Great Britain without the loss of one single shilling, or the imposition of one single shilling of taxation upon the people of this country, to stimulate and facilitate employment in a country more than all others, and especially at this time, in want of employment ;

to carry out works of great public utility, and which, without the intervention not only of the aid but of the credit of the state, must be abandoned; to facilitate communication in Ireland which next to the employment of labour was most necessary to the well-being of the country; to improve the condition of the agricultural labourer in that country; and to furnish the means of constant employment to a large portion of the population for the next four years at least without withdrawing them from agricultural employment by tendering too easy or too well paid work; and to facilitate the application of capital—for the expenditure of private capital was now checked by the political position of the country. The intention of the measure was to render assistance to Ireland under securities and guarantees which would insure that the people of this country would not by the advances which they would be called upon to make be liable to one shilling of ultimate expenditure, or to any immediate addition to their burthens, for the purpose of effecting what was proposed to be done by the interposition of the state. He had little thought to have said a word upon the subject at the present time; but with the respect and regard which he felt for Lord George Bentinck and for the opinion and weight of authority of Lord Brougham, he would not permit the statement which had been made to go

forth, that the scheme propounded had for its object private benefit, when his firm conviction and belief certainly was, that it had for its object and would produce as its effect great national improvement and great public advantage, and that without entailing public loss or inconvenience upon any portion of the subjects of this empire.

This accurate and animated vindication of the measure of Lord George Bentinck elicited a characteristic declaration from Lord Brougham, that he never read the debates in the house of commons and certainly never heard them, since he had never been in the house of commons since the 20th of November, 1830; but whether it were remorse or patrician pride that prompted this conduct, his lordship did not deign to add.

Let us now consider the position of the administration when they learnt that it was not improbable that the house of commons would sanction the second reading of the bill to stimulate prompt and profitable employment in Ireland. Properly to comprehend it, we must refer to the price of consols at that time. In the original draught of his bill, Lord George Bentinck had fixed the rate of interest which the government was to receive from the Irish railway companies at $3\frac{1}{4}$ per cent., which, so far as the mere money market could be a guide, he was justified in doing. When he introduced his bill in February

enough had happened to induce him to alter the rate of interest to $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Before the second reading could come on, the price of consols had again fallen. Assuming always in his own mind that a certain expenditure for the employment or sustenance of the Irish must be incurred, and that his plan if adopted would not increase the sum of that expenditure, but that the four millions he would require for the year '47 would only be deducted from the amount which otherwise would be necessary, the state of the money market with Lord George Bentinck was a secondary consideration. With the government resolved not to give up any of their own measures, except that for the redemption of waste lands, and who felt that if they adopted this proposition for the construction of railways it would be an addition to their inevitable expenditure, the state of the money market was a primary consideration. Under these circumstances, after due deliberation, the ministers called a meeting of their friends at the foreign office, and announced to them their resolution, that, in the event of the measure of Lord George Bentinck being sanctioned by the house of commons, they should feel it their duty to place their offices at the disposal of her majesty.

This declaration was especially directed to those Irish members, a powerful section, who were in the habit of supporting a whig administration, but who

most to a man had, either in or out of the house, expressed their approbation of the scheme of the part of the protectionists and of their determination to support it. The consequences of a change of policy to these gentlemen, irrespective of all private feeling, might be very momentous. "A change of policy might," to use the language of one of the most active members of this confederation, "throw into confusion legislation of the most urgent and immediate importance to the poor of Ireland, measures which perhaps depended the existence of many thousands of their fellow-countrymen." A gathering of this section of the Irish members, forty in number, held therefore after the general meeting of the day in Downing Street; "they met in conclave," as one of them assured the house, and the result of their deliberations was that Lord George Bentinck should publicly requested in his place, on their behalf, to postpone for a fortnight the second reading of his measure. Desirous as they were of supporting the prompt and profitable employment of the people of Ireland, they were also equally anxious to secure the accomplishment of the measures of the government recently announced and in progress. Mr. Buckle told them that they wanted to get the best things offered by both sides. "Give us," he said to the ministers, "as much as you can, when we have squeezed you dry, let the

noble lord bring in his plan, and give us something more."

The threat of the government to resign, repeated with modesty but with firmness by the first minister in the house of commons, did not however influence merely a section of those members who sate habitually on the ministerial side of the house. There was not a section or an individual in the house of commons who wished to disturb the government, least of all Lord George Bentinck, who both on political and personal grounds was anxious to uphold them, but he was not a man who would ever shrink from the consequences of his acts; and when the first minister called upon him not to listen to the proposition made to postpone his measure but to be prepared for its consequences if it were carried, or to give up his plan entirely for the present session; offering him at the same time the first night at the disposition of the government, in order that the country might know, by an immediate decision, what was the policy to be pursued, Lord George said that though he had heard with the deepest regret the announcement from the first minister, that the fate of the government must be staked on this measure, he must do his duty. As to the request of the gentlemen from Ireland, he would have been willing to have paid due attention to them, but challenged as he was by the first minister of the crown, told by him who is responsible for the

iation of the country, that it is for the public advantage that this measure should be forthwith dissolved and decided, he felt he should be wanting in duty to her majesty, as well as to his country, if he were to hesitate in proceeding at once. "And, sir," concluded, "whatever may be the result, however important the consequences, upon my head is not the responsibility. Should it be the pleasure of her majesty's ministers, in the present difficulties of Ireland, to desert the helm of state, great as I admit these difficulties to be—greater than any which any previous government has ever encountered in the conduct of the affairs of Ireland—my friends are not appalled by those difficulties, and will not shrink from my responsibility which, unsought, may be forced upon us."

There was no misconception in the position of affairs. The declaration of Lord John Russell and the rejoinder of Lord George Bentinck were alike explicit. No member now could give his vote under a false impression; if the ministry were in a minority, they were pledged to go out, and Lord George Bentinck, "not appalled," to take the helm.

It must be confessed however that he was almost the only member of his party who was undaunted. A sort of panic pervaded the protectionist ranks, and they deplored the weakness of a government which

never could be opposed without summoning their party to Downing Street and staking their existence on a vote. This was the second occasion on which this had happened since the whigs had acceded to the administration. At the end of the last session ('46), on the sugar duties, they had only been saved by Sir Robert Peel forfeiting his pledge to his deluded West Indian friends, and voting with all his followers against his judgment in order to preserve the ministry; and now not two months of parliamentary life had again elapsed, and here was the same critical state of affairs; and the government could only be saved by their Irish adherents acting against their acknowledged convictions, and a considerable body of the protectionists being induced to absent themselves from the house, or to divide against their party. Men complained of the unreasonable conduct of the ministers, but the fact is it was the inevitable consequence of the government being carried on by a party which had not a parliamentary majority. But this false position which has strained and injured our happy parliamentary government is not attributable to the whigs, but rather to that statesman, who, with all his great qualities, seems never to have been conscious that the first duty of an English minister is to be faithful to his party, and that good and honourable government in

this country is not only consistent with that tie but in reality mainly dependant upon its sacred observance.

The debate on the second reading of the bill lasted three nights, and was sustained on both sides in a manner becoming the importance of the subject. It still may be referred to as a repertory of interesting facts and for a masterly discussion of the principles involved in the measure. Sir Robert Peel, who took a very leading part in the debate, addressed his efforts mainly to sustaining the proposition that the state should under no circumstances interfere in commercial enterprise, and in combating the position enforced by a principal supporter of Lord George Bentinck, that the commercial principle came into contact with circumstances in Ireland with which it was not strong enough to cope. This moot point was very fairly and completely discussed by both of these speakers. The objections of the government were more of detail : that the means were too costly for a very limited end ; that the extent of employment assumed by this measure was not by any means equal to the occasion, and that probably not a moiety even of that assumed would be realised by it ; that especially the financial condition of the country did not justify such an undertaking. The first minister concluded the debate at half past three o'clock in the morning, in a short but gloomy

speech, the prelude of those terrible financial disasters that were then impending over the country. His announcement of the last official bulletin from Ireland diffused through the house a feeling of almost awful apprehension. "I believe in the last week," he said, "that not less than six hundred thousand persons have received wages on the public works in Ireland, representing probably three millions of the population. One hundred thousand persons more are in the workhouses, maintained by the rates. Thousands and thousands more are maintained by private charity. * * * * The consequence of enabling the people of Ireland in such large numbers to buy food is this, that the price of food in this and in foreign countries has been greatly enhanced. But not only are the consequences of the high prices of food beginning to be felt, and very severely felt, but a depression in the manufacturing interests of the country has also taken place; together with the want of sufficient wages for industry, upon which the great bulk of the population is maintained."

Having shown according to his views that the plan of Lord George Bentinck was not competent to deal with the state of things in Ireland, and would in all probability aggravate the general pressure on the imperial resources, Lord John Russell vindicated in conclusion the constitutional character of his con-

duct in notifying to his party preliminarily to the debate the consequences of their decision.

“ I am far from making any complaint of my noble friend bringing a measure before the house which he conscientiously believes will be of great service to Ireland and will tend to relieve the misery of that country ; but he must at the same time see that it was not equally reasonable in him to expect, that if the ministers of the queen did not take the same view as he takes of the utility of this measure, they should adopt a measure of which they did not approve. He might have brought it forward, and have laid it on the table as a measure to be taken or not by the government, but he thought it so important as to proceed with the measure, and elicit the opinion of parliament with regard to it. But it is quite impossible for any government to allow the finances of the country to be taken out of their hands, and placed under the direction of the noble lord, or any other person. Therefore, while I quite concede that he had the best motive for bringing forward this measure, and though I think he was quite right in saying that if he succeeded with the measure he would himself be responsible for carrying it into execution—though I think he showed great spirit in expressing that determination—yet, on the other hand, I must say, feeling myself responsible for the conduct of

affairs in this very important crisis, all I can do, is to bring forward those measures which I in my heart believe will tend most to the relief of destitution in Ireland, and ask parliament to consent to those measures. If I am supported by parliament, I shall then feel courage to go on, and to brave all the difficulties with which I am encompassed ; but I hold it would be most injurious to this country to have at this moment any minister at the head of affairs who may be baffled in any effort he may make ; whose opinions are not in accordance with the ruling opinions of the members of the house of commons ; and whose position is still further embarrassed by having to carry out measures of which he does not approve. I must repeat, therefore, that I do not think I was taking any other than a constitutional course, when I intimated to those who, I believed, were disposed to support the government, that with respect to the management of the finances of this country in this great crisis, we must have the majority of the house of commons with us, or we cannot be competent to conduct the government of this country."

The conjuncture of many critical circumstances had for some time made it evident that the division would be very unfavourable, and in a house of four hundred and fifty members, only one hundred and eighteen voted for the second reading of that bill

which had been so generally welcomed on its introduction.

The period was not propitious for the particular measure proposed by Lord George Bentinck, but the general policy which he recommended must not be decided upon by a mere reference to the specific provisions of this bill. That policy must be viewed in relation to the system then pursued by the government. The real question raised was, whether the employment of the Irish people by the state should be for profitable purposes of permanent advantage to the country, or solely as a machinery to regulate the distribution of doles, either in the shape of rations or wages. What would have been the effect upon Ireland if the plan of Lord George Bentinck had been adopted at the commencement of the year '46 instead of being rejected at the commencement of the year '47? There is scarcely any one who can doubt that the pressure of the famine must have been greatly mitigated by such means being in readiness to sustain the labour of the country. In that case it would not have been necessary for a government just acceding to office suddenly to have plunged into a system which disheartened and dispirited every class in Ireland; which demoralized the labouring class by employing them like slaves under the superintendence of a host of strangers without the animating consciousness of usefulness; which de-

preciated permanently the value of property by charging it with an annuity equivalent to one-half the cost of these useless works ; and which increased the anti-Irish prejudice in England by superadding the disgust with which every Englishman regards the squandering of public money. Looking at the project of Lord George Bentinck in this aspect, the largeness of his demand only proved his sagacity and prudence, and perhaps it might be shown, that even if the whole of the sixteen millions had been required, which by no means followed from his scheme, the position of England would not have been worse financially, while that of Ireland would have been incalculably better than it now is.

In the present altered state of affairs it would be useless to inquire and impossible to ascertain whether the estimates Lord George formed of the remunerative character of the undertakings he recommended would have been realised, but one can hardly doubt, with the experience of the last four years to aid us, that the regular employment of more than one hundred thousand men at high wages would have greatly relieved every union in Ireland from the pressure of poor rates ; that such employment would have had a strong tendency to convert the cottier tenantry into independent labourers ; that English capital would have been attracted to the undeveloped mineral treasures of Ireland ; and that the emigration of the

lustrious, if not entirely arrested, would have been visibly checked.

When the Devon commissioners made their report '45, they represented all classes in Ireland, except the lowest class of labourers, as in a state of rapid improvement, and they recommended measures which if they been adopted promptly would have improved the condition of that class. What is the condition of Ireland now ?

The certified circulation of Ireland in April, '46, was seven millions and a half sterling ; in March, 1851, it was four millions and a half. The population in '46 was eight millions and a half ; in '51 it is six millions and a half. So great a diminution of population in so short a time is not to be found in the history of any civilized people, and fills the mind of the statesman with almost appalling thoughts.

Lord George Bentinck was too proud to express the mortification which he felt from the division on his Irish bill. Some of the members from the sister kingdom, who had deserted him, excused their tergiversation on the plea, that, if the " prompt and profitable employment " scheme had been carried, the plan of the government for the redemption of the waste lands in Ireland might have been endangered. Accordingly shortly after this the government abandoned this redemption project without remorse and scarcely with decency, for the leader of the house of lords

was eulogizing its virtues almost at the moment it was cast away by the chancellor of the exchequer, these Irish members were left in a position not altogether grateful to the feelings, of which Lord George took care to remind them. For himself, he, according to his wont, tried to forget his disappointment in continued and increased exertions, but a long time did not elapse before the subject was again brought back to his memory and consideration, and that in a manner most agreeable and satisfactory to his feelings.

The financial disasters which were apprehended by the government at the commencement of the session, and the fear of which was perhaps the principal reason of their not embarking at that time in the construction of reproductive works in Ireland were grievously rife about the end of the month of April. It was said in the house of commons on the 26th of that month ('47) by Mr. Hume, that "since '25 there had never been such a day in the city." A drain of bullion had reduced the amount of gold in the bank to the extent of four millions sterling. Consols had fallen that day as low as 85 $\frac{1}{2}$; exchequer bills, which in February were fourteen premium, were at four discount; mercantile bills, except at short dates, could not be discounted. The house had been occupied that day in a protracted debate on the government plan of education; it was long past

midnight when the chancellor of the exchequer rose, and after some prelude, reminding the house that in his opposition to the plan of Lord George Bentinck in February, he had not expressed any opinion adverse to the construction of railways in Ireland, on the contrary, had expressed an opinion that their construction would be beneficial, but did not think it expedient, as he had to raise a large loan applicable to the relief of the existing distress in Ireland, to propose a further loan of sixteen millions ; astonished the house by recommending to them a vote for the purpose of assisting Irish railways to the amount of £620,000. There was a great clamour. Mr. Hume and Mr. Goulburn recommended that the chairman should report progress and the proposition be postponed. Mr. Roebuck said, he should take the sense of the house in every stage on the advance. "Talk about the fearful state of Ireland !" he exclaimed, "it would be necessary soon to talk about the state of England, too." Lord George Bentinck would not object to proceeding with the vote. "There was more joy over one sinner that repenteth, than over ninety and nine just persons." He greatly rejoiced to find that ministers had at length discovered, that it was cheaper for England to lend her money, receiving interest for it upon reproductive works, than upon those useless relief works which were to return no interest and produce no fruit. He greatly rejoiced also to

hear from the chancellor of the exchequer, that in the course of the last two months he had become better instructed upon the subject of the number of men to whom the construction of railways would give employment. Lord George Bentinck had proposed to employ one hundred and ten thousand men a year with £6,000,000 ; but the chancellor of the exchequer then told the house that £6,000,000 laid out in railways would only furnish employment for forty-five thousand labourers. Now the chancellor of the exchequer told the house that £600,000 would employ fifteen thousand labourers ; so that upon his calculations, £6,000,000 would afford employment not merely for one hundred and ten thousand, as Lord George Bentinck had stated, but for one hundred and fifty thousand able-bodied labourers. When it suited the purpose of the chancellor of the exchequer, a million of money would give employment to half as many more able-bodied labourers as it could when it suited his purpose to resist a motion proposed by his opponents. Then as to the money market ; what was the state of the money market now ? Had the government made a proper proposition on this subject four months ago, they could have saved a great portion of that million sterling a month which had been since expended, and was now expending in unproductive labour. " Let it be remembered, the chancellor of the exchequer argues," observed Lord

orge, "in favour of this measure, that the money asks for will certainly be paid back, while only one f, he tells you, of the money advanced on relief rks is sought to be reclaimed. Why, sir, that was t my argument three months ago!"

The question was again introduced by the govern-
nt on the first occasion at their command, namely,
day the 30th of April, and a long and animated
ate ensued. Mr. Roebuck denounced the govern-
nt scheme. "The proposition of Lord George
ntinck was at all events not a peddling measure; it
s a bold if not wise plan; but the present scheme
ssessed nothing of the recommendations which at-
hed to that of Lord George Bentinck." Mr.
borne "wished the government had not been
erred by any false pride from taking up the plan of
rd George Bentinck;" even Sir Robert Peel said
it "the measure of Lord George Bentinck was
e from some of the objections which forcibly ap-
ed to the present measure."

The chancellor of the exchequer made a statement
s night with respect to the employment on the
blic works, which ought to be preserved. It ap-
red by his account, that the number of persons
ployed on the public works in the month of
rch ('47) was seven hundred and thirty-four thou-
id. A reduction of twenty per cent. was made at
latter end of that month, which was effected

without the least difficulty, and which reduced the number to five hundred and seventy-nine thousand. A further reduction also took place without any difficulty, and another reduction was arranged for the 1st of May, which, when effected, would bring down the number of persons thus employed in Ireland to about two hundred and eighty thousand. It is scarcely necessary to add that the number in March was the maximum number.

After a strong opposition from Sir Robert Peel, and an exulting speech from Lord George Bentinck, the resolution was carried at two o'clock in the morning by a large majority.

In justifying the prudence of the proposed advance in the present financial difficulties, tracing the different influence on the money market of calls for capital to be employed in productive works at home from that which is produced by capital sent out of the country to purchase the produce of other climes, Lord George entered into a calculation to which we may have occasion to advert and which deserves attention. "It must be clear," he said, "to any reflecting man that every sovereign that goes out of this country must operate in a pressure of at least ten-fold, if not twenty-fold degree, more than the sovereign which is borrowed to be expended in this country. I believe it is commonly reckoned that £5 bank notes, upon an average, are turned over thirteen times at

least in the course of a year; and if this be so, a sovereign, at all events, must be turned over much oftener than that. The sovereign we send out of the country to purchase corn or sugar, or any other commodity, the produce of foreign countries, cannot return and does not in fact return for twelve months at the least and during that time visits no English pocket. Let any one follow in his own mind the course of a sovereign which is sent to America. When shall we get that sovereign back? Certainly not for a year at least. Well, sir, if I am right in these views—and I believe they are those generally entertained by reflecting men—I am justified in saying that a loan of £620,000 to be employed in Ireland would create no greater pressure in the money market, would diminish the circulation of money at home no more than a thirteenth part of that sum, were it sent abroad to purchase the produce of foreign countries.”

The government could not succeed in bringing forward the second reading of their bill on Irish railways, which was introduced in pursuance of the resolution of the committee of the whole house of the 30th of April, until so late as the 28th of June, and on the eve of a general election. A strong opposition was arrayed against it, if not in numbers, at least in parliamentary reputation. An amendment that the bill should be read that day three

months was moved by Sir William Molesworth in one of those speeches, highly finished and full of thought and information, for which he is distinguished. He said that "compared with the bill of the government, the scheme of Lord George Bentinck had the outward semblance of a comprehensive and imposing plan. It did at first sight appear not unlike the project of a statesman—a bold and vigorous measure calculated, perhaps, to meet a great and sudden emergency. It had been proposed when there seemed to be a pressing necessity to do something for Ireland; when they were assailed on every side by clamours of distress, by tales of hideous misery and suffering, by begging landlords, by imploring priests, by penitent repealers and agitators, all calling aloud for assistance from England. Then it was supposed that thousands were dying of hunger, that myriads would perish if unassisted. Men were at their wits' ends as to what ought to be done for Ireland, and no two were agreed upon the subject. At that period, Lord George Bentinck had stood forward. He had manfully submitted his plan, such as it was, to the house; he supported it by every argument he could think of; it was patiently discussed, without party feeling; his arguments were carefully examined, ably met, and refuted; he was therefore abandoned by half of those, whom he had looked upon as his party, and his scheme was rejected

by a majority of three to one. After so signal a defeat, who had expected to hear again of the measure of the noble lord?"

Sir James Graham touched upon the financial condition of the country. The prospects, he said, might be somewhat better than when this subject was last under discussion. The exchanges were not so unfavourable, the drain of bullion seemed to have ceased. But, on the other hand, the importation of corn within the last month had been greater than at any former period of the commercial history of this country. That large importation must be met by payment either in specie, or by export of our manufactures. But co-incident with the extraordinary difficulty of the present moment, the high price of provisions, there was the most unfortunate circumstance of an extraordinary high price of the raw material of the staple article of our manufacture—cotton. Therefore it was to be anticipated that we should have great difficulty in paying for our food by extending the export of our manufactures; and it was to be apprehended that an export of specie to a considerable extent must even yet take place."

It had been the intention of Lord George Bentinck to move an amendment to the ministerial measure, that all other railways in Ireland similarly situated as those which the government had resolved to assist, should participate in the advantage, but on the assur-

ance of the first minister, that when those railways had put themselves in a position equivalent to that in which the assisted railways stood, namely, paying up half the amount of their subscribed capital, a corresponding advance would be made to them, he relinquished his purpose. Irrespective of a triumphant vindication of his own plan in which he again naturally indulged he made on this occasion a speech full of novel and interesting details. Referring to some renewed apprehensions respecting the coming potato crop, he said: "I am not one of those who apprehend a recurrence of the blight of last year. The potato is a crop of the most delicate and hazardous description, and always has been subject to many calamities. The hot blast which passed over Ireland and England in the month of August last (1846), which in the course of forty-eight hours burnt up the crop of potatoes and deprived the root of its nourishment, bore no resemblance to the rot of the year before. The rot of 1845 did not come in with a hot blast; for it will be remembered that the year '45 was of a very sunless character, and remarkable for wet and cold. The potato rot of '45 was accompanied in all respects by circumstances and characteristics the very opposite from the destruction of last season. The rot of that year came not early in August, but in October; it came upon a crop which preserved its greenness to the latest period; it came

partially only upon a crop which was unprecedented not only in the numbers but in the largeness of the bulbs, and bore no more resemblance to the blast of last year than the wire-worm in wheat does to the blight, or the cause of the famine in 1800 to that of 1799, one crop being drowned, and the other burnt up with excessive drought. We hear these accounts to-night from Ireland of a renewal of the potato disease; but recollecting that it is written that 'while the earth remaineth, seed time and harvest shall not cease,' I, for one, feel no apprehension of the habitual or frequent return of this extraordinary potato calamity."

His observations on an opinion then becoming rife that the construction of railways had a tendency to convert floating into fixed capital are very ingenious, and well worthy attention.

"When it is alleged that the effect of this lending money to Irish railways is to destroy the finances of this country, and to convert floating capital into fixed capital, and by thus locking it up make it a permanent pressure upon England, I think far from locking up capital it can be easily shown that the result of these outlays on railways is to set capital at liberty. It is only necessary to calculate the value of the capital engaged in trade which was formerly held in suspense by the slowness of com-

munication, and compare it with the economy effected in these days through the instrumentality and speed of railways in the conveyance of goods. A greater fallacy never existed than the supposition that money laid out in railways is so much fixed capital locked up and lost to the trade of the country. We have £96,000,000 already expended in railways. I believe the gross returns of profit amount to eight millions a-year. Of this £5,000,000 is derived from passengers' traffic, and £3,000,000 from goods' traffic. But we have only to look at the ancient charges to find, that over and above the time saved, the cost of passenger-travelling has been reduced at least to one-third, while the carriage of goods, as compared with canal conveyance, has been reduced to one-half. Thus the goods' and passengers' traffic, to which I have referred as having been carried by railroad, at the former rates of land and water carriage, would have come to £21,000,000 instead of £8,000,000 in the last year; and the public gains the difference between these two sums. That proposition no man I think can deny; and as regards the public and the money market, instead of floating capital to the amount of £96,000,000 being converted into locked-up capital, no less than £13,000,000 a-year is economised, which reckoning it at 5 per cent. represents £260,000,000. So that far from losing, the public

are absolute gainers of £260,000,000 in the economy of the inland traffic and carrying-trade of the country."

Perhaps the advantages which a community gains by railroads in the saving of their time and money were never illustrated in a more striking manner than by the picture which he presented of their consequences in these respects on the life of an active public servant.

Mr. Robert Weale was twelve years employed as an assistant poor-law commissioner, during which time he travelled in the public service ninety-nine thousand six hundred and seven miles. Sixty-nine thousand of these miles were travelled by the old conveyance, and thirty thousand by railway. By the old mode the cost of travelling was 1*s.* 6 $\frac{3}{4}$ *d.* per mile, and by railway it was only 3 $\frac{1}{4}$ *d.*; so that virtually the country saved by the new mode of conveyance five-sixths of the cost of travelling. But the saving of time was still more remarkable. If the whole distance had been performed by railway it would have occupied one year, thirty weeks, and six days; if the whole had been performed by the superseded method it would have occupied four years, thirty-nine weeks, and one day. The result is that three years and nine weeks of Mr. Weale's life would have been saved, while the advantage to the public

would have been that the whole cost would only have been £1344, instead of £7735. So that this active public servant would have saved three years and a half of his life, and the country £5390 in his travelling expences alone.

The bill was read a second time, at one o'clock, by a very large majority.

CHAPTER XXI.

WHATEVER may have been the cause of the monetary malady of 1847, one thing is evident, that it was not anticipated by those who would be deemed most competent to form an accurate judgment on such a topic. The ministers themselves were clearly taken by surprise; indeed, with a commendable ingenuousness, they omitted no opportunity of impressing upon the country their astonishment and perplexity. It was clearly with them a state of things which ought not to have occurred, and which must be transient. Never, according to ministers, was trade in a sounder state; commerce legitimate, speculation dormant, stocks low. The disasters terminated by a committee of inquiry into the causes of commercial distress, moved for by the chancellor of the exchequer, who himself drew the report of the committee which represented to the house that one

of the causes of this distress was reckless commercial speculation.

On the 1st of March (1847), the government raising a loan of eight millions to meet their Irish expenditure, the contractors of the loan proposed to discount their instalments which would have immediately placed the exchequer in the possession of ample funds; the ministry confident in their resources rejected at once the proposition. On the 7th of May, the chancellor of the exchequer with an empty treasury had to appeal to parliament for an act to authorise an advance of interest by way of discount for prompt payments on the loan, which he had ultimately to receive on much less advantageous terms than those rejected two months previously.

On the 30th of April when proposing the vote of money for the construction of Irish railways the chancellor of the exchequer alluded to "the panic and alarm which had prevailed for some days past in the city, and also in several parts of the country," and which he gave it as his opinion to be "utterly and altogether without foundation." He said also on that occasion, "it is clear that the effort which the bank of England thought it necessary to make has been made. It is now over." Little more than a week after he was himself in a state of "panic and alarm" in the house of commons, proposing for the second time in the course of the session to raise the

rate of interest on exchequer bills, "as indispensably necessary for the sake of the credit of the government."

Throughout the spring the government repeated their resolution, amid the cheers of Sir Robert Peel, not to interfere with the provisions of the bank act of 1844; "they were more and more convinced by the discussions which took place upon it of the policy and expediency of maintaining that act," although the merchants of London informed them "that for ten days there was a total want of the means of obtaining accommodation by the most solvent houses upon the most undoubted security," and when not one shilling could be raised upon £60,000 worth of silver, which was a legal tender in most parts of the civilised world. Nevertheless, in the autumn of the same year, after houses had fallen to the amount of £15,000,000, and a reign of terror prevailed, the governor of the bank representing to the treasury that he could be no longer responsible for the consequences, the ministers suddenly changed their resolution and authorised the directors of the bank to violate the law.

It may be questioned whether Sir Robert Peel and his followers were more clear and correct in their perception of the cause of the convulsion. It was Mr. Goulburn who, in the debate of the 30th of April on the government grant for Irish railways, first

threw out the idea in parliament that the "monetary difficulty" was mainly occasioned by the conversion of the floating capital of the country into fixed capital, the consequence, as he said, of the extraordinary and undue prevalence of the railway system. But no persons were more responsible for the extraordinary and undue prevalence of the railway system than the ministry of Sir Robert Peel. The speech which most stimulated those speculations was made in parliament in 1845, by that eminent statesman himself. It encouraged what even in those riotous days was looked upon with distrust by many—the reckless construction of competition lines. These sentiments were repeated by the same minister in not certainly so august a place but with circumstances perhaps still more calculated to influence opinion, when he himself cut the first sod of one of the most considerable and the most imprudent of these undertakings. Sir Robert Peel even, as minister, sanctioned a violation of the rules of the house of commons and made attendance on railway business compulsory, that no time should be lost in forwarding these projects: himself too particularly impressing on the youth of England, some of whom were then beginning to show symptoms of insubordination, that they should devote their energies to railway committees and not to empty rhetoric. Was Sir Robert unaware of the danger of converting floating into fixed capital in

1845? If so, it must be acknowledged as a remarkable want of prescience in a man of such great capacity, who for forty years one would have supposed had been meditating on the nature of capital and currency.

But on the 30th of April ('47), notwithstanding his condemnation of this "locking up of this floating capital, and withdrawing it thereby from the manufacture of exportable articles, leading to a still greater demand for bullion to pay for the commodities, which we are obliged to import," Mr. Goulburn did not appear to apprehend any very grievous consequences from the process, for he agreed with the chancellor of the exchequer, that "the present was an exaggerated alarm arising from some misconduct on the part of those to whom the management of the monetary transactions of this country are committed." This would clearly rather hint at an ill-management of currency than of capital. Sir Robert Peel also threw all the blame upon the bank; but the bank deals with the currency of the country, not the capital.

The Irish loan was taken on the 1st of March by the two most eminent commercial houses in the world. They of course did not anticipate when they gave $89\frac{1}{2}$ for £100, three per cent. consols, that their scrip within a fortnight would be at a discount, and that the prices of £100 three per cent.

consols at the end of April would be 85 $\frac{7}{8}$. Yet was it probable that the contractors for the loan entered into such vast engagements without a due estimate of the amount of floating capital in the monetary world? Is it to be supposed that the effect of investments in railroads had not been narrowly watched and duly considered by individuals habituated to such observations on the most extensive scale? That men with such varied means of information as Mr. Rothschild and so prudent and acute as Mr. Thomas Baring, should have remained in such deplorable ignorance of the resources of the money market?

The seed sown by Mr. Goulburn on the 30th of April was not lost, and on the 10th of May following we find the chancellor of the exchequer among other ingenuous observations, assuring the house "on authority upon which he could rely, that the large sum of money which has been advanced on railroad shares has affected to a considerable extent the amount of available capital, which would otherwise have been employed in discounting bills."

Lord George Bentinck was greatly opposed to this view, that the "monetary difficulty" was occasioned by investments in railway enterprise. He broke ground upon this head on the night of the 25th of April, when the chancellor of the exchequer made his first and unsuccessful attempt to pass the vote for

the Irish railways. Adverting to exchequer bills being then at a discount, when matters, he said, had been brought to such a pass, it might well be asked if this could truly be ascribed to the effect of railway advances, or whether it were not with better foundation to be ascribed to the exportation of gold, which was sent instead of manufactures out of the country, as had always been predicted, to pay for unrestricted imports? Had railway speculation brought exchequer bills to four per cent. discount? The bank of England encountered no such difficulties in August last, when it was freely discounting at $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. though railway bills had just then passed parliament, which involved an expenditure of £120,000,000. It was the bank act which was grinding the trade and commerce of the country to dust, by forcing the bank to contract its issues against the wish of the bank directors. It was a fair-weather law not suited to times like these. He advised the government to give the bank directors a proper discretion before thousands of bankrupts came knocking at their doors. On the 30th of April, he followed up the same train of thought, making the calculation which we have noticed as to the number of times capital is turned in a domestic investment compared with a foreign one, and the comparative pressure on the money market of investments for the respective purposes.

It was then also, vindicating the directors of the bank of England from the criticism of the chancellor of the exchequer, he said: "It is said 'corporations have no souls;' I know not how this may be; but if corporations have no souls I am sure cabinets have no hearts." And then he asked the chancellor of the exchequer if the bank of England had restricted their issues at an earlier period, what would have been the price of his exchequer bills, and still more, what would have been the price at which he would have raised his eight million loan. "It can be no more right," he concluded, "that the bank of England should be tied down before hand to a particular amount of issues under various circumstances, than it would be right to pass a law obliging ships at all times and in all weathers to carry either studding-sails or tri-sails. By this law we are placed in this extraordinary position, that though trade is in danger of being destroyed for want of the assistance of the bank, and though the bank is most willing and anxious to give trade that assistance, she is shackled by the operation of this law. It is just as though when one strong man were standing on the bank of a river in which another was drowning, the law were to step in and bind the willing and ready arms of him on the bank to make it impossible to save the other who was drowning."

On the 2nd May he wrote thus to Mr. Ichabod Wright, an eminent country banker and also the accomplished translator of Dante, "Your 'exposition of Sir Robert Peel's bank charter act,' puts the matter in a more concise form and in a more clear point of view than anything I have yet heard spoken or seen written.

"If it be not taking too great a liberty, I would venture to ask your opinion of the comparative pressure created upon the money-market here by a million sterling borrowed to be employed in railways in England or Ireland, with that created by a million of sovereigns in gold sent to the United States to pay for corn and cotton, to Cuba, Porto Rico or Bahia, to pay for sugar; or to Odessa for grain, or to St. Petersburg for hemp or tallow.

"I have ventured in the house of commons to assert that a £5 note kept at home is turned over thirteen times upon a rough average in the course of a year, and that assuming this to be anything near the mark as regards a £5 note, a sovereign kept at home would probably change hands twenty times in the same time, whilst a sovereign which goes to the United States, to Brazil, or to Russia, in payment for the produce of those countries, would not find its way back may be for twelve months or more, whilst under the bank charter act, each exported million of sovereigns virtually by causing them to be with-

drawn from circulation takes a million sterling in bank of England notes upon its back; and from this I argue, that, assuming thirteen as the basis of my calculation, the pressure of each million of sovereigns exported would be equal to that created by twenty-six millions borrowed to be expended in reproductive works, such as railways, drainage, &c., in Great Britain or Ireland.

“Assuming the sovereign employed at home to be turned over twenty times in the course of the year, and the exported sovereign engaged in the purchase of foreign commodities to be absent twelve months, I argue that a million of sovereigns sent to foreign countries with the million in bank notes simultaneously withdrawn under Sir Robert Peel’s bank charter act would make money as scarce at home for general purposes as £40,000,000 borrowed to be expended, and expended as soon as borrowed, on domestic works of railway constructions or drainage.

“A right solution of this important question would be a most valuable piece of knowledge, and must plead my excuse for venturing to trouble you and to intrude upon you with the inquiry.

“The first estimate to be made is how often a sovereign employed at home, is turned over in the course of a year.

“The second, how long upon an average, under

such circumstances as the present, will it be before the sovereigns weekly exported in payment for corn, cotton, hemp, sugar, and tallow, return home ; and thirdly, whether the comparative pressure upon the money market at home may justly be estimated upon this basis."

On the 7th May, on which day the chancellor of the exchequer made his first formal statement respecting the monetary pressure, preliminary to his proposing on the following Monday his two measures ; viz., that the interest on exchequer bills should be raised to 3 per cent., and that the contractors for the loan should have the power of discounting their instalments, Lord George wrote again to the same gentleman.

"I am extremely obliged to you for your letter of Tuesday, which handles the matter with your usual perspicuity.

"Of course any such calculation as that which I asked you to make must necessarily be a very rough and vague estimate ; all practical and commercial men whom I have consulted agree with you in thinking that the estimate, that a £5 note is turned over thirteen times, and a sovereign upon an average when kept at home twenty times is underrated. This makes my argument the stronger ; it was my wish not to exaggerate, the proposition being in itself a novel and a startling one, and I rejoice to

find all whose opinions are worth having are agreed in thinking the basis of my argument is sound.

“There must be an immense number of sovereigns and £5 notes laid by in labourers, mechanics, and little farmer’s houses for many weeks for rent. I imagine a large portion of these persons never are at the trouble to put these weekly surplus earnings in any bank, but keep them in a drawer, in their pockets, or in an old stocking; in Ireland in the thatch of their cabins.

“You are quite right: I made an oversight in calculating the notes withdrawn upon the backs, or rather in the retinue of the sovereigns as equal to sovereigns. I forgot they were £5 notes, and not £1 notes.

“This question of the bank charter and the drain of gold to pay for unreciprocated free trade importations from foreign countries are the subjects which must necessarily engross public attention for the next twelve months.”

We have adverted to the debate of the 10th of May. It was an extremely interesting one. Notwithstanding the renewed assurances of the chancellor of the exchequer, that “things were easier in the money-market than they were,” Lord George Bentinck again attacked the restrictions on the discretion of the directors of the bank of England contained in

the act of 1844, and said that unless they at once enabled the government to establish that discretion, he saw greater evils a-head. Illustrating the influence of credit in all monetary transactions he said, "I will give an instance of the effect of credit, and the way in which it would be affected by a repeal of the restrictive clause in the bank act. Lately the bank of France and the trade of France were very much in the same predicament as that in which we now are; the bank of France then succeeded in obtaining a loan of £800,000 from this country. Well, no sooner was that known than confidence was restored, and trade revived so much that the bank of France was enabled to forego the last instalment of that loan."

The most remarkable speech, however, that was made in the course of these debates was that of Mr. Thomas Baring; viewed both with reference to the point at issue, the discretion of the bank, and to the consequences of our not mitigating the present system. His observations showed in the first place that, as a financier, he had well considered the influence on the money market of all those circumstances which now were alleged by the ultra-bullionists as the causes of its disorder: large foreign imports, domestic speculation, even the mismanagement of the bank. All these, if they had happened now, had happened before; but "there never had

happened before that total want of means upon even the best possible security to meet the pressing engagements of the day which had lately been experienced." Mr. Baring said, "that he did not know that he should ever be able to answer the question put by Sir Robert Peel 'what is a pound?' but this much he could say, that having for a long time been engaged in commercial affairs, and having rather attentively considered them, he could state what facts were; and he knew that for ten days there was a total want of the means of obtaining accommodation by the most solvent houses upon the most undoubted security, and that because the bank of England by its charter was not permitted to afford it." He noticed the instance of the possessor of £60,000 of silver who was unable to obtain the slightest advance upon it. "They came to the bank to sell and the bank refused to buy." It was not a question of price with the bank but a question affecting its own safety. The bank could only issue notes upon silver to the extent of one-fifth of the bullion in the bank. "But it might be said, 'Why not ship the metal to Paris or Hamburgh and draw against it?' But no one had money wherewith to take a bill of exchange. Then it might be said, 'Send it and get returns for it.' But suppose that bills having a fortnight to run were sent in return to London, they would be refused discount. If such proceedings on the part of this

country were not productive of so much inconvenience they would be held up to ridicule by foreign countries."

It was the opinion of Mr. Baring, that either there should be a discretion placed somewhere or in a great emergency like the present, when there was a drain of gold to meet an unavoidable want, the bank should have a greater liberty of using its securities for the issue of notes. At present, he showed, the country had exported £7,000,000 in gold, and the property of the country had been depreciated £100,000,000 in value. When they made the interest of money 3 per cent. in August and 13 per cent. in April, they made trade the greatest lottery in the world. It was not because we had eight or ten millions to pay to foreign countries that such an effect ought to be produced upon our commerce as to make our most solid and prudent institutions tremble.

When we consider the position of the speaker, not only as the first merchant of Great Britain but as a director of the very institution whose conduct was called in question, and recall the terrible vicissitudes of the following autumn, there is something very striking when he observed, "Hitherto there has been a real pressure, but not yet a panic. But there may be a panic, if credit be still refused. Hitherto there has not been a whisper of discredit against

the commercial body. But the house must not fancy that their resources have not been disturbed and that great sacrifices have not been made to meet difficulties which have not been of their own creation."

That panic came, and the ministry took the responsibility of authorising the non-observance of the stern law which had occasioned a financial reign of terror. The law was broken, but after having accomplished awful devastation. The bank act was not planned by men learned in human nature. The passions have something to do even with the mart and the exchange. Mankind is governed by hope and fear; and if a law deprives a community of confidence, the minister will tremble before a nation in despair and will himself violate the enactment which he has been most obstinate to enforce.

CHAPTER XXII.

THOSE who throw their eye over the debates of the session of '47, cannot fail to be struck by the variety of important questions in the discussion of which Lord George Bentinck took a leading or prominent part. And it must be borne in mind that he never offered his opinion on any subject which he had not diligently investigated and attempted to comprehend in all its bearings. His opponents might object to his principles or challenge his conclusions, but no one could deny that his conclusions were drawn from extensive information and that his principles were clear and distinct. He spared no pains to acquire by reading, correspondence, and personal research, the most authentic intelligence on every subject in debate. He never chattered. He never uttered a sentence in the house of commons which did not convey a conviction or a fact. He was too

profuse indeed with his facts: he had not the art of condensation. But those who have occasion to refer to his speeches and calmly to examine them, will be struck by the amplitude and the freshness of his knowledge, the clearness of his views, the coherence in all his efforts, and often, a point for which he never had sufficient credit, by his graphic idiom.

The best speech on the affairs of Cracow, for example, the most vigorous and the best informed, touching all the points with a thorough acquaintance, was that of Lord George Bentinck. The discussion on Cracow which lasted several nights and followed very shortly after the defeat of his Irish bill appeared to relate to a class of subjects which would not have engaged his attention; but on the contrary, he had given days and nights to this theme, had critically examined all the documents, and conferred with those qualified to supply him with any supplementary information requisite. He spoke several times this session on questions connected with our foreign affairs, and always impressed the house with a conviction that he was addressing it with a due study of his subject: as for example, his speech against our interference in Portugal, and the statement in which he brought forward the claims of the holders of Spanish bonds on the government of Spain before the house of commons. In the instance of Portugal, a motion of censure on the conduct of ministers had been introduced by Mr.

me, and the government were only saved from a majority by the friendly interposition of Mr. Dundas, who proposed an amendment to the motion of Mr. Hume which broke the line of the liberal force. Lord George Bentinck in this case followed Mr. May, whose speech, as was his wont, had been rich in historical illustration: "The right honourable and learned member for Edinburgh," Lord George replied, "had entered into a very interesting history of our interferences which had taken place in the wars of Portugal; but in making that statement he forgot to mention one circumstance which had occurred in that history; and it was this—that when Philip II. of Spain sought to conquer Portugal, the method he had recourse to for that purpose was that which he thought her majesty's ministers had successfully practised on the present occasion—he persuaded the leaders in Portugal to mix sand with powder of their troops. And so, on this occasion, her majesty's ministers had prevailed on the member for Finsbury, and those other members who were so ready to profess a love of liberty, to mix sand with powder."

In the last chapter but one we have treated at some length of the means proposed or adopted by the government for the sustenance and relief of the people of Ireland. The new poor law for that country also engaged the attention of both houses this

session. Lord George Bentinck took a very active part in these transactions, and moved the most important of all the amendments to the government measure, namely, an attempt to assimilate the poor law of Ireland as much as possible to that of England, and make the entire rates be paid by the occupying tenant. His object, he said, was to "prevent lavish expenditure and encourage profitable employment to the people." This amendment was only lost by a majority of 4.

On the 26th of March, on the government bringing forward their bill on the rum duties, Lord George Bentinck brought before the house the case of the British and Irish distillers, not with any preference or partiality towards English, Scotch, or Irish distillers over the colonial producer. "I am no advocate of any monopoly whatever. I desire only equal and exact justice between both parties; and the only way in which that end can in my opinion be properly attained is in a select committee up stairs consisting of impartial members of this house."

He often used to say that no subject ever gave him more trouble thoroughly to master than the spirit duties, and he noticed the character of the theme at the beginning of his speech. He said he required not only the most especial indulgence but even the toleration of the house, "for of all the dry and dull subjects which could possibly be introduced, the

question which it is now my misfortune to bring under the consideration of the house is the driest and the dullest. If this question had been one merely of pounds, shillings, and pence, it would have been dull and complicated enough ; but this is a question in which are concerned not pounds and shillings, but pence, and halfpence, and farthings."

The Whitsuntide holidays occurred at the end of May. It had originally been the intention of Lord George Bentinck, at the request of leading merchants and manufacturers of all parties and opinions, to have brought forward the question of the bank act after these holidays and to move a resolution that some discretionary power should be established as to the issue of notes. He thus alludes to this point in a letter to Mr. Wright of the 24th of May.

"I return you No. 1019 of the Banker's Circular with many thanks.

"This delightful and timely change in the weather will do wonders for the country, and by producing an abundant and seasonable harvest will save the country and *may save the bank charter act* ; but it is pretty well settled that I am to give notice immediately after the holidays of a resolution very much in the spirit of the memorial contained in the paper I am returning to you.

"Things are better in the city and at Liverpool, and with this weather will continue to improve ; but

it seems to me any reverse in the weather such as would occasion a late and deficient harvest could not fail to bring the commerce of the country to a dead lock.

"The opinion is gaining ground that in the present state not only of Ireland but of many districts in England the government will not venture upon a general election till after the harvest, and not then, unless the harvest should prove favourable.

"I am glad to read your opinion in opposition to Lord Ashburton's, that railways keep the gold in the country, and do not send it out. Glyn gave strong evidence last year to this effect before the railway committee."

Neither of the prospects in this letter was realised. The commercial and manufacturing interest, after the Whitsun recess, thought it advisable for reasons of great weight that Lord George Bentinck should postpone for a month or six weeks his intended motion on the bank charter, and the ministers resolved to dissolve parliament before the harvest; thus it happened that the merchants and manufacturers lost their chance of relief from the yoke, and experienced the reign of terror in the autumn, the terrible events of which ultimately occasioned the assembling of the new parliament in November.

Anticipating the immediate dissolution of parliament, Sir Robert Peel had issued an address to the

electors of Tamworth, justifying his commercial policy. In the opinion of Lord George Bentinck it set forth a statement as to the effect and operation of those financial measures which had taken place in the course of the last six years, which if left altogether unrefuted might have a dangerous tendency at the coming elections. The general effect of that statement was, that by the reduction of duties to a large extent it was possible to relieve the people of this country of burdens amounting to more than seven millions and a half sterling with little or no loss whatever to the revenue. But the truth was Sir Robert Peel in his reductions had dealt only with little more than ten millions sterling of the revenue of the country, and had left the remaining thirty-seven millions untouched. Now on that portion of the revenue with which alone he had dealt, there was a deficiency through his changes to the amount of five millions sterling, which loss was compensated by the increase on those very articles which Sir Robert had left untouched. It was the opinion of Lord George Bentinck that the conclusion which Sir Robert Peel had drawn from the comparatively barren results of the increased duties on imports, carried by the whigs in 1840, viz., that indirect taxation had reached its limit and which was indeed the basis of his new system, was a fallacy, and

that the anticipated increase of import duties had not accrued in 1840 in consequence of our having had three successive bad harvests, "and a bad cotton crop to boot," all of which had checked the consuming power of the community. Sir Robert Peel had been favoured by three successive good harvests and nearly £100,000,000 invested in six years in domestic enterprise. "The interposition of Providence," said Lord George, "is never a part of our debates."

Under these circumstances Lord George took occasion to review the commercial policy of Sir Robert Peel on the 20th July, in the house of commons, only three days before the prorogation, and in one of his most successful speeches. He was much assisted by the fact that the exports of all our staple manufactures had then greatly diminished, and of course he urged this point triumphantly. "If we had been indemnified for the dead loss of £650,000 on cotton wool by any great impulse given to our manufacturers it would be a consolation which unfortunately we could not enjoy." He traced all the consumption to railway enterprise, and showed that it alone had compensated for the fruitless loss of revenue which we had incurred in vainly stimulating the exports of our manufactures, which had actually diminished. He was so impressed with the import-

ance that, "on the eve of a dissolution, such a statement as that of Sir Robert Peel should not go forth to the country uncontroverted, as in that case the necessary result would be that the people would come to the opinion that they might abolish taxes altogether and yet maintain the revenue," that he sat up all night writing an address to his constituents, the electors of King's Lynn, which took up nearly two columns of the newspapers, in which he presented his refutation to the public of the commercial manifesto of Tamworth, illustrated by the necessary tables and documents.

There is a sentence in this speech which as a distinct expression of policy should perhaps be quoted :

"Sir, I am one of those who seek for the repeal of the malt tax and the hop duties. I am one of those who think that the excise duties ought to be taken off. But, sir, I do not pretend, that you can repeal the malt tax, or the hop duties, or remove the soap tax without commutation for other taxes. I will not delude the people by pretending that I could take off more than seven millions and a half of taxes without replacing them by others, and not leave the nation bankrupt. But I think these reforms of Sir Robert Peel have been in a mistaken direction ; I think that revenue duties on all foreign imports ought to be maintained, and that a revenue equal to those excise

duties which I have mentioned can be levied upon the produce of foreign countries and foreign industry without imposing any greater tax than one which shall fall far short of Mr. Walker's 'perfect revenue standard of 20 per cent.' I say that by imposing a tax far less than 20 per cent. upon all articles of foreign import, a revenue might be derived far less burdensome to this country than that of excise, a revenue of which the burden would be largely shared in by foreign countries, and in many cases paid altogether by foreign countries."

Lord George at this time watched with great interest a novel feature in our commercial transactions. He wrote on the 29th May (1847), to Mr. Burn, the editor of the "Commercial Glance," and an individual of whose intelligence, accuracy, and zeal, he had a high and just opinion, "Could you inform me how the raw cotton purchased for exportation stands in the first three weeks of the present month of May, as compared with the corresponding periods of '46—5—4—3?"

"I observe from a cotton circular sent to me the other day, that seven thousand five hundred bags of cotton had been purchased for exportation between the 1st and 21st of May. If with reduced stocks of raw cotton we are commencing a career of increased exportation, it appears to me to involve very serious

consequences for our cotton manufactures as growing out of the existing monetary difficulties of the manufacturers.

"If you could answer me these queries within the next three or four days, I should feel greatly obliged to you."

Again, on the 22nd July, on the point of going down to his constituents he was still pursuing his inquiries in the same quarter. "I want particularly to compare," he says to Mr. Burn, "the export of the last ten weeks of raw cotton with the corresponding ten weeks of '46 and '45, and at the same time to compare the importations and deliveries into the hands of the manufacturers during these same periods.

"Pray address me, Lynn, Norfolk, where I go on Saturday, and shall remain till after my election on Thursday."

He writes again from Lynn, with great thanks for the information which had been accordingly forwarded to him there. "Might I ask you to give me an account of the cotton wool imported weekly into Liverpool, and also the quantity sold to dealers, exporters, and speculators in the three corresponding weeks of '45—46.

"This information by return of post would greatly oblige me."

On the 23rd of July, 1847, the last day of the second parliament of Queen Victoria, Lord George went down to the house of commons early, and took the opportunity of making a statement respecting the condition of our sugar producing colonies, which were now experiencing the consequences of the unjustifiable legislation of the preceding year. He said there were appearances in the political horizon which betokened that he should not be able to obtain a select committee in the present session, and therefore, if he had the honour of a seat in the next parliament, he begged to announce that he would take the earliest occasion to move for a committee to inquire into the present power of our colonies to compete with those countries which have still the advantage of the enforced labour of slaves. The returns just laid upon the table of the house could leave no doubt, he thought, on any man's mind on that point. Since the emancipation, the produce of sugar by the colonies from '31 to '46, had been reduced one half, and of rum and coffee had been reduced to one fourth. When the act of last year, which admitted slave grown sugar was introduced the allegation of the English colonies that they could not compete with the labour of slaves was denied. The proof of that allegation was that they were already overwhelmed.

When one recalls all to which this speech led, the most memorable effort of that ardent, energetic, life to which it was perhaps fatal, one can scarcely observe the origin of such vast exertions without emotion.

The under secretary of state replied to Lord George, making a cry of cheap sugar for the hustings which were before every body's eyes but making also this remarkable declaration, that "the Island of Mauritius was in a state of the greatest prosperity." While Lord George was speaking the cannon were heard that announced the departure of her majesty from the palace.

Then followed a motion of Mr. Banks about the sale of bread which led to some discussion. Mr. Banks threatened a division. Lord Palmerston who on this occasion was leading the house said it would be acting like a set of schoolboys, if when the black rod appeared they should be in the lobby instead of attending the speaker to the other house. But as the members seemed very much inclined to act like schoolboys, the secretary of state had to speak against time on the subject of baking. He analysed the petition, which he said he would not read through, but the last paragraph was of great importance.

At these words, the black rod knocked at the door

and duly making his appearance summoned the house to attend the queen in the house of lords, and Mr. Speaker, followed by a crowd of members, duly obeyed the summons.

In about a quarter of an hour, Mr. Speaker returned without the mace, and standing at the table read her majesty's speech to the members around, after which they retired, the parliament being prorogued. In the course of the afternoon, the parliament was dissolved by proclamation.

CHAPTER XXIII.

THE general election of 1847 did not materially alter the position of parties in the house of commons. The high prices of agricultural produce which then prevailed naturally rendered the agricultural interest apathetic, and although the rural constituencies from a feeling of esteem again returned those members who had been faithful to the protective principle, the farmers did not exert themselves to increase the number of their supporters. The necessity of doing so was earnestly impressed upon them by Lord George Bentinck, who warned them then that the pinching hour was inevitable, but the caution was disregarded, and many of those individuals, who are now the loudest in their imprecations on the memory of Sir Robert Peel, and who are the least content with the temperate course which is now recommended to them by those who have the extremely

difficult office of upholding their interests in the house of commons, entirely kept aloof, or would smile when they were asked for their support with sarcastic self-complacency, saying, "Well, Sir, do you think after all that free trade has done us so much harm?" Perhaps they think now, that if they had taken the advice of Lord George Bentinck and exerted themselves to return a majority to the house of commons, it would have profited them more than useless execrations and barren discontent. But it is observable, that no individuals now grumble so much as the farmers who voted for free traders in 1847, unless indeed it be the shipowners, every one of whom for years, both in and out of parliament, supported the repeal of the corn laws.

The protectionists maintained their numbers, though they did not increase them, in the new parliament. Lord George Bentinck however gained an invaluable coadjutor by the re-appearance of Mr. Herries in public life, a gentleman whose official as well as parliamentary experience, fine judgment, and fertile resource, have been of inestimable service to the protectionist party. The political connection which gained most were the whigs; they were much more numerous and compact, but it was in a great measure at the expense of the general liberal element, and partly at the cost of the following of Sir Robert Peel. The triumphant conservative majority of 1841

had disappeared, but the government with all shades of supporters had not an absolute majority.

Had the general election been postponed until the autumn, the results might have been very different. That storm, which had been long gathering in the commercial atmosphere, then burst like a typhoon. The annals of our trade afford no parallel for the wide-spread disaster and the terrible calamities. In the month of September, fifteen of the most considerable houses in the city of London stopped payment for between five and six millions sterling. The governor of the bank of England was himself a partner in one of these firms ; a gentleman who had lately filled that office, was another victim ; two other bank directors were included in the list. The failures were not limited to the metropolis, but were accompanied by others of great extent in the provinces. At Manchester, Liverpool, and Glasgow large firms were obliged to suspend payments. This shock of credit arrested all the usual accommodation and the pressure in the money market, so terrible in the spring, was revived. The excitement and the alarm in the city of London were so great, that when the chancellor of the exchequer hurried up to town on the 1st of October he found that the interest of money was at the rate of 60 per cent. per annum. The bank charter produced the same injurious effect as it had done in April ; it aggravated the evil by

forcing men to hoard. In vain the commercial world deplored the refusal of the government to comply with the suggestion made by Lord George Bentinck and Mr. Thomas Baring in the spring; in vain they entreated them at least now to adopt it, and to authorise the bank of England to enlarge the amount of their discounts and advances on approved security without reference to the stringent clause of the charter. The government, acting it is believed with the encouragement and sanction of Sir Robert Peel, were obstinate, and three weeks then occurred during which the commercial credit of this country was threatened with total destruction. Nine more considerable mercantile houses stopped payment in the metropolis; the disasters in the provinces were still more extensive. The royal bank of Liverpool failed; among several principal establishments in that town, one alone stopped payment for upwards of a million sterling. The havoc at Manchester was also great. The Newcastle bank and the north and south Wales bank stopped. Consols fell to $79\frac{1}{4}$, and exchequer bills were at last at 35 per cent. discount. The ordinary rate of discount at the bank of England was between 8 and 9 per cent., but out of doors accommodation was not to be obtained. In such a state of affairs, the small houses of course gave way. From their rising in the morning until their hour of retirement at night, the first

lord of the treasury and the chancellor of the exchequer were employed in seeing persons of all descriptions, who entreated them to interfere and preserve the community from universal bankruptcy. "Perish the world, sooner than violate a principle," was the philosophical exclamation of her majesty's ministers, sustained by the sympathy and the sanction of Sir Robert Peel. At last, the governor and the deputy-governor of the bank of England waited on Downing Street and said it could go on no more. The Scotch banks had applied to them for assistance. The whole demand for discount was thrown upon the bank of England. Two bill-brokers had stopped; two others were paralysed. The bank of England could discount no longer. Thanks to the bank charter they were safe and their treasury full of bullion, but it appeared that everybody else must fall, for in four-and-twenty hours the machinery of credit would be entirely stopped. The position was frightful and the government gave way. They did that on the 25th of October, after houses had fallen to the amount of fifteen millions sterling, which they had been counselled to do by Lord George Bentinck on the 25th of April. It turned out exactly as Mr. Thomas Baring had foretold. It was not want of capital or deficiency of circulation which had occasioned these awful consequences. It was sheer panic occasioned by an unwisely stringent law. No sooner

had the government freed the bank of England from that stringency than the panic ceased. The very morning the letter of licence from the government to the bank of England appeared, thousands and tens of thousands of pounds sterling were taken from the hoards, some from boxes deposited with bankers although the depositors would not leave the notes in their bankers' hands. Large parcels of notes were returned to the bank of England cut into halves, as they had been sent down into the country, and so small was the real demand for an additional quantity of currency, that the whole amount taken from the bank, when the unlimited power of issue was given, was under £400,000, and the bank consequently never availed itself of the privilege which the government had accorded it. The restoration of confidence produced an ample currency, and that confidence had solely been withdrawn from the apprehension of the stringent clauses of the bank charter act of 1844.

These extraordinary events had not occurred unnoticed by Lord George Bentinck. The two subjects that mostly engaged his attention after the general election were the action of the bank charter and the state of our sugar colonies. Perhaps it would be best to give some extracts from his correspondence at this period. He was a good letter-writer, easy and clear. His characteristic love of details also rendered this style of communication interesting. It

is not possible to give more than extracts and it is necessary to omit all those circumstances which generally in letter-reading are most acceptable. His comments on men and things are naturally free and full, and he always endeavoured for the amusement of his correspondents to communicate the social gossip of the hour. But although all this must necessarily be omitted his letters may afford some illustrations of his earnestness and energy, the constancy of his aim and the untiring vigilance with which he pursued his object—especially those which are addressed to gentlemen engaged in commercial pursuits who co-operated with him in his investigations.

TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, August 30, 1847.

An answer is come out to my address to my constituents at King's Lynn, and to my speech in answer to Peel's manifesto. Pray read it. At first I thought I could swear to its being * * * * I now think I can swear to its being * * * *; the servility to Peel, and the official red-tape style would equally do for either; but the no popery page I think fixes it on * * * *.

I think it wretchedly weak, and have written some notes on the margin, showing up the principal points. The nine months' famine of 1846-47 as contrasted with

Peel's famine, shows a difference of between £6,000,000 and £7,000,000; that is to say, on the balance in the nine months 1845-46, Ireland exported about three millions' worth of breadstuffs, and not a soul died of famine. In the nine months, 1846-47, she imported three millions' sterling worth of breadstuffs, which insufficed to prevent one million—or say half a million—of the people from dying of starvation.

At present I have seen no notice of the pamphlet in any of the newspapers: if it is, either ****'s, or ****'s, or ****'s, we shall see it reviewed in Times, Chronicle, and Spectator.

The bank of England have raised the interest on ****'s mortgage one-third per cent., making an additional annual charge of £1,500 a year to him. I am very sorry for him, but I know nothing so likely to rouse the landed aristocracy from their apathy and to weaken their idolatry of Peel so much, as this warning note of the joint operation of his free trade and restrictive currency laws.

TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, September 2, 1847.

I think it is ****. The trickster, I observe, has carefully reduced the pounds of cotton to cwts., in the hopes of concealing a great fraud to which he has condescended; taking, in the whig year of 1841, the home consumption of cotton, whilst in Peel's year he gives entire importation as the home consumption, representing both as home consumption.

In Peel's year, 1846, officially we have only the gross importation; but in the whig year, 1841, the entire importation and the home consumption are given separately: the importation exceeding the home consumption by fifty million pounds. Burn's Glance, however, gives the importation and home consumption for both years—unfortunately, however, not in lbs. or cwts., but in bags. ***'s fraud, however, is not the less apparent. These are the figures:—

		Imported.	Consumed at home.
		Bags.	Bags.
1840	. . Whig years . .	1,599,343	1,274,729
1841		1,341,659	1,118,717
1842		1,384,894	1,221,693
1843		1,556,982	1,357,662
1844	. . Peel's years . .	1,479,331	1,427,482
1845		1,855,660	1,577,617
1846		1,243,706	1,561,232

So that he selects a whig year when the home consumption was 220,000 bags under the importation, and a year for Peel when the importation exceeded the home consumption by 280,000 bags, and claps down the figures as alike describing the home consumption.

None of the Peel papers have taken up the subject—if they should the Morning Post will answer the pamphlet; but I should like to have mine back again in order that I may furnish them with the notes.

**** was with me this morning, and called my attention to the circumstance that the author starts with "We," but drops into the singular number; **** fancies it is

Peel himself, but the page on endowment fixes it on
*****.

Lord L * * * * means, I presume, that Peel's savage hatred is applied to the protectionist portion of his old party, not of course to the janissaries and renegade portion.

The following letter was in reply to one of a friend who had sent him information, several days before they occurred, of the great failures that were about to happen in the city of London. The list was unfortunately quite accurate, with the exception indeed of the particular house respecting which Lord George quotes the opinion of Baron Rothschild.

TO A FRIEND.

Welbeck, September 17, 1847.

A thousand thanks for your letter, the intelligence in which created a great sensation at Doncaster.

As yet none of the houses appear to have failed except S * * * *. Baron Rothschild was at Doncaster. I talked with him on the subject; he seemed not to doubt the probable failure of any of the houses you named, except * * * * *. He declared very emphatically "that * * * * * house was as sound as any house in London."

Lord Fitzwilliam declares "it is no free trade without free trade in money."

Lord Clanricarde is here—laughs at the idea of parliament meeting in October; but talks much of the difficulties

Ireland—says he does not see how the rates are to be paid.

Messrs. Drummond are calling in their mortgages. I expect to hear that this practice will be general; money dear, corn cheap, incumbrances enhanced, and rents depressed, what will become of the apathetic country gentleman? I judge from *****'s language that Lord John Russell will stand or fall by the bank charter act; that he feels very apprehensive of being unable to maintain it.

I agree with Bonham in thinking that the protectionist party is smashed for the present parliament; but I must say I think protectionist principles and policy are likely to come into repute again far sooner than was expected; and though Peel's party be a compact body and formidable in the house of commons, I cannot think that there appears anything in the working of his measures to make it likely that they should be soon again carried into power on the shoulders of the people. I think his political reputation must ebb rather before it can rise again, if it should ever rise again. ***** thought him "broken and in low spirits" when he met him at Longshaw; but Lord ***** who was present at the same time came away more Peelite than ever, and told them at Brethby that Sir Robert said, "That he was quite surprised at the number of letters he got every day from members returned to parliament, saying they wanted to vote with him."

You may rely upon it the Peelites are very sanguine that they will be in power again almost directly. We must keep them out.

TO MR. BURN, EDITOR OF THE COMMERCIAL
GLANCE.

Welbeck, September 28, 1847.

To the many courtesies you have already bestowed upon me, I will sincerely thank you to add that of informing me what have been the estimated cotton crops in the United States in each of the last four years. I would also thank you to inform me the comparative importation, home consumption, re-exportation, and stocks on hand of cotton of the first seven months of the current and three preceding years.

~~~~~  
TO MR. BURN.

Welbeck, October 4, 1847.

Your statistics have reached me in the very nick of time, and are invaluable. I care nothing about "outsides," it is "insides," I look to; give me a good "heart," and I don't care how rough the "*bark*" is.

Anything so good I fear to spoil by suggesting the most trivial addition, else I should say it would be an interesting feature to classify the exports of cotton goods, &c. &c., under three heads:

1st. To the British colonies and British possessions abroad.

2nd. To the northern States of Europe, France, Spain, Germany, Italy, &c. &c. The United States of America, and other countries having high tariffs.

3rd. To China, Turkey, Africa, and the southern States of America, and countries with low tariffs.

I fear these failures of East and West India houses must entail great distress upon Manchester, and the manufacturing interests generally. You have given an account of the bankruptcies in the cotton trade during a long series of years till last year inclusive; are you able to say how the first nine months of the current year stands in comparison with its predecessors?

I so highly prize your new work that I must ask for a dozen copies to distribute among my friends.

P.S. I have already parted with the copy you sent me; may I therefore beg another without waiting for any other binding.

---

TO A FRIEND.

Welbeck, October 5, 1847

I shall go up to town on Friday evening, in my way to Newmarket, and shall be at Harcourt House all Saturday and Sunday, and shall be delighted to see you, and have a thorough good talk with you. Free trade seems working mischief faster than the most fearful of us predicted, and Manchester houses, as I am told, "failing in rows," ashamed to do penance in public are secretly weeping in sackcloth and ashes, and heartily praying that Peel and Cobden had been hanged before they were allowed to ruin the country.

Money at Manchester is quoted one and a quarter per cent. for ten days: £45 12s. 6d. per cent. per annum!

## TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, October 22, 1847.

I have this moment got a note from Stuart, telling me that "the chancellor has this afternoon sent out his notice of the business to be taken in his own court during Michaelmas term, that is, from the 2nd of November till the 26th, and *below it* THERE IS THIS NOTICE—*except those days on which the lord chancellor may sit in the house of lords!!!*"

Surely this must portend a November session.

---

## TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, October 23, 1847.

The fat banker's gossip is all stuff. Peel goes to Windsor to-day, I believe on an invitation of some standing. \* \* \* \*, who had been dining at Palmerston's last night, tells me that he does not think that ministers mean calling parliament together, and is confident they mean to maintain the bank charter act. There have been some first-rate articles and letters in the Morning Chronicle lately on this subject.

---

## TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, November 6, 1847.

I will stay over Tuesday that I may have the pleasure of a thorough talk with you.

I am told things are gradually getting better. I expect, however, a fresh reverse about six weeks or two months hence, when the returned lists of the stoppages in the East and West Indies, consequent upon the late failures here, come home. The western bank of Scotland is whispered about. If that were to fail, it might bring the canny Scots to their senses ; but they are a headstrong race.

---

## TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, November 11, 1847.

I thank you for your very valuable information, which presents a frightful picture of the cotton trade, unless as winter (the season for warm clothing) approaches, the exports of cotton goods, as a matter of course, concede their place to woollens ; but this should not affect India and China. It may be, however, that July and August are always great months of exports, which diminish in September, and fall off still more extensively in October.

If it were not trespassing too much upon your time and courtesy, I would ask you for the comparative accounts of 1844, '45, and '46. The report you have sent me does not include Europe. The exports to the north of Europe have, I presume, ceased for the winter ; but the south of Europe, France, Belgium, Holland, and Germany, remain open to our trade. How shows the picture of the trade with those countries ?

The foreign West Indies alone display a flattering result of trade ; but the falling off in the trade to the United States, unless it be accounted for by the approach of winter,

seems otherwise quite unaccountable, considering the unprecedented importations of produce from that country. The opening of the sugar trade with the foreign West Indies, and the transfer of the sugar trade from the East Indies, Mauritius, and British West Indies, to Cuba and Brazil, would naturally account for the increase of exports to the foreign West Indies; but why has not the same rule favourably affected the trade with the United States in the same way? Is it the high protective tariff of the United States, or is it that money being scarce and dear in England, and comparatively plentiful and cheap in the United States, the American manufacturers having stepped into the vacated shoes of British capitalists, are now beating us with our old weapons? We used to beat the world by means of our superior and commanding capital. The unfavourable balance of trade has transferred our capital to the other side of the Atlantic. Are American mill-owners successfully setting up rival factories with £7,000,000 of English gold? I fear it looks like it.

Another important and lamentable circumstance in the report you send me is the increased export, in the face of diminished stocks and diminished home consumption, of raw cotton; to which it would appear that the further misfortune seems to be added of an enormous export at a loss. I make out that in the course of the present year one hundred and seventeen thousand nine hundred and forty bales of raw cotton, or thereabouts, have been exported under a continuance of falling prices, and of prices lower at Liverpool than at New Orleans. If I am right in this conjecture, and that the loss averages but  $\frac{1}{2}$ d. per pound, the Liverpool merchants must this year have lost on this por-

tion of their trade full £120,000, sacrificed to the advantage of the foreigner, to operate hereafter absolutely as a bounty upon foreign manufactures. Am I right or am I wrong in this surmise, and the conclusion I come to upon it?

My Liverpool correspondents assure me that at this moment they are selling  $1\frac{1}{4}d.$  below the price at New Orleans, and  $2d.$  per pound below the *actual cost price*! If this however be not an exaggerated view of the present doings, I presume it would certainly not afford any correct view of the average transactions of the year as regards the export of raw cotton.

Without giving yourself too much trouble, could you ascertain for me the comparative expenditure for the relief of the poor in Manchester in the first weeks respectively of November 1826, 1838, 1840, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, and 47. I should like also very much to know what number of persons are employed in Manchester in the construction of locomotive engines and railway carriages, and the existing state of that trade as regards prosperity or slackness. A great cry is raised for the stoppage of railway works; but it does seem to me that if one hundred thousand railway navigators were thrown out of work, and the manufacture of locomotives and railway carriages was to be proportionably diminished, not only a great branch of the home consumption of Manchester goods would be disabled, but there would be too much reason to fear another great class of operatives would be thrown out of work, and upon the poor rates of Manchester, even in aggravation of the existing and appalling distress.

If it is not too much abusing your willing courtesy, I should like very much to be practically instructed on the

various points on which I have treated in this letter. One other point I would inquire about. It is this. How are the goods exported to the British West Indies and to the East Indies in October to be paid for, the East India and West India bills having all gone back dishonoured? It seems to me the mischief will not be over till we hear what has been the fate of these consignments to the British colonies in East and West Indies.

Canada's "time" too must be near at hand. Her flour trade superseded by that of New York, and her timber beaten down in price by that of the Baltic, she will be placed in very much the same position with the Mauritius and Dominica. I always expected the sugar-planting colonies would fall first, and I placed the British Canadas second, the recoil of their ruin falling upon Manchester and the West Riding of Yorkshire. I scarcely expected that the manufacturing interests would take precedence in the march of ruin of the British colonies and British agriculture. This last has been saved for a time by the potato failure in Ireland. A couple of years more of favourable harvests over the world will bring the English corn grower into the condition of the British sugar planter. Then will follow the diminished home consumption of British manufactures in the track of colonial export. And then will come such a state of things as every man who loves England may well shudder to think of. The strong convictions I have on this subject must plead my excuse to you for presuming to tax your time, which I know is your income and fortune, by asking you for so much information.

---

## TO A FRIEND.

Welbeck, November 14, 1847.

I estimate the rise of one and a half per cent. in the interest of money as equivalent to an increased annual charge upon the land alone of the three kingdoms of £12,000,000 at the lowest, and taking houses, mills, mines, trade and commerce, £25,000,000 at the least, to be annually transferred from the land, house, and mineral property, the trade, commerce, and manufactures of the country, to the monied interest—to the money changers and usurers—to Jones Lloyd, Peel and Co. This must be well scrutinised, and if it bears examination, it must be constantly dinned into the ears of the British people.

I send you back John Manners's sensible and spirited letter. I am low-spirited for want of such comrades in arms as this very John Manners. I am low-spirited at seeing the party occupying itself about the admission or exclusion of an individual from parliament, at a moment when the greatest commercial empire of the world is engaged in a life and death struggle for existence. It is tea-table twaddling, more becoming a pack of old maids than a great party aspiring to govern an empire upon which the sun never sets.

I think the East and West India question must prove a puzzle for the government—Lord Grey's government bank in the Mauritius, with his 10s. assignats! The Mauritius fed by the government, and the West Indies in no better plight, will bring the country to its senses.

---



In consequence of the government having authorised the infringement of a law, the new parliament was summoned to meet on the 8th of November. The choice of a speaker and the swearing in of members occupied the house of commons until the 23rd, when a speech by command of her majesty was delivered by the lords commissioners. It was the wish of Lord George Bentinck that there should be an amendment to the address with reference to the bank charter, but amendments to the address are generally so unfortunate, and the effort of the protectionist party in this respect in the last session of the late parliament not having proved an exception to the rule, he was dissuaded from the attempt, which he always very much regretted. He took the opportunity however of bringing forward the condition of the country in a comprehensive speech.

He said that "so gloomy a speech had never been made by any sovereign to her people as that which they were then considering;" that the disappointment of the country would be bitter if the address to the crown were assented to without a full explanation by the government of all the circumstances which led to their letter of licence to the bank of England. In an early period of the last session of the late parliament, the chancellor of the exchequer, in bringing his financial budget before the house, pronounced a high eulogium on the bank charter act. The

house would recollect that the chancellor was loudly called upon in the spring by many on the opposition benches, by a petition from the merchants of London, and by various petitions from the merchants and manufacturers of almost every city throughout England and Scotland to relax the restrictions of that act, but the answer of the chancellor of the exchequer was that the worst was over, and though it had been the intention of Lord George Bentinck to have moved the repeal or the mitigation of that act, the unexpected announcement of an early dissolution of parliament left no time for such a course. It would also be recollected that towards the end of September, a deputation from Newcastle waited on the chancellor of the exchequer at a period when already the value of the property of the houses that had failed in London, Liverpool, and Glasgow approached nearly ten millions sterling, that they, foreseeing that increased difficulties were coming, applied to the government to remove this bank restriction which made it difficult to get the best bills discounted. The answer of the chancellor of the exchequer was, that he could not be expected to guard against the consequences of over trading and over speculation, and that everybody knew there was no undue pressure in the money market as regarded houses on good credit. This at the very time when exchequer bills were at 25 per cent. discount, when the power of

the bank of England to continue its payments began to be doubted, when among the houses in bad credit was the exchequer office itself whose bills ultimately went down to 40 per cent. discount. But still the government was obdurate. On the 19th of October another deputation from Liverpool. What said the first minister to them? Why, that he gave them no hopes. Time went on. Suddenly on Saturday the 23rd of October certain bankers from the city of London called at the treasury, and then, when houses to the amount of nearly fifteen millions sterling had fallen, the government changed their minds, and did that which they had hitherto peremptorily refused to do. Why did they change their minds? What were the immediate causes that induced them at this particular period to give way, which they refused to do at three earlier periods, when, if they had been more conceding, they might have warded off a very large portion of this distress and disaster. The house had a right to know why the government had postponed so long this urgent measure of relief.

It cannot be denied that this was a very legitimate question, though one probably not very easy to answer. On the present occasion the first minister made a general reply to Lord George Bentinck's "song of triumph over the calamities of the country," and denied that he had made out any connection between

our existing distress and our currency laws and new commercial system. The minister gave notice that on the 30th instant the whole question of our commercial and monetary position would be brought forward by the chancellor of the exchequer. The speech from the throne gave a prominent place to this topic and a full discussion of it could not be evaded.

Accordingly, on the appointed day the chancellor of the exchequer, in a very able and argumentative statement, which lasted two hours and a half, reviewed the past transactions of the year and vindicated the policy of the government. The proposition of the ministry was a secret committee to inquire into the causes of the recent commercial distress, and how far it had been affected by the laws for regulating the issue of bank notes payable on demand. Unhappily, Lord George Bentinck, who was prostrate from illness, could not be present during this important debate of three days, but the views of those who attributed to the bank charter an aggravating influence over the recent disasters were vindicated by Mr. Thomas Baring, in a speech which may be safely referred to as containing the essence of the question conveyed in the most popular form. An abstruse subject was never put before an assembly in a more practical and animated style. Mr. Baring was opposed to a committee of inquiry into the bank act: "Why," he exclaimed, "the country has already sat as a committee

upon it. The pressure and the suffering endured by the country were the witnesses, and the letter of the 25th of October, was all the report that was necessary."

The strongest condemnation of the act according to Mr. Baring was that it had not prevented the crisis; that it had not checked it after it had occurred; and that an infringement of its provisions had become absolutely necessary. "What the commercial body wished to know was, if the power which had lately been exerted on their behalf would never be exerted again till ruin was complete." Without the bill of 1844, he said very truly, there was ample power in the bank of England to save itself and its gold, while it spread desolation around. The severest criticism on the stringency of the act was the success of the trifling measure of relaxation.

---

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, November 25, 1847.

It seems to me that your invaluable Glance at the Cotton Trade affords a complete and effective key to the inquiry: what is the sum of money England receives from foreign countries in each year for converting the raw material into yarn, and into every other description of cotton goods? Yarn is a very simple affair; it is only adding 11 per cent.

for waste to the weight of the yarn, which gives the amount of the raw cotton consumed. Having ascertained the amount consumed of raw cotton, nothing remains but to multiply the pounds of raw cotton by the price of cotton in each year, and deduct the product from the declared value of yarn exported, and the remainder gives the money paid by foreign countries to England for working up the raw cotton into yarn. Getting at the payment to England for the manufacture of calicoes, cambrics, &c. &c., is rather more complicated, but still very simple.

Take for example 1844. Page 7 gives the price of plain calicoes, viz., 6*s.* 6*d.* per piece, as well as the weight of each piece, which appears to be 5 lbs. 12 oz. Add to this eleven per cent. for waste in working the raw cotton originally into yarn, and we get at the entire weight of the raw cotton wrought up into plain calicoes.

The price of raw cotton is given in another page as 4*d.* in 1844. The weight in cotton multiplied by the price gives the money value of the raw material consumed in the manufacture of each article each year, and deducting in each case the value of the raw material from the declared value of the several manufactured articles exported, in the difference we learn what England in each year received from the foreigner as a recompense to our manufacturers and working men for converting the raw material into the manufactured article. I have set on an accountant to make this statement out up to 1846 inclusive. If you could make it out for me for the first ten months of the present year it would be a great service.

Enclosed I send you a rough calculation I have made as regards yarn for three years. You will observe that Eng-

land's profit, in 1844, on working up 144,000,000 lbs. of raw cotton into yarn, to sell to the foreigner, exceeded by £280,000 her profit in 1846, in working up 174,000,000 lbs. I have to thank you for your communications of to-day. As yet I have not had a moment to look into them, but I doubt not they will be very valuable.

---

TO MR. WRIGHT, OF NOTTINGHAM.

Harcourt House, November 26, 1847.

We are to settle on Monday what course we are to take in regard to the bank charter act.

I believe we shall decide upon a motion to suspend the act until the committee have reported.

I wish you would publish a new edition of your old pamphlet, reviewing the late occurrences and disasters. I can only say that I never fairly understood the question until I read your former pamphlet.

---

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, November 27, 1847.

Enclosed I send you an extract from a letter I have this morning received from Mr. Haywood, of Liverpool. Pray consider it. He appears to estimate the loss on stock on hand much higher than you do, but to ascribe no loss to the

sales prior to the 17th of September, though he does not mention the subject in any way.

I will to-morrow send you the entire table of cotton exports made out as I suggested in my letter of yesterday.

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, November 28, 1847.

I have only got your plain and printed calicoes made out, which I send you for your observations and future use if you think the table a valuable one. It shows that all is not gold that glitters, and that the profit to England can be very ill appreciated by an imposing array of figures, showing the declared value of the exports. England received £4,000,000 sterling more in 1845, and £3,000,000 sterling more in 1844, for manufacturing a less quantity of cotton than she did in 1846, and within £400,000 as much in 1836 as she did in 1846 for working up three-fifths of the quantity made in 1846.

I will send you the other items as soon as they are made out for me. If you supply me with the first nine or ten months of this year the account would be of still greater interest.

~~~~~  
TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, November 29, 1847.

Accompanying I send you the remainder of the cotton exports dissected. Altogether they will form a most

curious statistical table, showing how much work England did in 1846 for very little profit. How have prices been in the home market? Does the foreign market govern the home prices, or, *vice versa*? Do the two markets keep level, or having to a certain extent a protected home market and a taxed foreign market, are our manufacturers enabled to obtain a better price in the home than they are unfortunately obtaining in the foreign market?

The statement you furnished me with as regards the loss of British merchants on cotton seems to have made a considerable sensation. Your member, Mr. W. Brown, and I believe Mr. McGregor, both assured Sir Charles Wood that £380,000 was the utmost of the loss sustained.

I understand Mr. Brown has been told a different story through his Liverpool correspondents. He has been told I was under the mark.

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, December 1, 1847.

It is fortunate indeed I sent the statement to you for inspection. The blunder of the accountant employed was quite inexcusable, as he had your book before him. Page 23 was pointed out to him. He had previously been employed to get at the money value of cotton imported from the United States, through table page 23, and had done so, and then he goes to work stupidly on page 13!!! It is very provoking. I was myself confined to my bed with illness, and trusted to his work being faithfully done, and

did not try the basis of the calculation by the test of comparing his price column of cotton with your prices in page 23. I have now set him to do his work over again, and when complete I will send it to you.

It is very satisfactory to find that the fidelity of the picture I drew of the state of trade, and especially of the cotton trade, is sanctioned by those best acquainted with it. For that part of it which has most struck and surprised the mind of the mercantile community, the statement with regard to England's loss on cotton in 1847, I am entirely indebted to you.

Your rough notes of the loss on raw cotton made here were pretty much what you now estimate it at, but then there was an unknown quantity to be deducted on account of American consignments. This we understood to be "certainly less than 30 per cent." That I might be safe from any charge of exaggeration, I assumed it to be one-third, and so I called the loss upwards of £2,000,000 to British merchants. What the Americans lose is no concern of ours; in fact, in such transactions their loss is our gain.

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, December 4, 1847.

The slave-grown sugar admission bill passed I think on the 18th of August last year. If you could furnish me with a statement of the exports of cotton goods, with their money value, from the 10th of September 1846, to the 10th of November 1847, to Cuba, Porto Rico, and the

Brazils on the one side, and to Bombay, Calcutta, Madras, the Mauritius, and the British West Indies on the other, as compared with the exports to these same countries in the corresponding period of 1845-46, I should be more obliged to you than I can express.

Mr. Heywood, your member, has challenged me to show that England has not gained by the transfer of the sugar trade from her own colonies to the foreigners, and I have accepted his challenge, relying upon your kindness to procure me the information. The return you last sent me for the month ending November this year, as compared with the corresponding month last year, is what I want, only extended to the period I have before named, and with the money value added.

I bring forward my motion on Thursday.

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, December 7, 1847.

I know not how sufficiently to thank you for your enthusiastic zeal and devotion in my service.

Had your labours on my account been limited to work by day, I should have felt myself ill able enough to thank you for your exertions. When the night as well as the day are sacrificed by you on my account, I am utterly at a loss to find words to express how highly I appreciate such extraordinary devotion.

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, December 10, 1847.

In consequence of Lord John's illness I was obliged to postpone my motion last night.

Your return is admirable, fulfils every expectation I entertained of it, and will be invaluable in the house. How it proves the folly of letting slip an old customer in the vain hope of obtaining a better. So completely the old fable.

Can you make out how many bankruptcies or failures there have been in the cotton trade up to the present time?

You wrote to me that between the 1st of September and the 7th of November sixteen firms, consisting of forty partners, had failed in the cotton trade. This I presume includes Glasgow and Paisley, or do you restrict yourself to England?

P.S. Since writing the foregoing it occurs to me that these will be held to be a flaw in my case. I have got in this comparative return the two months from the 12th of September to the 10th of November, 1846, twice over! And it will be objected to my return that on this account it is no fair comparison at all. My opponents will at once say (whether true or not) your return of 1845-46 owes its magnitude entirely to those very two months of 1846, which were the two first months of the admission of slave-grown sugar. If therefore it were not too unreasonable, I would ask you to pick out of the return of 1845-46 the two months from the 12th of September to the 10th of November, and to insert instead the two months of 1845, com-

mening with the 12th of July and ending the 12th of September. Then no one can say a word against the return, and a most valuable one it will be.

TO MR. WRIGHT, OF NOTTINGHAM.

December 12, 1847.

I was sorry to miss you as you passed through London. I was laid up confined to my bed with the influenza when you called. You will have seen Herries' motion. It seems to meet pretty general concurrence from those opposed to the bank charter act, but parliament will be adjourned next Friday I hear till the end of January, so Herries' motion will not come on till after the recess; in short, some time the first week in February. I rather fear we have missed our opportunity. We ought to have moved an amendment to the address. The immediate pressure of the bank charter act's restrictions having passed away, the iron which was hot and malleable is fast growing cold and callous.

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, December 30, 1847.

You must begin to think me very ungrateful for your extraordinary exertions on my behalf. The truth is an immense press of business and illness together have thrown me terribly into arrear.

I now stand positively to come on with my East and

West India and Mauritius motion on Thursday the 3rd of February. If you could make up for me by that time the return, say to the 12th or 15th of January 1848, and going back with the corresponding return for 1845-46, so as to make the periods correspond, it would be a great obligation. I imagine December and January will expose a terrible decay in the cotton trade with the ruined sugar-planting colonies ; whilst I apprehend as I go back into 1845 I shall meet a very prosperous trade with the East Indies at any rate. I think there is no doubt we are bound, though it falls against my argument, to put on the $5\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. increased price in 1847 ; but on the other hand if it were not so much trouble I think we might in each case accompany the statement of the value of the exports with a memorandum of the cost of raw cotton in each case to be deducted from England's profit.

Let me wish you with all my heart a happy new year, and prosperous one.

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, January 16, 1848.

I have to thank you for a letter full of interesting information.

The way in which foreigners are apparently giving us the "go by" is very alarming ; it is that they are getting first our gold, and then with it our goods at 20, 30, and 50 per cent. under prime cost. An abundant harvest, cheap cotton, cheap sugar, and cheap tea, for the first time in our history appear to be of no use to us.

If I get the returns by the 31st of this month it will be quite time enough for me; perhaps by taking ten days more time you might add another week's exports. The game I imagine is showing itself now more and more every month and week.

I should like to have the name of the West Indian to whom your letter refers, perhaps he would give me leave to mention his name, as I am fighting their cause for them? I make out that in the last six years ending 31st December 1846, upwards of £800,000 worth of machinery went out to the East and West Indies and the Mauritius. It is quite clear that this export to British possessions is now to be stopped, and a large part of it transferred to slave-holding countries.

Do you think your friend in Manchester could give any account of the exports of millwork and machinery to the East and British West Indies, and to Mauritius, to Cuba, Porto Rico, and Brazil, in 1847? I have the returns for the six years previous. If I could obtain this it would make an excellent companion to the return you are making for me.

In a former letter you asked me if I should like to have a statement of Scotch sequestrations in the cotton trade. I should very much; and more especially if I could get a comparison with former years. I observe that there are notices of eleven sequestrations in Glasgow alone in the last week's Gazette.

The account you are preparing for me of colonial produce will be very valuable.

I make out that directly and indirectly, that is to say, squadrons on the African coast and elsewhere, judicial

missions, establishments on the African coast, payments to foreign powers for putting down slave-trading, compensations for illegal detentions of foreign ships, maintenance of captured slaves, head-money to the captors, &c., as cost since 1808 £29,000,000

Slavery compensation 20,000,000

Increased cost of sugar, rum, and molasses, in twelve years, from 1834 to 1846, as compared with last twelve years of slavery	}	33,000,000

£82,000,000

The average price of sugar was 29*s.* per cwt. for the twelve years antecedent to emancipation. In consequence of the diminished production through the idleness of the slaves after emancipation, the average price of sugar, *EX DUTY*, rose 10*s.* ½*d.* per cwt. in the twelve years subsequent to August 1834. Multiplying the colonial sugar consumed in Great Britain in those twelve years of freedom by 10*s.* ½*d.*, and allowing one-third more for rum and molasses, I find that the British nation paid in those twelve years, 33,000,000 extraordinary for their sugar; but notwithstanding this enormously enhanced price of sugar, the quantities produced were so much more diminished that the planters' *gross* receipts were upwards of £5,000,000 sterling less than they had been in the corresponding period of slavery! So that the blacks squeezed £33,000,000 in those twelve years out of John Bull.

The nett profits of the planters in the twelve years subsequent to emancipation were diminished beyond the amount of these two sums together. The free labourers, whilst they produced 25 per cent. *less sugar and rum*, upon an average

have earned 6s. a week where before they cost the planter (according to Lord Grey's statement in 1833) but 2d. a day. They now work upon an average six hours a day, *seven days* in a *fortnight*, whereas under the mitigated slavery of latter times they worked *nine* hours a day, *eleven days* in a fortnight. They now get according to Lord Grey's statement in 1833, six times the money for forty-two hours' work in a fortnight, they used under slavery to cost doing ninety-nine hours' work. I am assured that 60 per cent. of the free labourers in Jamaica *ride their horses !!!* I don't think when John Bull paid £20,000,000 to knock off their chains, he meant to make idle gentlemen of the emancipated negroes; but practically that is what he has done.

The Yankee recruiting in Manchester for female silk manufacturers is another ominous feature of the times.

Some how the silk manufacture does not appear to suffer so much as other trades—how comes this?

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, January 20, 1848.

It is excessively difficult to get at the aggregate expense of putting down slave-trading; but though I am aware that some of the journals have set it down at £1,500,000 a year, I cannot myself make out that it has ever cost in any one year more than £1,000,000. The house of commons' return this year gives the expense of the African squadron for 1847 at £300,000 or £305,000, I forget which, whilst two years ago a similar return gave the

expense £720,000! But then there is the slave commission, maintenance of slaves at Sierra Leone, head-money for captured slaves, the cost of the various military and civil establishments on the African coast, payments to foreign powers, £300,000 or £400,000 to Portugal for an engagement to sign a treaty, &c.

You ask me how quakers will manage to reconcile their free trade and their anti-slavery morality on this occasion? I think a letter I got from Bristol dated the 27th of last December gives a good insight into their practice. I quote from it:

“The parties who imported the first foreign sugar here (*i. e.* Bristol) were John Thomas, Sons and Co., wholesale grocers, 19th October 1846, in the ‘Unity,’ from Havana, consisting of 520 boxes. They were purchased of a house in London while the vessel lay at Cowes. Another house, also quakers, of the firm of Wedmore and Claypole, wholesale grocers, imported direct from Porto Rico, 12th April 1847, 158 hogsheads 122 barrels per ‘Brilliant.’ We have had several other cargoes imported, but they have been consignments to brokers for sale.”

If I recollect right the quakers voted against the payment of any compensation to slave-owners. Theirs was a costless christianity and a cheap philanthropy.

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, January 25, 1848.

I have got a very good return from Calcutta. The commercial year at Calcutta I suppose begins and ends the 1st of July. Is it so?

If it is, if you could give me an account of the value of cotton goods and yarn exported from Great Britain to Calcutta for the six months commencing the 1st of July and ending the 31st of December, it would supply me with an additional argument. Mr. James's statement having already been made in the house of commons by himself does not matter. The great argument will be derived from the two returns you are making out for me.

If you can lay your hands upon any old and remarkable prophecies of the great increase of the cotton trade to ensue from opening up Brazil and Cuba made by Cobden, Bright, or Milner Gibson in former years, I should like to have them. I well remember the general purport of them was that free trade in corn was almost of inferior importance to cheap sugar and opening out the boundless markets of Brazil and Cuba. Let me have the Calcutta Trade Circular again.

When you have done the job you are now about I wish you would sift Du Fay's grand finance statement of the cotton trade of the last year. I have not the paper now before me, but my impression is that he has miscalculated the home consumption of cotton goods by some 100,000,000 lbs. weight in every year, besides which his average price of raw cotton differs most materially. I think you should dissect and anatomize Du Fay in the Glance.

TO MR. BURN.

Wimpole, January 29, 1848.

I received your account of exports to the sugar-growing countries yesterday just before I left London for this place, and return you my warm thanks for it. Lord Ashburton, who is here, tells me that no sugar is cultivated about Rio Janeiro—that the sugar of Brazil is all cultivated in the neighbourhood of Pernambuco and Bahia. He is curious to know and so am I if you have the means at hand readily (not otherwise) whether the increased exports of cottons to Brazil are exclusively to Bahia and Pernambuco, or whether Rio Janeiro, not engaged in the sugar trade, shares equally with or in part with Bahia and Pernambuco.

With regard to the exports to Calcutta, though the Calcutta commercial year commences in May, I think I will only take half the year, viz., from July to December both inclusive: half a year is a more even period; besides the last half year is just the period when the injury to the Calcutta sugar trade had come into practical operation. I return on Monday morning to London, so pray continue to address my letters as heretofore.

Can you tell me what proportion the value of raw cotton in each period bears to the whole value?

I imagine that after deducting the value of raw cotton in the two periods from the 12th of September 1846 to the 12th of January 1848, with that from the 12th of May 1845 to the 12th of September 1846, the case will appear

much stronger as regards the balance of wages, &c. lost in the period from the 12th of September 1846 to the 12th of January 1848.

~~~~~

TO MR. BURN.

February 1, 1848.

Some of your quotations from Turnbull are very happy, and I shall make use of them. I propose to take this line but as a preliminary. I want you to tell me how many men, women, and children there are in Great Britain (*i. e.* including Scotland) dependent for their subsistence on the cotton trade. I think according to the last census there were about 260,000 employed. Bright last year in the house of commons estimated them if I recollect right at 310,000. Assuming them to be 310,000 employed, I suppose in the cotton trade where so large a proportion of women and children are employed it would be sufficient to allow 190,000 unemployed as dependent on the 310,000 employed for their subsistence. Having assumed those dependent for their subsistence on the cotton trade to be 500,000 and the average consumption of the empire at 23½ lbs. per mouth per annum, these 500,000 persons in sixteen months would eat 6,904 tons 14 cwt. of sugar, and at £10 per ton would have saved in the sixteen months £69,046 1s. 8d.; but whilst they have this much on the credit side of the account, they have on the debtor sheet their share of the profits and wages of converting that raw cotton into manufactures, on which there has been a dimi-

nation in the exports to the sugar-growing colonies of £1,171,142 during the same period. I wait for you to tell me what portion of this would be wages to the operatives and profits to the manufacturers. Say\*half, and still on the balance those dependent for subsistence on the cotton trade lose upwards of half a million by the transaction.

---

The committee on commercial distress having been appointed, the principal reason for the summoning of the new parliament in the autumn had been satisfied, and an adjournment until a month after Christmas was in prospect. Before, however, this took place a new and interesting question arose which led to considerable discussion, and which ultimately influenced in no immaterial manner the parliamentary position of Lord George Bentinck.

The city of London at the general election had sent to the house of commons, as a colleague of the first minister, a member who found a difficulty in taking one of the oaths appointed by the house to be sworn preliminarily to any member exercising his right of voting. The difficulty arose from this member being not only of the Jewish race, but unfortunately believing only in the first part of the Jewish religion.

## CHAPTER XXIV.

THE relations that subsist between the Bedouen race that under the name of Jews is found in every country of Europe, and the Teutonic, Slavonian, and Celtic races which have appropriated that division of the globe, will form hereafter one of the most remarkable chapters in a philosophical history of man.

The Saxon, the Slave, and the Celt, have adopted most of the laws and many of the customs of these Arabian tribes, all their literature and all their religion. They are therefore indebted to them for much that regulates, much that charms, and much that solaces, existence. The toiling multitude rest every seventh day by virtue of a Jewish law; they are perpetually reading, "for their example," the records of Jewish history and singing the odes and elegies of Jewish poets; and they daily acknow-

ledge on their knees, with reverent gratitude, that the only medium of communication between the Creator and themselves is the Jewish race. Yet they treat that race as the vilest of generations; and instead of logically looking upon them as the human family that has contributed most to human happiness, they extend to them every term of obloquy and every form of persecution.

Let us endeavour to penetrate this social anomaly that has harassed and perplexed centuries.

It is alleged that the dispersion of the Jewish race is a penalty incurred for the commission of a great crime: namely, the crucifixion of our blessed Lord in the form of a Jewish prince, by the Romans, at Jerusalem, and at the instigation of some Jews, in the reign of Augustus Cæsar. Upon this, it may be observed, that the allegation is neither historically true nor dogmatically sound.

1. *Not historically true.* It is not historically true, because at the time of the advent of our Lord, the Jewish race was as much dispersed throughout the world as at this present time, and had been so for many centuries. Europe, with the exception of those shores which are bathed by the midland sea, was then a primæval forest, but in every city of the great Eastern monarchies and in every province of the Roman empire, the Jews had been long settled. We have not precise authority for saying that at the



advent there were more Jews established in Egypt than in Palestine, but it may unquestionably be asserted that at that period there were many more Jews living, and that too in great prosperity and honour, at Alexandria than at Jerusalem. It is evident from various Roman authors that the Jewish race formed no inconsiderable portion of the multitude that filled Rome itself, and that the Mosaic religion, undisturbed by the state, even made proselytes. But it is unnecessary to enter into any curious researches on this head, though the authorities are neither scant nor uninteresting. We are furnished with evidence the most complete and unanswerable of the pre-dispersion by the sacred writings themselves. Not two months after the crucifixion, when the Third Person of the Holy Trinity first descended on Jerusalem, it being the time of the great festivals, when the Jews according to the custom of the Arabian tribes, pursued to this day in the pilgrimage to Mecca, repaired from all quarters to the central sacred place, the holy writings inform us that there were gathered together in Jerusalem, "Jews, devout men, out of every nation under heaven." And that this expression, so general but so precise, should not be mistaken, we are shortly afterwards, though incidentally, informed, that there were Parthians, Medes, and Persians at Jerusalem, professing the Mosaic faith; Jews from Mesopotamia and

Syria ; from the countries of the lesser and the greater Asia ; Egyptian, Libyan, Greek and Arabian Jews : and especially Jews from Rome itself, some of which latter are particularly mentioned as Roman proselytes.

Nor is it indeed historically true that the small section of the Jewish race which dwelt in Palestine rejected Christ. The reverse is the truth. Had it not been for the Jews of Palestine the good tidings of our Lord would have been unknown for ever to the northern and western races. The first preachers of the gospel were Jews, and none else ; the historians of the gospel were Jews, and none else. No one has ever been permitted to write under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit except a Jew. For nearly a century no one believed in the good tidings except Jews. They nursed the sacred flame of which they were the consecrated and hereditary depositories. And when the time was ripe to diffuse the truth among the ethnicks, it was not a senator of Rome or a philosopher of Athens who was personally appointed by our Lord for that office, but a Jew of Tarsus, who founded the seven churches of Asia. And that greater church, great even amid its terrible corruptions, that has avenged the victory of Titus by subjugating the capital of the Cæsars and has changed every one of the Olympian temples into altars of the God of Sinai and of Calvary, was founded by another Jew, a Jew of Galilee.

From all which it appears that the dispersion of the Jewish race, preceding as it did for countless ages the advent of our Lord, could not be for conduct which occurred subsequently to the advent, and that they are also guiltless of that subsequent conduct which has been imputed to them as a crime, since for Him and His blessed name, they preached, and wrote, and shed their blood "as witnesses."

But is it possible that that which is not historically true can be dogmatically sound? Such a conclusion would impugn the foundations of all faith. The followers of Jesus of whatever race need not however be alarmed. The belief that the present condition of the Jewish race is a penal infliction for the part which some Jews took at the crucifixion is not dogmatically sound.

2. *Not dogmatically sound.* There is no passage in the sacred writings that in the slightest degree warrants the penal assumption. The imprecation of the mob at the crucifixion is sometimes strangely quoted as a divine decree. It is not a principle of jurisprudence, human or inspired, to permit the criminal to ordain their own punishment. Why too should they transfer any portion of the infliction to their posterity? What evidence have we that the wild suggestion was sanctioned by Omnipotence? On the contrary, amid the expiating agony, a divine voice at the same time solicited and secured forgive-

ness. And if unforgiven, could the cry of a rabble at such a scene bind a nation?

But, dogmatically considered, the subject of the crucifixion must be viewed in a deeper spirit. We must pause with awe to remember what was the principal office to be fulfilled by the advent. When the ineffable mystery of the Incarnation was consummated, a divine person moved on the face of the earth in the shape of a child of Israel, not to teach but to expiate. True it is that no word could fall from such lips, whether in the form of profound parable, or witty retort, or preceptive lore, but to guide and enlighten, but they who in those somewhat lax effusions, which in these days are honoured with the holy name of theology, speak of the morality of the gospel as a thing apart and of novel revelation, would do well to remember that in promulgating such doctrines they are treading on very perilous ground. There cannot be two moralities; and to hold that the Second Person of the Holy Trinity could teach a different morality from that which had been already revealed by the First Person of the Holy Trinity, is a dogma so full of terror that it may perhaps be looked upon as the ineffable sin against the Holy Spirit. When the lawyer tempted our Lord, and inquired how he was to inherit eternal life, the great master of Galilee referred him to the writings of Moses. There he would find recorded "the whole

duty of man ;" to love God with all his heart, and soul, and strength, and mind, and his neighbour as himself. These two principles are embalmed in the writings of Moses, and are the essence of christian morals.\*

It was for something deeper than this, higher and holier than even Moses could fulfil, that angels announced the Coming. It was to accomplish an event pre-ordained by the Creator of the world for countless ages. Born from the chosen house of the chosen people, yet blending in his inexplicable nature the divine essence with the human elements, a sacrificial mediator was to appear, appointed before all time, and purifying with his atoning blood the myriads that had preceded and the myriads that will follow him. The doctrine embraces all space and time, nay, chaos and eternity ; divine persons are the agents and the redemption of the whole family of man the result. If the Jews had not prevailed upon the Romans to crucify our Lord, what would have become of the Atonement ? But the human mind cannot contemplate the idea that the most important deed of time could depend upon human will. The immolators were pre-ordained like the victim, and the holy race supplied both. Could that be a crime which secured for all mankind eternal joy ? Which vanquished Satan,

\* "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself : I am the Lord."—*Leviticus*, c. xix, v. 18.

and opened the gates of Paradise ? Such a tenet would sully and impugn the doctrine that is the corner-stone of our faith and hope. Men must not presume to sit in judgment on such an act. They must bow their heads in awe and astonishment and trembling gratitude.

But though the opinion that the dispersion of the Jewish race must be deemed a penalty incurred for their connection with the crucifixion has neither historical nor doctrinal sanction, it is possible that its degrading influence upon its victims may have been as efficacious as if their present condition were indeed a judicial infliction. Persecution, in a word, although unjust may have reduced the modern Jews to a state almost justifying malignant vengeance. They may have become so odious and so hostile to mankind, as to merit for their present conduct, no matter how occasioned, the obloquy and ill-treatment of the communities in which they dwell and with which they are scarcely permitted to mingle.

Let us examine this branch of the subject, which though of more limited interest, is not without instruction.

In all the great cities of Europe, and in some of the great cities of Asia, among the infamous classes therein existing there will always be found Jews. They are not the only people who are usurers, gladiators, and followers of mean and scandalous occu-

pations, nor are they anywhere a majority of such, but considering their general numbers, they contribute perhaps more than their proportion to the aggregate of the vile. In this they obey the law which regulate the destiny of all persecuted races: the infamous is the business of the dishonoured; and as infamous pursuits are generally illegal pursuits, the persecuted race which has most ability will be most successful in combating the law. The Jews have never been so degraded as the Greeks were throughout the *Levant* before their emancipation, and the degradation of the Greeks was produced by a period of persecution, which, both in amount and suffering, cannot compare with that which has been endured by the children of Israel. This peculiarity, however, attends the Jews under the most unfavourable circumstances; the other degraded races wear out and disappear; the Jew remains, as determined, as expert, as persevering, as full of resource and resolution as ever. Viewed in this light, the degradation of the Jewish race is alone a striking evidence of its excellence, for none but one of the great races could have survived the trials which it has endured.

But though a material organisation of the highest class may account for so strange a consequence, the persecuted Hebrew is supported by other means. He is sustained by a sublime religion. Obdurate, malig-

nant, odious, and revolting as the lowest Jew appears to us, he is rarely demoralised. Beneath his own roof his heart opens to the influence of his beautiful Arabian traditions. All his ceremonies, his customs, and his festivals, are still to celebrate the bounty of nature and the favour of Jehovah. The patriarchal feeling lingers about his hearth. A man, however fallen, who loves his home, is not wholly lost. The trumpet of Sinai still sounds in the Hebrew ear, and a Jew is never seen upon the scaffold, unless it be at an *auto da fè*.

But having made this full admission of the partial degradation of the Jewish race, we are not prepared to agree that this limited degeneracy is any justification of the prejudices and persecution which originated in barbarous or mediæval superstitions. On the contrary, viewing the influence of the Jewish race upon the modern communities, without any reference to the past history or the future promises of Israel, dismissing from our minds and memories, if indeed that be possible, all that the Hebrews have done in the olden time for man and all which it may be their destiny yet to fulfil, we hold that instead of being an object of aversion, they should receive all that honour and favour from the northern and western races, which, in civilized and refined nations, should be the lot of those who charm the public taste and elevate the public feeling. We hesitate not to



say that there is no race at this present, and following in this only the example of a long period, that so much delights, and fascinates, and elevates, and ennobles Europe, as the Jewish.

We dwell not on the fact, that the most admirable artists of the drama have been and still are of the Hebrew race: or, that the most entrancing singers, graceful dancers, and exquisite musicians, are sons and daughters of Israel: though this were much. But these brilliant accessories are forgotten in the sublimer claim.

It seems that the only means by which in these modern times we are permitted to develop the beautiful is music. It would appear definitively settled that excellence in the plastic arts is the privilege of the earlier ages of the world. All that is now produced in this respect is mimetic, and, at the best, the skilful adaptation of traditional methods. The creative faculty of modern man seems by an irresistible law at work on the virgin soil of science, daily increasing by its inventions our command over nature, and multiplying the material happiness of man. But the happiness of man is not merely material. Were it not for music, we might in these days say, the beautiful is dead. Music seems to be the only means of creating the beautiful in which we not only equal but in all probability greatly excel the ancients. The music of modern

1 Europe ranks with the transcendent creations of human  
2 genius ; the poetry, the statues, the temples, of  
3 Greece. It produces and represents as they did  
4 whatever is most beautiful in the spirit of man and  
5 often expresses what is most profound. And who  
6 are the great composers, who hereafter will rank with  
7 Homer, with Sophocles, with Praxiteles, or with  
8 Phidias? They are the descendants of those Arabian  
9 tribes who conquered Canaan, and who by favour of  
10 the Most High have done more with less means even  
11 than the Athenians.

Forty years ago—not a longer period than the children of Israel were wandering in the desert—the two most dishonoured races in Europe were the Attic and the Hebrew, and they were the two races that had done most for mankind. Their fortunes had some similarity: their countries were the two smallest in the world, equally barren and equally famous; they both divided themselves into tribes; both built a most famous temple on an acropolis; and both produced a literature which all European nations have accepted with reverence and admiration. Athens has been sacked oftener than Jerusalem, and oftener rased to the ground; but the Athenians have escaped expatriation, which is purely an oriental custom. The sufferings of the Jews however have been infinitely more prolonged and varied than those of the Athenians. The Greek nevertheless appears

exhausted. The creative genius of Israel on the contrary never shone so bright ; and when the Russian, the Frenchman, and the Anglo-Saxon, amid applauding theatres or the choral voices of solemn temples, yield themselves to the full spell of a Mozart or a Mendelsohn, it seems difficult to comprehend how these races can reconcile it to their hearts to persecute a Jew.

We have shown that the theological prejudice against the Jews has no foundation, historical or doctrinal ; we have shown that the social prejudice, originating in the theological but sustained by superficial observations irrespective of religious prejudice, is still more unjust, and that no existing race is so much entitled to the esteem and gratitude of society as the Hebrew. It remains for us to notice the injurious consequences to European society of the course pursued by the communities to this race, and this view of the subject leads us to considerations which it would become existing statesmen to ponder.

The world has by this time discovered that it is impossible to destroy the Jews. The attempt to extirpate them has been made under the most favourable auspices and on the largest scale ; the most considerable means that man could command have been pertinaciously applied to this object for the longest period of recorded time. Egyptian pharaohs, Assyrian kings, Roman emperors, Scandinavian cru-

saders, Gothic princes, and holy inquisitors, have alike devoted their energies to the fulfilment of this common purpose. Expatriation, exile, captivity, confiscation, torture on the most ingenious and massacre on the most extensive scale, a curious system of degrading customs and debasing laws which would have broken the heart of any other people, have been tried, and in vain. The Jews, after all this havoc, are probably more numerous at this date than they were during the reign of Solomon the wise, are found in all lands, and unfortunately prospering in most. All which proves, that it is in vain for man to attempt to baffle the inexorable law of nature which has decreed that a superior race shall never be destroyed or absorbed by an inferior.

But the influence of a great race will be felt; its greatness does not depend upon its numbers, otherwise the English would not have vanquished the Chinese, nor would the Aztecs have been overthrown by Cortez and a handful of Goths. That greatness results from its organisation, the consequences of which are shown in its energy and enterprise, in the strength of its will and the fertility of its brain. Let us observe what should be the influence of the Jews, and then ascertain how it is exercised. The Jewish race connects the modern populations with the early ages of the world, when the relations of the Creator with the created were more intimate than in these

days, when angels visited the earth, and God himself even spoke with man. The Jews represent the Semitic principle; all that is spiritual in our nature. They are the trustees of tradition and the conservators of the religious element. They are a living and the most striking evidence of the falsity of that pernicious doctrine of modern times, the natural equality of man. The political equality of a particular race is a matter of municipal arrangement and depends entirely on political considerations and circumstances; but the natural equality of man now in vogue, and taking the form of cosmopolitan fraternity, is a principle which, were it possible to act on it, would deteriorate the great races and destroy all the genius of the world. What would be the consequence on the great Anglo-Saxon republic, for example, were its citizens to secede from their sound principle of reserve, and mingle with their negro and coloured populations? In the course of time they would become so deteriorated that their states would probably be reconquered and regained by the aborigines whom they have expelled and who would then be their superiors. But though nature will never ultimately permit this theory of natural equality to be practised, the preaching of this dogma has already caused much mischief, and may occasion much more. The native tendency of the Jewish race, who are justly proud of their blood, is against the doctrine of

quality of man. They have also another characteristic, the faculty of acquisition. Although the European laws have endeavoured to prevent their acquiring property, they have nevertheless become remarkable for their accumulated wealth. Thus it can be seen that all the tendencies of the Jewish race are conservative. Their bias is to religion, property, natural aristocracy: and it should be the interest of statesmen that this bias of a great race should be directed and their energies and creative powers put in the cause of existing society.

But existing society has chosen to persecute this race which should furnish its choice allies, and what have been the consequences?

They may be traced in the last outbreak of the destructive principle in Europe. An insurrection took place against tradition and aristocracy, against religion and property. Destruction of the Semitic empire, extirpation of the Jewish religion, whether in Mosaic or in the Christian form, the natural rights of man and the abrogation of property, are claimed by the secret societies who form provincial governments, and men of Jewish race are found at the head of every one of them. The will of God co-operate with atheists; the most diligent accumulators of property ally themselves with communists; the peculiar and chosen race touch the bottom of all the scum and low castes of Europe!

And all this because they wish to destroy that ungrateful Christendom which owes to them even its name, and whose tyranny they can no longer endure.

When the secret societies, in February 1848, surprised Europe, they were themselves surprised by the unexpected opportunity, and so little capable were they of seizing the occasion, that had it not been for the Jews, who of late years unfortunately have been connecting themselves with these unhallowed associations, imbecile as were the governments the uncalled for outbreak would not have ravaged Europe. But the fiery energy and the teeming resources of the children of Israel maintained for a long time the unnecessary and useless struggle. If the reader throw his eye over the provisional governments of Germany, and Italy, and even of France, formed at that period, he will recognise everywhere the Jewish element. Even the insurrection, and defence, and administration, of Venice, which, from the resource and statesmanlike moderation displayed, commanded almost the respect and sympathy of Europe, were accomplished by a Jew—Manini, who by the bye is a Jew who professes the whole of the Jewish religion, and believes in Calvary as well as Sinai, “a converted Jew,” as the Lombards styled him, quite forgetting, in the confusion of their ideas, that it is the Lombards who are the converts—not Manini.

Thus it will be seen that the persecution of the

Jewish race has deprived European society of an important conservative element and added to the destructive party an influential ally. Prince Metternich, the most enlightened of modern statesmen, not to say the most intellectual of men, was, though himself a victim of the secret societies, fully aware of these premises. It was always his custom, great as were the difficulties which in so doing he had to encounter, to employ as much as possible the Hebrew race in the public service. He could never forget that Napoleon in his noontide hour had been checked by the pen of the greatest of political writers ; he had found that illustrious author as great in the cabinet as in the study ; he knew that no one had more contributed to the deliverance of Europe. It was not as a patron, but as an appreciating and devoted friend, that the high chancellor of Austria appointed Frederick Gentz secretary to the congress of Vienna—and Frederick Gentz was a child of Israel.

It is no doubt to be deplored that several millions of the Jewish race should persist in believing in only a part of their religion ; but this is a circumstance which does not affect Europe, and time, with different treatment, may remove the anomaly which perhaps may be accounted for. It should be recollected that the existing Jews are perhaps altogether the descendants of those various colonies and emigrations which, voluntary or forced, long preceded the advent.



Between the vast carnage of the Roman wars from Titus to Hadrian, and the profession of Christ by his countrymen which must have been very prevalent, since the christian religion was solely sustained by the Jews of Palestine during the greater part of its first century, it is improbable that any descendants of the Jews of Palestine exist who disbelieve in Christ. After the fall of Jerusalem and the failure of Barchochabas, no doubt some portion of the Jews found refuge in the desert, returning to their original land after such long and strange vicissitudes. This natural movement would account for those Arabian tribes of whose resistance to Mahommed we have ample and authentic details, and who, if we are to credit the accounts which perplex modern travellers, are to this day governed by the Pentateuch instead of the Koran.

When christianity was presented to the ancestors of the present Jews, it came from a very suspicious quarter and was offered in a very questionable shape. Centuries must have passed in many instances before the Jewish colonies heard of the advent, the crucifixion, and the atonement, the latter, however, a doctrine in perfect harmony with Jewish ideas. When they first heard of christianity, it appeared to be a gentile religion, accompanied by idolatrous practices, from which severe monotheists like the Arabians always recoil, and holding the Jewish race up to

to scorn and hatred. This is not the way to  
converts.

There have been two great colonies of the Jewish  
in Europe; in Spain and in Sarmatia. The  
of the Jews in Spain is lost in the night of

That it was of great antiquity we have proof.  
tradition, once derided, that the Iberian Jews  
a Phœnician colony has been favoured by the  
riches of modern antiquaries, who have traced  
Hebrew language in the ancient names of the  
ties. It may be observed however that the  
names of the Jews and the Philistines, or Phœni-  
, were probably too similar to sanction any  
false induction from such phenomena, while on  
the other hand, in reply to those who have urged the  
nobility of the Jews who had no seaports  
leaving Spain, it may be remarked that the colony  
have been an expatriation by the Philistines in  
course of the long struggle which occurred be-  
tween them and the invading tribes previous to the  
fall of the Hebrew monarchy. We know  
in the time of Cicero the Jews had been settled  
permanently in Spain. When the Romans, con-  
verted to christianity and acted on by the priesthood,  
sought to trouble the Spanish Jews, it appears by a  
decree of Constantine that they were owners and  
cultivators of the soil, a circumstance which alone  
proves the antiquity and the nobility of their settle-

ment, for the possession of the land is never conceded to a degraded race. The conquest of Spain by the Goths in the fifth and sixth centuries threatened the Spanish Jews however with more serious adversaries than the Romans. The Gothic tribes very recently converted to their Syrian faith were full of barbaric zeal against those whom they looked upon as the enemies of Jesus. But the Spanish Jews sought assistance from their kinsmen the Saracens on the opposite coast ; Spain was invaded and subdued by the Moors, and for several centuries the Jew and the Saracen lived under the same benignant laws and shared the same brilliant prosperity. In the history of Spain during the Saracenic supremacy any distinction of religion or race is no longer traced. And so it came to pass that when at the end of the 14th century, after the fell triumph of the Dominicans over the Albigenses, the holy inquisition was introduced into Spain, it was reported to Torquemada that two-thirds of the nobility of Arragon, that is to say of the proprietors of the land, were Jews.

All that these men knew of Christianity was that it was a religion of fire and sword, and that one of its first duties was to avenge some mysterious and inexplicable crime which had been committed ages ago by some unheard of ancestors of theirs in an unknown land. The inquisitors addressed themselves to the Spanish Jews in the same abrupt and fero-

cious manner in which the monks saluted the Mexicans and the Peruvians. All those of the Spanish Jews, who did not conform after the fall of the Mohammedan kingdoms, were expatriated by the victorious Goths, and these refugees were the main source of the Italian Jews, and of the most respectable portion of the Jews of Holland. These exiles found refuge in two republics; Venice and the United Provinces. The Portuguese Jews, it is well known, came from Spain, and their ultimate expulsion from Portugal was attended by the same results as the Spanish expatriation.

The other great division of Jews in Europe are the Sarmatian Jews, and they are very numerous. They amount to nearly three millions. These unquestionably entered Europe with the other Sarmatian nations, descending the Borysthenes and ascending the Danube, and are according to all probability the progeny of the expatriations of the times of Tiglath-Pileser and Nebuchadnezzar. They are the posterity of those "devout men," Parthians, Medes, and Elamites, who were attending the festivals at Jerusalem at the time of the descent of the Holy Spirit. Living among barbarous pagans who never molested them, these people went on very well, until suddenly the barbarous pagans under the influence of an Italian priesthood were converted to the Jewish religion, and then as a necessary consequence the

converts began to harass, persecute, and massacre the Jews.

These people had never heard of Christ. Had the Romans not destroyed Jerusalem, these Sarmatian Jews would have had a fair chance of obtaining from civilised beings some clear and coherent account of the great events which had occurred. They and their fathers before them would have gone up in customary pilgrimage to the central sacred place, both for purposes of devotion and purposes of trade, and they might have heard from Semitic lips that there were good tidings for Israel. What they heard from their savage companions, and the Italian priesthood which acted on them, was, that there were good tidings for all the world except Israel, and that Israel, for the commission of a great crime of which they had never heard and could not comprehend, was to be plundered, massacred, hewn to pieces, and burnt alive in the name of Christ and for the sake of Christianity.

The Eastern Jews, who are very numerous, are in general the descendants of those who in the course of repeated captivities settled in the great Eastern monarchies, and which they never quitted. They live in the same cities and follow the same customs as they did in the days of Cyrus. They are to be found in Persia, Mesopotamia, and Asia Minor; at Bagdad, at Hamadan, at Smyrna. We know from

e Jewish books how very scant was the following  
rich accompanied Esdras and Nehemiah back to  
Jerusalem. A fortress city, built on a ravine, sur-  
rounded by stony mountains and watered by a  
mountain stream, had no temptations after the gardens  
of Babylon and the broad waters of the Euphrates.  
But Babylon has vanished and Jerusalem remains,  
and what are the waters of Euphrates to the brook  
Kedron! It is another name than that of Jesus  
Nazareth with which these Jews have been placed  
in collision, and the Ishmaelites have not forgotten  
the wrongs of Hagar in their conduct to the de-  
scendants of Sarah.

Is it therefore wonderful, that a great portion of  
the Jewish race should not believe in the most  
important portion of the Jewish religion? As how-  
ever the converted races become more humane in  
their behaviour to the Jews, and the latter have oppor-  
tunity fully to comprehend and deeply to ponder  
true Christianity, it is difficult to suppose  
that the result will not be very different. Whether  
represented by a Roman or Anglo-Catholic, or Gene-  
ve, divine, by pope, bishop, or presbyter, there is  
nothing one would suppose very repugnant to the  
feelings of a Jew when he learns that the redemption  
of the human race has been effected by the media-  
tary agency of a child of Israel; if the ineffable  
mystery of the Incarnation be developed to him, he

will remember that the blood of Jacob is a chosen and peculiar blood, and if so transcendent a consummation is to occur he will scarcely deny that only one race could be deemed worthy of accomplishing it. There may be points of doctrine on which the northern and western races may perhaps never agree. The Jew like them may follow that path in those respects which reason and feeling alike dictate; but nevertheless it can hardly be maintained that there is anything revolting to a Jew to learn that a Jewess is the queen of heaven, or that the flower of the Jewish race are even now sitting on the right hand of the Lord God of Sabaoth.

Perhaps too in this enlightened age as his mind expands and he takes a comprehensive view of this period of progress, the pupil of Moses may ask himself, whether all the princes of the house of David have done so much for the Jews as that prince who was crucified on Calvary? Had it not been for Him, the Jews would have been comparatively unknown, or known only as a high oriental caste which had lost its country. Has not He made their history the most famous in the world? Has not He hung up their laws in every temple? Has not He vindicated all their wrongs? Has not He avenged the victory of Titus and conquered the Cæsars? What successes did they anticipate from their Messiah? The wildest dreams of their rabbis have been far

eded. Has not Jesus conquered Europe and aged its name into Christendom? All countries refuse the cross wither, while the whole of the world is devoted to the Semitic principle and its glorious offspring the Jewish faith, and the will come when the vast communities and countless myriads of America and Australia, looking on Europe as Europe now looks upon Greece, wondering how so small a space could have evoked such great deeds, will still find music in the psalms of Sion and still seek solace in the parables of the Gospels.

These may be dreams, but there is one fact which none can contest. Christians may continue to persecute Jews and Jews may persist in disbelieving Christians, but who can deny that Jesus of Nazareth, Incarnate Son of the Most High God, is the national glory of the Jewish race?



## CHAPTER XXV.

It would seem to follow from the views expressed in the preceding chapter, that in communities professing a belief in our Lord, the Jewish race ought not to be subject to any legislative dishonour or disqualification. These views however were not those which influenced Lord George Bentinck in forming his opinion that the civil disabilities of those subjects of her majesty who profess that limited belief in divine revelation which is commonly called the Jewish religion, should be removed. He had supported a measure to this effect in the year 1833, guided in that conduct by his devoted attachment to the equivocal principle of religious liberty, the unqualified application of which principle seems hardly consistent with that recognition of religious truth by the state to which we yet adhere, and without which it is highly probable that the

northern and western races after a disturbing and rapidly degrading period of atheistic anarchy may fatally recur to their old national idolatries, modified and mythically dressed up according to the spirit of the age. It may be observed that the decline and disasters of modern communities have generally been relative to their degree of sedition against the Semitic principle. Since the great revolt of the Celts against the first and second testament, at the close of the last century, France has been alternately in a state of collapse or convulsion. Throughout the awful trials of the last sixty years, England, notwithstanding her deficient and meagre theology, has always remembered Sion. The great transatlantic republic is intensely Semitic and has prospered accordingly. This sacred principle alone has consolidated the mighty empire of all the Russias. How omnipotent it is cannot be more clearly shown than by the instance of Rome, where it appears in its most corrupt form. An old man on a Semitic throne baffles the modern Attilas, and the recent invasion of the barbarians, under the form of red republicans, socialists, communists, all different phases which describe the relapse of the once converted races into their primitive condition of savagery. Austria would long ago have dissolved but for the Semitic principle, and if the north of Germany has never succeeded in attaining that imperial position which seemed its natural destiny, it is that the north of Germany has never at

any time been thoroughly converted. Some perhaps may point to Spain as a remarkable instance of decline in a country where the Semitic principle has exercised great influence. But the fall of Spain was occasioned by the expulsion of her Semitic population: a million families of Jews and Saracens, the most distinguished of her citizens for their industry and their intelligence, their learning and their wealth.

It appears that Lord George Bentinck had offended some of his followers by an opinion expressed in his address to his constituency in '47, that in accordance with the suggestion of Mr. Pitt, some provision should be made for the roman catholic priesthood of Ireland out of the land. Although this opinion might offend the religious sentiments of some, and might be justly looked upon by others as a scheme ill-suited to the character of an age adverse to any further religious endowments, it must be acknowledged that no member of the protectionist party had any just cause of complaint against Lord George for the expression of an opinion which he had always upheld, and of his constancy to which he had fairly given his friends notice. This was so generally felt that the repining died away. The Jewish question, as it was called, revived these religious emotions. These feelings, as springing from the highest sentiment of our nature, and founded, however mistaken in their application, on religious truth, are entitled to deep respect and tenderness; but no one can

indulge them by the compromise of the highest principles or by sanctioning a course which he really believes to be destructive of the very object which their votaries wish to cherish.

As there are very few Englishmen of what is commonly called the Jewish faith, and as therefore it was supposed that political considerations could not enter into the question, it was hoped by many of the followers of Lord George Bentinck that he would not separate himself from his party on this subject, and very earnest requests and representations were made to him with that view. He was not insensible to them ; he gave them prolonged and painful consideration ; they greatly disquieted him. In his confidential correspondence he often recurs to the distress and anxiety which this question and its consequences as regarded his position with those friends to whom he was much attached occasioned him. It must not therefore be supposed that in the line he ultimately took with reference to this question he was influenced, as some have unkindly and unwarrantably fancied, by a self-willed, inexorable, and imperious spirit. He was no doubt by nature a proud man, inclined even to arrogance and naturally impatient of contradiction, but two severe campaigns in the house of commons had already mitigated these characteristics : he understood human nature, he was fond of his party, and irrespective of other considerations it

pained his ardent and generous heart to mortify his comrades. It was therefore not in any degree from temper, but from principle, from as pure, as high, and as noble a sense of duty as ever actuated a man in public life, that Lord George Bentinck ultimately resolved that it was impossible for him to refuse to vote for the removal of what are commonly called Jewish disabilities. He had voted in this particular cause shortly after his entrance into public life; it was in accordance with that general principle of religious liberty to which he was an uncompromising adherent; it was in complete agreement with the understanding which subsisted between himself and the protectionist party, when at their urgent request he unwillingly assumed the helm. He was intreated not to vote at all; to stay away, which the severe indisposition under which he was then labouring warranted. He did not rudely repulse these latter representations, as has been circulated. On the contrary he listened to them with kindness, and was not uninfluenced by them. Enfeebled by illness, he had nearly brought himself to a compliance with a request urged with affectionate importunity, but from which his reason and sense of duty held him aloof. After long and deep and painful pondering, when the hour arrived, he rose from his bed of sickness, walked into the house of commons, and not only voted, but spoke in favour of his convictions. His speech remains, one of

the best ever delivered on the subject, not only full of weighty argument, but touched with a high and even tender vein of sentiment.

This vote and speech of Lord George Bentinck no doubt mortified at the moment a considerable portion of his followers, and occasioned great dissatisfaction among a very respectable though limited section of them. This latter body must either have forgotten or they must have been strangely unacquainted with the distinct understanding on which Lord George had undertaken the lead of the party, or otherwise they could not have felt authorised in conveying to him their keen sense of disapprobation. Unfortunately he received this when the house had adjourned for the holidays, and when Mr. Bankes, who had been the organ of communication with him in '46, was in the country, and when the party was of course generally dispersed. Lord George did not take any pains to ascertain whether the representation which was made to him was that of the general feeling of a large party, or that only of a sincere, highly estimable, but limited section. He was enfeebled and exhausted by indisposition ; he often felt, even when in health, that the toil of his life was beyond both his physical and moral energies ; and though he was of that ardent and tenacious nature that he never would have complained but have died at his post, the opportunity of release coming to

him at a moment when he was physically prostrate was rather eagerly seized, and the world suddenly learnt at Christmas, with great astonishment, that the renowned leader of the protectionist party had relinquished his trust.

The numerous communications which he received must have convinced him that the assumed circumstances under which he acted had not been accurately appreciated by him. He was implored to reconsider his course, as one very detrimental to the cause to which he was devoted, and which would probably tend to the triumph of those whose policy he had attempted to defeat, and whose personal conduct he had at least succeeded in punishing.

“The prophesied time has come,” he wrote to his friend, Mr. Bankes, on the 23rd of December, 1847, “when I have ceased to be able to serve the party, the great cause of protection, or my country, by any longer retaining the commission bestowed on me in the spring of 1846. You will remember however that when unfeignedly and honestly, but in vain, trying to escape from being raised to a position which I foresaw I must fail to maintain with advantage to you or honour to myself, I at last gave my consent, I only did so on the express understanding that my advancement should be held to be merely a *pro tempore* appointment, waiting till the country should have the opportunity of sending to parliament

other men better fitted to lead the country gentlemen of England. I have recalled these circumstances to your mind with no other purpose than that the party may feel how entirely free they are, without even the suspicion of doing an injustice to me or of showing me in this any disrespect, to remodel their arrangements, and to supersede my lieutenancy by the appointment of a superior and permanent commander."

And again on Christmas-day to the same gentleman in reply to an acknowledgement of the preceding, he says while thanking Mr. Bankes "for his warm-hearted letter as very grateful to his feelings." He adds, "Confidentially I tell you, that far from feeling in the least annoyed, I shall feel greatly relieved by a restoration to privacy and freedom. I worked upon my spirit in '46 and '47; but I have learnt now that I have shaken my constitution to the foundation, and I seriously doubt my being able to work on much longer."

He wrote on the 24th of December to one of his most intimate friends and warmest supporters, Mr. Christopher, the member for Lincolnshire, who had remonstrated with him as to his decision: "It is not in my nature to retain a station one moment after I get a hint even that any portion of those who raised me to it are wearied of seeing me there. The old members of the party will all-recollect how clearly I foresaw and foretold that I should be found a very inconve-



nient as well as a very inefficient leader, so soon as the great protection battle was brought to a close. I predicted all that has since occurred; and no one more cordially agrees than I do in the wisdom of the present decision, the spirit I presume of which is that no great party or large body of men can be successfully, or to any good purpose, led except by a man whose heart and soul sympathises with them in all their feelings, partialities, and prejudices. Cold reason has a poor chance against such influences. There can be no *esprit de corps* and no zeal where there is not a union of prejudices as well as of commercial opinions. The election of a leader, united with the great body of the party in these respects will tend greatly to reunite its scattered particles, even on those questions where I shall be able to give my aid with all my wonted zeal, which will not be the less spirited because it will be free and independent."

At a later period acknowledging an address signed by the great body of the protectionist party, and presented to him by the present Earl Talbot, then a member of the house of commons, Lord George wrote, "The considerations which obliged me to surrender a post of honour which every independent and high-minded English gentleman has at all times prized above the highest rewards in the gift of the crown, 'the leadership of the country gentlemen of England,' will never influence me to swerve from any

endeavours of which my poor abilities and bodily energies are capable in the promotion of the prosperity of all classes in the British empire at home and in the colonies, any more than they can ever make me forget the attachment, the friendship, and the enthusiastic support, of those who stood by me to the end of the death struggle for British interests and for English good faith and political honour, and to whose continued friendship and constancy I know I am indebted for this graceful and grateful compliment."

If Lord George Bentinck were inexorable to the entreaties of his friends, it must not be supposed that he was influenced in the course which he pursued, as was presumed by many at the time not acquainted with the circumstances, by any feeling of pique or brooding sullenness. No high-spirited man under vexatious and distressing circumstances ever behaved with more magnanimity. In this he was actuated in a great degree by a sense of duty, but still more by that peculiar want of selfishness which was one of the most beautiful traits of his character. The moment he had at all recovered from the severe attack by which, to use his own language, he had been "struck down in the first week of the session," and from the effects of which it may be doubted whether he ever entirely recovered, he laboured zealously to induce some competent person to undertake

the office which he had thought it expedient to resign, offering in several instances to serve in the ranks, and to assist with his utmost energies, both in and out of the house, the individual who would undertake the responsible direction in the commons.

These efforts though indefatigable were not successful, for those who were competent to the office cared not to serve under any one except himself. About this time a personage of great station, and who very much admired Lord George Bentinck, wrote to him, and recommended him not to trouble himself about the general discipline of the party, but to follow his own course, and lead that body of friends who under all circumstances would adhere to him, instancing the case of Mr. Canning, under circumstances not altogether dissimilar. Lord George replied : " As for my rallying a personal party round myself as Mr. Canning did, I have no pretension to anything of the kind ; when Mr. Canning did that the house of commons, and England too, acknowledged him to be the greatest orator who had survived Pitt and Fox ; he had been secretary of state for foreign affairs, and had taken a conspicuous part in rousing the country to carry on the war against France."

The nature of the subject, dealing as it necessarily does with so many personal details, renders it impossible to make public the correspondence in which

Lord George Bentinck was engaged at this time in his attempts to place the protectionist party under the guidance of one who would unite all sympathies ; but were that publication possible, it would place Lord George Bentinck in a very noble and amiable light, and prove a gentleness and softness in his nature for which those who were not very intimate with him did not give him credit. Not that it must be for a moment supposed that he was insensible to what was occurring. He was the most sensitive as well as the proudest of men. When the writer called at Harcourt House to bid him farewell before the Christmas holidays, and conversing very frankly on the course which he was then pursuing, inquired as to his future proceedings, Lord George said with emotion : " In this cause I have shaken my constitution and shortened my days, and I will succeed or die." In the course of the year 1848, walking home together from the house of commons, he twice recurred to this terrible alternative.

But all considerations were merged at this moment in the predominant one which was to keep the party together. He wrote to a friend at the end of January, who urged him, as the hour of work approached and the injurious inconveniences of his abdication would be more felt, to confer with his former followers and reconsider his position, that no personal feeling prevented his taking that course, but that he felt any

resumption of responsibility on his part would not be pleasing to a section of those who formerly served with him, and that there would be a "split" in the ranks. "As far as I am personally concerned," he added, "I could submit to anything short of having my ears cut off and appearing as a 'Croppy,' to be free again. My pride cannot stand leading an unwilling party; I would just as soon thrust myself into a dinner-room, where I was at once an uninvited and an unwelcome guest."

In the meantime, according to his custom, the moment that he had sufficiently recovered from his illness, he prepared with the utmost zeal for the coming struggle respecting the fate of our sugar colonies, in which subject he was soon absorbed.

"The other subject on which I am going to bother you," he wrote to a friend towards the end of January, "is the sugar question. I move for my committee on Thursday next, but although government grant the committee, I plainly see that a very spirited debate will be got up on the question, and not unlikely an amendment in some shape moved recording the opinion of the house that some relief should be immediately given or guaranteed.

"It is a subject on which you, Granby, and I have good reason to pride ourselves, and I clearly perceive that it is the question now upon which the commerce of the country is most alive, and the weak point in

the defences of free trade. There is no use speaking to the country on matters about which it does not feel, but its nerves are just now very sensitive upon this subject, and if well managed are very assailable to new impressions.

“The West Indian and colonial interests are strongly impressed with a notion that Peel means to pirouette again and fly to their rescue. If so, he would lay himself open to a most terrific and cruel dissection at your hands. Free trade will break down and protection eventually triumph through the sugar duties.

“Pray give your mind a little to this subject, and let us be prepared for all comers and rouse the country.

“P.S. Recollect however that we cannot deny that in the first instance the revenue has gained £400,000, and that the consumers have saved nearly two millions and a half in the price of their sugar; but with all this the balance of imperial ruin is so great as to be intolerable.”

He wrote also to Mr. C. Henry Chapman, of Liverpool: “My motion for a committee to inquire into the state of the sugar-planting colonies will come on the day parliament meets. I must write to \*\*\*\*\*. My own illness, the arrear I fell into with business, and since the adjournment the bother of corre-

spondence about the leadership, have combined to prevent my doing so earlier. I propose beginning with the East Indies, which I expect will be only two or three days' business: then taking the Mauritius, which cannot be a long affair; and concluding with the West Indies, which I suppose may take a good while."

He wrote also to Mr. Wright, of Nottingham, on the 1st of February.

"I am just now engaged with the sugar question, but after Thursday I shall be happy to see you any day you happen to come to town.

"The anti-gold league goes further than I should like to accompany them, and I have avoided mixing myself up with them.

"Perhaps a private circulation of your pamphlet might be better than publishing for sale, but why not combine both? Privately circulating it to all members of parliament, newspaper and periodical writers, and letting the multitude have the chance of purchase.

"Herries has some resolutions which stand for the 8th, but with thirteen millions of gold and silver in the bank coffers, consols bordering on 90, and money a drug in the city, we may be said to have let the bird get out of shot before we pulled the trigger. We ought to have moved an amendment on the

address ; when the bank usury was eight per cent., and half the world doubted if the next dividends would be paid.

“ We are always two months too late in every move we make.”

Parliament re-assembled on the 3rd of February, and on that night Lord George Bentinck brought forward his motion for “ a select committee to inquire into the present condition and prospects of the interests connected with and dependent on sugar and coffee planting in her majesty’s East and West Indian possessions and the Mauritius, and to consider whether any and what measures can be adopted by parliament for their relief.” When he entered the house Lord George walked up to the head of the second bench below the gangway on the opposition side, and thus significantly announced that he was no longer the responsible leader of the protectionist party. It was the wish of the writer of these pages, who had resolved to stand or fall by him, to have followed his example and to have abdicated the prominent seat in which the writer had been unwillingly and fortuitously placed ; but by the advice or rather at the earnest request of Lord George Bentinck this course was relinquished as indicative of schism, which he wished to discourage ; and the circumstance is only mentioned as showing that Lord George



was not less considerate at this moment of the interests of the protectionist party than when he led them with so much confidence and authority. The session however was to commence without a leader, without any recognised organ of communication between parties, or any responsible representative of opinion in debate. All again was chaos. There is however something so vital in the conservative party that it seems always to rally under every disadvantage.

Lord George spoke well to his resolution; the house soon recognised he was master of his case, and though few foresaw at the moment the important consequences to which this motion would lead, the house was interested from the first, and though there was no division the debate lasted two days and was sustained on both sides with great animation.

The mover vindicated himself very successfully for only proposing a committee of inquiry. "It has been represented to me," he said, "by the colonies and by persons in this country who are interested in them, that the course which I am proposing is not consistent with the necessities of the case, that there is something pusillanimous in the motion which I am going to make, that in point of fact the interests connected with sugar and coffee planting are *in extremis*, and that while the question of their redress is discussing in a

committee above stairs these great interests will perish. They say to me that a committee of inquiry will be to them of the nature of that comfort which,

“ Like cordials after death, come late,”

and that before the committee shall have reported the West Indian interest will be altogether past recovery. But, Sir, it is for me to consider what my power is to obtain any substantial relief by a direct vote of this house, and when I remember that in July 1846, I moved a resolution the purport of which was to maintain the protection for the West Indian and the East Indian free-labour colonies which they now seek, and that I had but one hundred and thirty gentlemen to support me, while two hundred and sixty-five votes were recorded in favour of the measure of the government admitting slave-labour sugar, I feel that it is hopeless for me to endeavour in this house, where I have no reason to suppose any addition has been made to the members acquiescing in my views, to convert that minority into a majority, and more especially when I recollect that on that occasion but five gentlemen connected with the West Indian and East Indian interests recorded their votes with me, I think the West Indian interest has not a good case

against me when they blame me for not taking a more resolute step on this occasion."

He was not however without hope from the course which he had decided to pursue. "Looking as I have done at the deplorable state of the West Indies, the East Indies, and the Mauritius, and holding as I do in my hand a list of forty-eight great houses in England—twenty-six of the first commercial houses in London, sixteen in Liverpool, and six elsewhere—which have failed, and whose liabilities amount in the whole to £6,300,000 and upwards, none of which I believe would have fallen had it not been for the ruin brought upon them by the change in the sugar duties and the consequent reduction in the price of their produce, I do hope through the intervention of a committee of this house I may be able to prevail upon the house to change its policy with regard to this great question."

Lord George was supported in this debate by Mr. Thomas Baring in one of the best speeches ever made in the house of commons. Few more combine mastery of the case with parliamentary point than this gentleman. It is not impossible to find a man capable of addressing the house of commons who understands the subject, it is not impossible to find a man who can convey his impressions on any subject to the house in a lively and captivating manner,

though both instances are rarer than the world would imagine; but a man who at the same time understands a question and can handle it before a popular assembly in a popular style, who teaches without being pedantic, can convey an argument in an epigram, and instruct as the Mexicans did by picture, possesses a talent for the exercise of which he is responsible to his sovereign and his country.

Mr. Baring said that he could not perfectly agree either with Lord John Russell or Lord George Bentinck that protection or free trade must be in what they called a circle round which in their legislation they must always move; that they must either give protection to everything or free trade to everything. He could not say that because sugar claimed protection coals must have protection also. Neither would he on the other hand apply free-trade to every article. He acknowledged the advantage of competition as a stimulus, he thought that, placing things on equal grounds, competition was undoubtedly a great advantage. He could understand a competition to try the mutual speed of race horses, but there could be no competition between a race horse and a steam engine, for the power of the animal could bear no comparison with that of the machine?

Mr. Baring could look back to no legislation more

humiliating than the legislation regarding our colonies. No great interest was ever so much trifled with, so much sacrificed to the cry of the day; at one moment to no slavery and another to cheap sugar.

The committee was granted, and it was generally felt that the question was consequently quieted for the session. Let us see whether that anticipation were realized.

## CHAPTER XXVI.

WHEN Lord John Russell, in 1846, endeavoured to reconcile our sugar producing colonies to the inferior position in which his government placed them by representing to them that the duties under the new law would be permanent, not leaving the question to yearly debate with all the uncertainty and anxiety which then attended it, he could have little anticipated that before twelve months had elapsed the consideration of parliament would again be challenged to the subject, and under circumstances which made almost all persons feel that renewed deliberation was required. The dissolution of parliament then prevented Lord George Bentinck from bringing the subject of these colonies before the house. The black rod arrived on the very day that he made a statement of their condition, and time was only afforded to receive from the lips of the minister

who represented in the house of commons the colonial office a report of the prosperous condition of the island of Mauritius.

The session of 1848, one of the longest on record, may be said to have commenced with sugar and to have concluded with sugar; and although in the course of that session the whole world was involved in revolution, though the navigation laws were vainly attacked, and the income tax threatened to be nearly doubled, though at such a crisis the government were discomfited in their financial policy, sugar was the subject which really shook them in their saddles, and from the consequences of which they escaped in a full house and after protracted debates by only a bare majority.

Singular article of produce! What is the reason of this influence? It is that all considerations mingle in it; not merely commercial, but imperial, philanthropic, religious; confounding and crossing each other, and confusing the legislature and the nation lost in a maze of conflicting interests and contending emotions.

It was expected, we will not say it was hoped, that the committee would have disposed of the question for the year, and so it would have done under ordinary circumstances and with an ordinary man. The committee was named on the 7th February. It consisted, besides the chairman, of

three members of the protectionist party, Mr. Miles, of Bristol, Sir John Pakington and Lord George Manners, and of a sugar protectionist, Sir Edward Buxton ; of two followers of Sir Robert Peel, namely, Mr. Goulburn and Mr. Cardwell ; of a cabinet minister, Mr. Labouchere, and six followers, Sir Thomas Birch, Mr. Villiers, Mr. Milner Gibson, Mr. Wilson, Mr. Ewart, and Mr. Matheson, and of a free trader not connected with any section, Mr. Henry Hope : of these fifteen gentlemen only three had voted against the act of 1846 ; namely, the chairman, Mr. Miles, and Sir John Pakington ; five had voted for it, namely, Mr. Goulburn, Mr. Labouchere, Mr. Gibson, Mr. Villiers, and Mr. Ewart. The other members had not sate in the parliament of '46, with the exception of Mr. Cardwell, who we believe was absent from the division of that year.

The committee commenced its sittings on the 9th of February, and received evidence until the 22nd of May, during which period it sate thirty-nine days, always three times a-week and on one or two occasions four times a-week. The committee sate long beyond the parliamentary hours, and sometimes so late as six o'clock. Eighty-three witnesses were called, of which sixty-five were summoned by Lord George Bentinck, individuals of every class connected with the subject ; merchants, planters, brokers, distillers, members of parliament, secretaries of state, East



India directors. More than seventeen thousand questions were asked, and it is agreed on all hands that the examination was never permitted for a moment to wander from the point at issue. The minutes of evidence were reported from time to time to the house, and ultimately took the shape of seven folio volumes.

The efficient conduct of a parliamentary committee mainly depends upon its chairman. A body of men, however zealous and intelligent, of peculiar views and injudicial habits, are soon lost in idle controversies on trifling questions if there be no dominant spirit who by his complete acquaintance with the subject and by the firmness, precision, and vigilance of his character, is capable and resolved to guide them. Lord George Bentinck in the present instance had mastered all the facts of a case involving a vast amount of details with which he had not been previously familiar; and those only who have busied themselves with a great commercial question, can know what correspondence, what interviews, what communications of all kinds, such an undertaking entails upon a member of parliament; he had to preside over an inquiry admitting a great variety of evidence of very conflicting character; he was resisted in the purpose of the investigation by the government and strongly also by the free trade party, and he was not cordially supported by those whom

he intended to serve. But he succeeded in establishing from the first good order and discipline in the committee. He prevailed upon them in the beginning to consent to certain rules as to the order in which the inquiry should be taken and the witnesses examined, and to make it clear for what purpose any evidence was to be called, and then he never allowed any member whether friend or foe to depart in the least from what had been agreed upon. Nor did he in his own conduct of the business manifest any of those deficiencies which are too often apparent in the chairmen of committees. He was intelligent, just, and firm, aiding instead of puzzling the group over which he presided in doing their work. Although the proceedings were in fact a race against time, in order that their report might form the foundation of measures to be adopted within the session, Lord George never hurried the inquiry. He endeavoured to obtain his object of a comparatively speedy report by punctuality of attendance, strictness of reference, and indefatigable energy in getting through with the work. Never was so much evidence taken by a committee in so short a space of time—never was such a degree of exhaustion experienced by short-hand writers, clerks, and members, all sustained by the energy of their chief.

The writer of these remarks was not a member of the committee on sugar and coffee planting upon

which he had felt it his duty, though unwillingly, to decline to act, as he had been appointed a member of the secret committee on commercial distress and also at that time was subject in the house to a greater pressure in debate than his experience warranted, but although therefore he had not the advantage of personal observation in the details which he has given of the sugar and coffee planting committee, he has great confidence in their truth and justness. For he sought the aid under the circumstances of two of his friends who were members of that committee, and neither of whom were political adherents of Lord George Bentinck; one of them indeed a most eminent member of the free trade party. Independently of their opportunities of forming an opinion, both of these gentlemen are particularly qualified for adopting a sound one, one of them being distinguished for his quick perception and the other for the accuracy of his judgment. The writer therefore has had the advantage of being guided in his narrative by the impressions of Mr. Charles Villiers and Mr. Henry Hope.

It should here perhaps be remarked as illustrative of the capacity of labour by which Lord George Bentinck was distinguished, that the conduct of the sugar and coffee committee which seemed alone to require the devotion of his time, did not prevent him from simultaneously acting as a member of the secret

committee on commercial distress of which he was a regular attendant, and where he examined at great length the governor of the bank of England. Nor was he ever more regular in his attendance in the house than throughout this laborious spring, always ready in his place to vindicate his own peculiar views or to assail those who differed from him whenever the opportunity arose. It should also be recollected that every morning before he attended the committee he had preliminarily to receive at home and to hear the statements of those who were to give their evidence, and whom in the great majority of instances he had afterwards to examine in chief. None of these witnesses left him without being impressed with admiration and astonishment at his knowledge of the subject and the perseverance which he displayed. Nor must it be omitted that during all this period he was also laboriously digesting the evidence received, and preparing that remarkable report which remains one of the most singular and valuable productions of our parliamentary literature, pouring a flood of light upon the position of our sugar colonies which they never had received, and which concluded with no less than two hundred and thirty-four resolutions, in none of which is there any repetition, and in all of which are references to the evidence where anything however minute is alleged or asserted. This was the period of his life when he was fre-

quently in the habit of working eighteen hours a day. That might indeed be said of Bentinck which Tully said of Marcus Brutus, *quidquid vult, valde vult*.

After the evidence was concluded on the 22nd of May, the committee on sugar and coffee planting sat for one week on their report. The resolutions of the chairman which as regards sugar recommended that the duty on foreign sugar should be maintained at 20s. per cwt., and the duty on British colonial sugar should be reduced to 10s. were negatived by a majority of nine to five. This would not have been a disappointment to any one less sanguine than Lord George Bentinck, but notwithstanding the elements of the committee he had indulged in hopes, and he frequently mentioned that he never knew a committee of which the members were universally so anxious to ascertain the truth and to arrive at a just conclusion without reference to their preconceived opinions. On the resolutions moved by Mr. Wilson, which recommended relief but threw the selection of the remedies on the government, the numbers were equal, and they were negatived by the casting vote of the chairman. The draft of resolutions moved by Mr. Goulburn, conceived generally in the spirit of the preceding ones, was then read a second time by a majority of eight to six against the free trade party ; but after two days' severe discussion the numbers became equal on the vital point of these

resolutions, and they were negatived by the casting vote of the chairman. The exercise of this peculiar suffrage was invoked during this committee on five or six critical occasions, and ultimately on the 29th of May, the casting vote of the chairman carried the resolution of Sir Thomas Birch, recommending a differential duty of 10*s.*, in favour of sugar the produce of British possessions for a period of six years; "being of opinion that this temporary encouragement would have the effect of preventing the immediate and otherwise inevitable abandonment of the majority of the estates, and secure time for bringing into operation the intended measures of relief."

During the first six weeks of this famous committee the attendance of its members was not very regular and its labours attracted little attention. The evidence on the East India part of the question was closed and reported to the house by the end of February; after that period the evidence was reported to the house every week or ten days. Towards the end of March rumours began to circulate of the extraordinary vigour and ability with which this investigation was pursued and of the novel, authentic and striking evidence that had been elicited. The proceedings were talked of in the house of commons and on the royal exchange; the city men who were examined went back to their companions with wondrous

tales of the energy and acuteness of Harcourt house, and the order, method, and discipline of the committee-room at Westminster. As time elapsed the hopes of the colonial interest again revived. It was generally felt that Lord George had succeeded in establishing an irresistible case. It was rumoured that the government could not withstand it. Those who had originally murmured at the course which he had adopted of moving for a committee of inquiry instead of proposing a specific measure of relief, and had treated an investigation as a mere means of securing inaction, now recanted their rash criticism and did justice to his prescience and superior judgment as well as to his vast information and indefatigable exertions. The week during which the committee sat on their report was a very anxious one; the divisions were known every day in the house of commons; the alternations of success and discomfiture, and the balanced numbers that so often called for the interposition of the chairman, were calculated to sustain the excitement; and when on the 29th of May it was known that the report was at length agreed to, and that a committee of free traders had absolutely recommended a differential duty of 10s. in favour of our own produce, one might have fancied from the effect visibly produced that a government was changed.

A few days before, it was the day after the Derby,

May 25th, the writer met Lord George Bentinck in the library of the house of commons. He was standing before the book-shelves, with a volume in his hand, and his countenance was greatly disturbed. His resolutions in favour of the colonial interest after all his labours had been negatived by the committee on the 22nd, and on the 24th his horse Surplice, whom he had parted with among the rest of his stud solely that he might pursue without distraction his labours on behalf of the great interests of the country, had won that paramount and Olympian stake to gain which had been the object of his life. He had nothing to console him, and nothing to sustain him except his pride. Even that deserted him before a heart which he knew at least could yield him sympathy. He gave a sort of superb groan :

“All my life I have been trying for this, and for what have I sacrificed it !” he murmured.

It was in vain to offer solace.

“You do not know what the Derby is,” he moaned out.

“Yes, I do ; it is the blue ribbon of the turf.”

“It is the blue ribbon of the turf,” he slowly repeated to himself, and sitting down at the table, he buried himself in a folio of statistics.

But on Monday, the 29th, when the resolution in favour of a 10s. differential duty for the colonies had at the last moment been carried, and carried by his



casting vote, "the blue ribbons of the turf" were all forgotten. Not for all the honours and successes of all the meetings, spring or autumn, Newmarket, Epsom, Goodwood, Doncaster, would he have exchanged that hour of rapture. His eye sparkled with fire, his nostril dilated with triumph, his brow was elate like a conqueror, his sanguine spirit saw a future of continued and illimitable success.

"We have saved the colonies," he said, "saved the colonies. I knew it must be so. It is the knell of free trade."

A few days after the report of the committee on sugar and coffee planting was presented by its chairman to the house, the first minister announced that immediately after the Whitsun holidays, then impending, he would state on the part of the government the course they proposed to take "with respect to the West Indian distress." Thus was the prophecy of reaction made in 1846 with respect to the sugar duties' act fulfilled, and fulfilled too in the person of the author of that act.

The house adjourned on the 9th until the 15th of June, and on the following day, pursuant to his notice, the first minister made the promised statement. He acknowledged that with respect to the West Indies, "the operation of the act of '46 had been too rapid." The proposition of the minister, though it fell short of the wishes, and perhaps the

hopes, of the colonial interest, and though it did not fully realize the recommendation of the committee, was, considering the antecedents of the ministry and the general principles which they represented, a temperate and statesmanlike one. Its pith was to maintain the scale of '46 as to the duty on foreign sugar, but to reduce immediately the duty on colonial sugar, so that a differential duty might be secured to the colonies without raising the price to the consumer. This differential duty however, gradually diminishing, was to cease altogether in the year 1855, when both foreign and colonial sugar would be admitted at a duty of 10s. per cwt. This latter part of the scheme seemed very objectionable.

The plan of the government seemed to please no one; the protectionists and the free traders alike assailed it. Mr. Hume "confessed he could not understand how the government, after protesting as they had repeatedly done that nothing would induce them to alter or meddle with that act, could now come down to the house and unblushingly propose an alteration almost equivalent to its repeal."

Mr. Herries noticed later in the evening that not a single member who had spoken had approved of the proposition of the government. Every one of them had intimated his intention of opposing it on one ground or another. "After a committee, conducted with such extraordinary ability by Lord George Ben-

tinck, had sat for such a length of time, and had produced such a mass of information as never yet had been laid on the table of the house on any one subject, after it had issued reports and come to resolutions, the government brought forward a measure which did not meet one of the requisites they recommended."

It was on this night that Lord George Bentinck made "a grave charge" against the colonial office, which led to very warm and repeated discussions. It appeared that on the 27th of March a despatch had been received by the secretary of state from a free trade governor of Jamaica, recommending "upon the most comprehensive and painstaking review of the whole subject," a permanent differential duty of 10s. per cwt. in favour of the sugar of our West Indian colonies. Without this the governor "firmly believed that the greater portion of the sugar cultivation of Jamaica would be abandoned." The under-secretary of the colonies had been a witness before the sugar and coffee committee on the 5th of April following the receipt of this despatch, and had been asked by Mr. Goulburn whether any despatch had been received from the governor of Jamaica respecting the state of that island. The under-secretary had replied "that he was not aware of any despatch from the governor of any importance which had been withheld from the committee." Now this despatch

was of paramount importance. "It was," as Lord George Bentinck observed, "to this point, as to the requisite amount of differential duty necessary to save the colonies, that for three months I may say, morning, evening, and night, we gave our study: and fortified by such evidences as that of the governor of Jamaica, without any concert of course with us, and himself a free trader, I cannot doubt that the majority in the committee would have been much greater, and would have had proportionably greater influence in the country."

On the 5th of April, though subject to a strict examination, the under-secretary persisted in his statement that there was no important despatch from the governor of Jamaica which had not been placed before the committee. The committee remained sitting, and came to no vote whatever until eight weeks after the receipt of the "missing despatch," but nothing was heard from the colonial office, while on the 9th of June, after the proceedings of the committee had closed, a volume of papers was laid before the house, in which the despatch from Sir Charles Grey, governor of Jamaica, of the 25th March appeared for the first time. "I repeat my conviction," said Lord George Bentinck, "that if that despatch of Sir Charles Grey had not been withheld at the critical moment for fifty-six days, instead of the narrow majority by which our resolution was carried, the report

of the committee would have come out backed by a very considerable number." He said "it was a repetition of the trick played in the earlier part of the session. The sugar planting interest has not had fair play in this transaction, and it has not been intended that it should have fair play. I now ask why that despatch was held back from the committee till their sittings were brought to an end?"

The under-secretary was unable without inquiry to communicate satisfactorily to the house on the subject, but on the next night of the house meeting, which was Monday the 19th, he informed the house that he had made the requisite inquiries, that the despatch in question, "the missing despatch," had been minuted by himself, when received, to be laid before the committee, and also minuted to that effect by the secretary of state, but that unfortunately through the inadvertence of a subordinate officer, it had not been transmitted to the committee. After this statement Sir John Pakington, who had undertaken the office at the request of Lord George Bentinck and which he very effectively fulfilled, proposed in a comprehensive speech a counter-resolution on the sugar duties, expressing the opinion of the house, that considering the evidence taken before the sugar and coffee planting committee the remedies proposed by the government for the great distress of the sugar growing possessions of the crown which the committee had

unanimously declared to require an immediate application of relief would neither effect that object nor check the stimulus to the slave-trade, which a diminution of the production of sugar in those possessions must inevitably occasion. This amendment was seconded by Sir Edward Buxton, and was prolonged with great oratorical display on all sides for five nights.

On the third night, Mr. Hawes, the under-secretary of state for the colonies, spoke, and his speech, among many other things, was remarkable for the frank admission that the change of policy on the part of the government had been greatly influenced by the investigation and the opinion of the committee presided over by Lord George Bentinck. On the same night also Lord George spoke, and reiterated in detail his charge against the colonial office of suppressing the missing despatch. Nettled at these observations and partly perhaps executing a design contemplated, the first minister took advantage of the motion for the adjournment of the debate to make a severe personal attack on Lord George Bentinck. The minister said that these mean frauds, these extremely dishonourable tricks, which Lord George Bentinck imputed, were not the faults and characteristics of men who are high in public office in this country; they were characteristics of men

who were engaged in pursuits which Lord George Bentinck long followed.

Upon this there was a burst of disapprobation from all sides, in the midst of which the minister, feeling perhaps that the drift of his retort had been somewhat misapprehended, went on to say that Lord George Bentinck some years ago had greatly distinguished himself in detecting a fraud of that nature with respect to the name or the age of a horse, in which he showed very great quickness of apprehension. But the house would not be diverted from its first impression, and the minister though he pursued his observations for some minutes was continually interrupted. It was clear that the taste and feeling of the house were both offended.

This unusual indiscretion from so eminent a personage, and one who both by temper and discipline is acknowledged to be superior to passion, called forth a rejoinder from a friend of Lord George Bentinck, who reminded the minister that his brother the Duke of Bedford had taken the lead in honoring Lord George Bentinck for his great services to public morality in this very instance. A scene of great and prolonged excitement occurred which did not terminate until half-past two o'clock on Saturday morning. Two cabinet ministers endeavoured to palliate the position of their chief, but the house was not ap-

peased, and it was observed that Sir Robert did not come to the rescue of his successor. It was thought that if the division had been taken under the circumstances the ministry would have been beaten. As it was, the debate was again adjourned until Monday, when the under-secretary of state again preceded the business of the night by an explanatory statement, and on this occasion though with reluctance gave the name of the gentleman through whose neglect the direction in the official minute had been disregarded. Lord George Bentinck following substantially retracted nothing, enforced his original charge, and then, as illustrative of the system pursued by the colonial office, introduced a new one into the debate of a very grave character. He charged Lord Grey in the house of lords with reading an important memorial to prove the prosperous state of Jamaica and omitting the sequel of the same document, in which the memorialists declared that they were in a "desperate position." This unexpected discussion was not calculated to cool the fervid atmosphere of Saturday and occupied several hours, and the subject was considered so grave that Lord Grey felt it necessary on the next night (the 27th) to vindicate his conduct in the house of lords, whereupon Lord Stanley, who was master of the case, enforced the charges of Lord George Bentinck against the colonial office, and vindicated his conduct and motives throughout



these discussions in a manner which was felt and much appreciated by his friend.

On the 29th, the fifth and last night of the debate, Sir Robert Peel spoke, and although the greater part of his followers, including Mr. Goulburn, Mr. Gladstone, Mr. Herbert, Sir George Clerk, and Mr. Cardwell voted for the counter-resolution of Sir John Pakington, he thought fit to oppose it. It is due to the memory of two remarkable men that we should quote here a passage in the speech of Sir Robert Peel which was delivered with marked emphasis, turning as he spoke to Lord George Bentinck :

“ I have read,” said Sir Robert Peel, “ the proceedings of the committee on sugar and coffee planting. Occupied as I have been by other committees and by other business, yet from the great importance of the noble lord’s committee, I have read the whole of the evidence taken ; and no consideration shall prevent me from expressing the opinion that a flood of light has been thrown upon the position of the West Indian colonies which could not have been thrown upon it unless that committee had been presided over by a chairman bringing to the performance of his duty the assiduity, the zeal, and the knowledge which were displayed by the noble lord.”

The division was called at two o’clock, and the

government had only a majority of 15 in a house of 509 members.

The house sat four days in committee on the ministerial resolutions. On the fourth day, the 10th of July, Lord George Bentinck delivered a speech on the question in all its bearings, which took three hours and a half in its delivery. This speech has been published, and is a repertory of all the facts of the case lucidly arranged. One of his great points was that our manufacturers were suffering not so much from the disturbed state of Europe as from the ruin of our own colonies. The results of his active correspondence with Mr. Burn, the editor of the *Commercial Glance*, may be traced in this speech, whom he quoted with that respect which he sincerely felt for that painstaking and accurate commercial statistician.

On the 15th of July the report of the resolutions was brought up, and a bill in pursuance of them brought in. Four days afterwards Lord George found an informality in the bill, which the chancellor of the exchequer disregarded. Nevertheless on the 21st Lord George returned to the conflict and entering into many impugning details, said the bill must be withdrawn. Ten days after this the government withdrew their bill and introduced a new one. Under these circumstances it may be easily credited that

Lord George Bentinck did not spare his opponents. Finally the famous sugar bill of 1848 was not read a third time and passed until the 22nd of August, when Lord George Bentinck, true to his mission, brought forward an additional clause, "the effect of which would be to place the English refiner on the same footing with foreigners."

-

## CHAPTER XXVII.

THE ministers had commenced their financial career of 1848 with a proposition greatly to increase the income tax, chiefly on the plea of the necessity of considerably enlarging our means of defence and armaments. It was understood, but sceptically received, that the dangerous designs of the French Bourbons occasioned this anxiety and justified this expenditure. The house of commons, and no one more actively than Lord George Bentinck, could not however tolerate so great and so odious an increase of taxation from a government without a parliamentary majority. The ministerial propositions were withdrawn, but strange to say before this retreat was sounded, the throne of the French Bourbons had fallen ; and in the midst of general convulsion, with four pitched battles fought in Europe in eight weeks, and the Adriatic and the Baltic both blockaded, the

government discovered that without the increased tax our armaments were sufficiently strong and our means of defence adequate.

The subversion of the Orleans' dynasty was a great misfortune for France and for Europe. After the overthrow of the king of the French, his former admirers in this country very freely criticised his conduct and very satisfactorily accounted for his calamities. One eminent politician informed us that the basis of the suffrage in France was too narrow; it has been broad enough since, without producing an assembly more entitled to public respect; another statesman deplored the parliamentary corruption by which the chamber of deputies was managed as fatal to the dynasty, which however was not so flagrant as our own system in the early part of the last century and which then saved the dynasty. The economists traced the catastrophe in the most scientific manner to bad harvests and a restricted commerce. All these are the conclusions of superficial observers and of pedants. It was neither parliaments nor populations, nor the course of nature nor the course of events, that overthrew the throne of Louis Philippe. Amid one of those discontents which are appeased by the sacrifice of a favourite or the change of a ministry, the sovereign and the subjects both in confusion, the king deprived of his wonted energy by a prostrating illness and the citizens murmuring without con-

victions, the throne was surprised by the secret societies, ever prepared to ravage Europe.

The origin of the secret societies that prevail in Europe is very remote. It is probable that they were originally confederations of conquered races organized in a great measure by the abrogated hierarchies. In Italy they have never ceased, although they have at times been obliged to take various forms ; sometimes it was a literary academy, sometimes a charitable brotherhood ; freemasonry was always a convenient guise. The inquisition in its great day boasted that it had extirpated them in Spain, but their activity in that country after the first French revolution rather indicates a suspension of vitality than an extinction of life. The reformation gave them a great impulse in Germany, and towards the middle of the eighteenth century, they had not only spread in every portion of the north of that region but had crossed the Rhine.

The two characteristics of these confederations which now cover Europe like network, are war against property and hatred of the Semitic revelation. These are the legacies of their founders ; a proprietary despoiled and the servants of altars that have been overthrown. Alone, the secret societies can disturb, but they cannot control, Europe. Acting in unison with a great popular movement they may destroy society, as they did at the end of the last

century. The French disturbance of '48 was not a great popular movement. It was a discontent which required nothing more for its solution than a change of ministry: but the sovereign and his subjects were in sudden confusion; the secret associations are always vigilant and always prepared; they took society by surprise, but having nothing really to rely upon except their own resources, the movement however disastrous has been an abortion.

It is the manœuvres of these men, who are striking at property and Christ, which the good people of this country, who are so accumulative and so religious, recognise and applaud as the progress of the liberal cause.

It is very desirable that the people of England should arrive at some conclusions as to the conditions on which the government of Europe can be carried on. They will perhaps after due reflection discover that ancient communities like the European must be governed either by traditionary influences or by military force. Those who in their ardour of renovation imagine that there is a third mode, and that our societies can be re-constructed on the great transatlantic model, will find that when they have destroyed traditionary influences there will be peculiar features in their body-politic which do not obtain in the social standard which they imitate, and these may be described as elements of disturbance. A

dynasty may be subverted, but it leaves as its successor a family of princely pretenders ; a confiscated aristocracy takes the shape of factions ; a plundered church acts on the tender consciences of toiling millions ; corporate bodies displaced from their ancient authority no longer contribute their necessary and customary quota to the means of government ; outraged tradition in multiplied forms enfeebles or excruciates the reformed commonwealth. In this state of affairs, after a due course of paroxysms, for the sake of maintaining order and securing the rights of industry, the state quits the senate and takes refuge in the camp.

Let us not be deluded by forms of government. The word may be republic in France, constitutional monarchy in Prussia, absolute monarchy in Austria, but the thing is the same. Wherever there is a vast standing army, the government is the government of the sword. Half a million of armed men must either be, or be not, in a state of discipline. If they be not in a state of discipline, but follow different chiefs, it is not government but anarchy ; if they be in a state of discipline, they must obey one man, and that man is the master.

England is the only important European community that is still governed by traditionary influences, and amid the shameless wreck of nations she alone has maintained her honour, her liberty, her order, her authority, and her wealth. Yet there is a party



among us who yearn for the transatlantic type. Let them remember that in the United States there are no elements of disturbance. Our kinsmen brought the experience of Europe to a virgin soil ; they have never had to make war upon tradition, its various progeny and inexhaustible resources. The United States are not even troubled by the perplexity which would seem common to all communities ; a surplus population. The United States are a territorial republic ; their lands are not yet half appropriated ; they are not only a colony but they are still colonising. There may be sympathy of feeling between Great Britain and the United States, but there is no analogy in their social or political conditions. If any party in this country become strong enough to force one and so destroy the existing means of government, that party and their creations will succumb after the usual paroxysms beneath the irresistible law which dooms Europe to the alternate sway of disciplined armies or secret societies ; the camp or the convention.

But it is said that it is contrary to the spirit of the age that a great nation like England, a community of enlightened millions long accustomed to public liberty, should be governed by an aristocracy. It is not true that England is governed by an aristocracy in the common acceptance of the term. England is governed by an aristocratic principle.

The aristocracy of England absorbs all aristocracies, and receives every man in every order and every class who defers to the principle of our society, which is to aspire and to excel.

Notwithstanding the formal renunciation of the leadership of the protectionist party by Lord George Bentinck, it was soon evident to the house and the country that that renunciation was merely formal. In these days of labour the leader of a party must be the man who does the work, and that work cannot now be accomplished without the devotion of a life. Whenever a great question arose, the people out of doors went to Lord George Bentinck, and when the discussion commenced he was always found to be the man armed with the authority of knowledge. There was however no organized debate and no party discipline. No one was requested to take a part and no attendance was ever summoned. The vast majority sitting on the protectionist benches always followed Bentinck, who whatever might be his numbers in the lobby always made a redoubtable stand in the house. The situation however it cannot be denied was a dangerous one for a great party to persevere in, but no permanent damage accrued, because almost every one hoped that before the session was over the difficulty would find a natural solution in the virtual chief resuming his formal and responsible post. Notwithstanding his labours on the two great com-

mittees of the year, those on colonial and commercial distress, Lord George Bentinck found time to master the case of the shipping interest when the navigation laws were attacked, to impugn in a formal motion the whole of the commercial policy of Sir Robert Peel even while the sugar and coffee planting committee was still sitting, and to produce early in March a rival budget. It was mainly through the prolonged resistance which he organized against the repeal of the navigation laws, that the government in 1848 was forced to abandon their project. The resistance was led with great ability by Mr. Herries, and the whole party put forward their utmost strength to support him. But it is very difficult to convey a complete picture of the laborious life of Lord George Bentinck during the sitting of parliament. At half-past nine o'clock there called upon him the commercial representatives of the question of the day; after these conferences came his elaborate and methodical correspondence, all of which he carried on himself in a handwriting clear as print, and never employing a secretary; at twelve or one o'clock he was at a committee, and he only left the committee-room to take his seat in the house of commons, which he never quitted till the house adjourned, always long past midnight and often at two o'clock in the morning. Here he was ready for all comers, never omitting an

opportunity to vindicate his opinions, or watching with lynxlike vigilance the conduct of a public office. What was not his least remarkable trait is, that although he only breakfasted on dry toast, he took no sustenance all this time, dining at White's at half-past two o'clock in the morning. After his severe attack of the influenza he broke through this habit a little during the last few months of his life, moved by the advice of his physician and the instance of his friends. The writer of these observations prevailed upon him a little the last year to fall into the easy habit of dining at Bellamy's, which saves much time and permits the transaction of business in conversation with a congenial friend. But he grudged it: he always thought that something would be said or done in his absence, which would not have occurred had he been there; some motion whisked through or some return altered. His principle was that a member should never be absent from his seat.

---

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, February 15, 1848.

We have had a witness before the secret committee who has propounded the monstrous dogma that the losses in 1825-26 exceeded those of 1847-48, and he relies for proof on the losses on produce, and especially on cotton. He

said: "There are figures before one's eyes as to some of the prices; you will there meet with a fall of 1*s.* or 1*s.* 6*d.* upon cotton, whereas 3*d.* or 3½*d.* per pound now is the maximum. Cotton was sold at about 2*s.* per pound in 1825, according to my recollection, and I think it was even still higher; but it was sold in 1826 at 9*d.* per pound and 10*d.* per pound; but in 1847, when it was highest, and had risen to such a fearful price, it had not risen above 7*d.* or 8*d.* per pound. Therefore there was a loss not only of a large amount of cotton, but there was a fall in the price to which the present bears no proportion."

He was asked: "Was the stock of 1825 anything like the amount of stock in 1847?"

Answer: "My impression is that it was larger; the stock of 1847 was remarkably low."

Now upon referring to your cotton statistics, I find quantities and prices as stated in the enclosed return, which very much differs from the evidence given. Stocks on hand, instead of being larger in 1825-26, proving little more than half those of January 1848, whilst the average fall, instead of 1*s.* or 1*s.* 6*d.* per pound, appears to have been 5¼*d.* or 6⅞*d.*—a very wide difference.

But in the export the difference is still more striking, and it is there that England loses the whole amount of depreciation: 207,775 bags exported in 1847 against 68,741 bags in 1826. I believe too that bags in 1825-26 scarcely averaged 350 lbs. weight, whilst in 1847 I believe you estimate them to weigh 400 lbs. Pray tell me how this is, and give me your comments on the evidence I have transcribed.

.....

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, February 26, 1848.

I have only a moment before going to my committee to thank you for your admirable and most interesting statistics. The account of the fiats in bankruptcy is one that has been laid before the secret committee, and is of course official. What I want more particularly are the Scotch sequestrations, which the secret committee have not got; and if you could by return of post fill up the bankrupt and sequestration list to the last week of the present year, it would I suspect tell a fearful tale.

I think of calling you as a witness before the secret committee and before the sugar planting committee. In the first to draw the contrast between the distress of 1846-47-48 as compared with 1825-26, with 1837, and with 1839-40-41-42. And before the sugar planting committee to show the effect upon the manufacturing interests of transferring from the British East and West Indies and the Mauritius to foreign slave-holding countries the supply of this country with sugar.

Before the committee we must begin accurately with the 22nd of August 1846, and bring the period up if possible to the 22nd of February, and compare this enlarged period with an equal period under protection. To enable you to do this I propose to call you as late as possible, say the middle of March.

I should wish you also to make a comparative calculation of the proportion in each period which went in wages to

operatives, and profits of manufacturers, that I may contrast what the manufacturers and operatives lost by diminished employment with their savings by cheap sugar.

---

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, March 3, 1848.

I thank you for this day's information, but I think we must have the goods reduced ; first into money value, and next into wages and profits, and the number of families those lost wages would have sustained. To get accurately at this, we must in each period of eighteen months deduct the value of raw cotton, which I apprehend was far less in proportion in the first period of eighteen months than in the second. I want you then to be prepared with a comparative statement of the gain by cheap sugar and loss by diminished employment and wages to the entire population of the empire dependent upon cotton manufactures for their subsistence.

Take sugar as cheapened 1*d.* per lb., *i. e.* 9*s.* 4*d.* per cwt., and the consumption of sugar at 23½ lbs. per head per annum. This is the true and the telling statement.

---

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, March 8, 1848.

I was so busy all day yesterday I had not time to write to you. I would rather be governed by your opinion than

give one in any matter connected with the cotton trade, in regard to which I look with implicit confidence to your universal knowledge of all the statistics belonging to it.

There are two points only that I think require some reflection. First, as regards the number of pounds of cotton assessed to each operative in 1841 and 1846, which you take equally at 823. Now I think you once wrote or said to me that the improvements in machinery and increase of steam power had enabled the same number of hands to work 10 or 20 per cent. more cotton than they did a few years back, I forget the exact percentage. I think this must not be left out of sight. The other point regards the number of persons out of employment in 1847 as compared with 1846. I should like to compare 1846 and '47 with 1844-45; but it seems incredible that 181,341 persons could be out of employment in 1847 without a servile war. I cannot help thinking there must be some mistake about this. I think we could know pretty accurately the number of the twenty-seven classes of operatives dependent upon cotton manufactures for their subsistence employed and out of employ in the Manchester district. I think having got these data to go upon, the safest mode of calculation would be to estimate those out of employment in the united kingdom in 1847 as compared with 1844-45, as bearing the same proportion to the total number employed that the unemployed of Manchester district in the same period bore to the employed.

I wish you would give me a statement of the average price of cotton in each of the three monthly periods of 1843-44, and first three months of 1845, as compared with



the prices of each of the three monthly periods which have elapsed since.

My object is to show that through their entire monopoly of the cotton supply the United States have obtained the entire benefit of the repeal of the cotton duty. Of this I have not the least doubt myself.

I read in the United States papers that so enormous are the profits which the cotton planters have realized in 1846-47 that they can well afford to hold out and starve the English manufacturers into paying such prices as the United States may choose to dictate.

---

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, March 28, 1848.

Do you think if I were to postpone examining you till this day, or Saturday se'nnight, you can manage to make the imports and exports to sugar growing countries for nineteen instead of eighteen months, thus bringing the period down to the 22nd of this month, and taking another month back in 1845. The larger period of time the comparison embraces the more valuable it will be held as a criterion, whilst I imagine I may assume that the trade to British sugar-growing possessions instead of getting better is every month getting from bad to worse.

Mr. Gladstone, in his answer to me the other night, declared that it was not the rivalry of France that Spitalfields was suffering from, but the rivalry of Manchester.

I wish you could find out for me the statistics of the

**Manchester silk trade from the year 1841 to the present time, viz. :**

The number of mills each year employed.

The number of persons each year employed.

Consumption of raw and thrown silk.

Wages of operatives in each year.

I do observe that there appear to be but eight silk mills in Manchester, and 3,000 persons employed thereupon, and that all these are working full time. But the question is, were there not in 1845 eight mills and 3,000 persons engaged in the silk trade working full time, and working at as high or higher wages, and larger profits than they are now working at?

I thought Macclesfield, rather than Manchester, was the head-quarters of the silk trade, if so, perhaps you might be able to obtain some information for me from Macclesfield.

#### TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, April 19, 1848.

I can hardly imagine, after your great and exciting speech this morning on the mighty concerns of all Europe, in which in an hour and a quarter you taught the house of commons the diplomatic history of northern Europe, of which before they knew nothing, that you can possibly be able to settle down your mind to think of groceries, even on the wholesale scale which involves the sugar trade of the British East and West Indies ; but in case you should be able so to distract your mind from European to trading

interests, I send you another proof-sheet of the report; this has not been touched at all; it is the original rough draft, and requires even more lopping, methodizing, and licking into shape, than the last.

~~~~~

TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, April 20, 1848.

I send you all the proofs as far as they are printed. The gap of twenty pages, between pages 55 and 75, arises from the difference between old and new style. No. 1 are new style, viz., the corrected proofs, originally seventy-four pages cut down to fifty-five by being printed all over the sheet, instead of over half the sheet. I have another set coming to-night, which completes the precis, if anything upwards of two-hundred pages can properly be so called. I have not looked at these rough sheets.

The chairman of excise with his rule-of-three sum wants some of your attic salt applying to his raw back.

I find our holidays are only a week.

~~~~~

TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, June 11, 1848.

Can you tell me what would be the amount of tonnage required to carry out all the manufactures we export to Brazil, and Cuba, and Porto Rico? Take the average of cotton, woollen, linen, and silk goods exported, what

would be the average value per ton of each description of goods?

The point is this, if the navigation laws are repealed, the country which obtains the larger portion of the outward freight must necessarily get the sugar, and coffee, and cotton freights to England. As Cuba, Porto Rico, and Brazil, obtain the larger portion of their lumber, grain, rice, and provision from the United States, I think there can be no doubt that the great bulk of the inward tonnage must be from the United States, whilst the great bulk of outward tonnage must go to Europe. Consequently, under the existing law, the great majority of British ships must go out in ballast, and bring home heavy cargoes of sugar to England; whilst the United States ships must enter heavy laden into the ports of Brazil, and return, the great majority of them, in ballast. I judge this must be the case, because I understand that whilst freights are 20*s.* a ton from New York to Cuba or Jamaica, I observe that they are only 90 to 110 cents from Rio to New York, whilst they are 90*s.* to Hamburgh, and 80*s.* to London.

P.S. Pray look at the Economist, and between this and Friday next do oblige me by dissecting as much of his export statement as you can, especially in regard to cotton manufactures and yarn, the diminution of which he ascribes solely to the disturbed state of the continent, whilst, if I am not greatly mistaken, no mean portion of the diminution will be found in the export trade to the United States, Canada, Brazil, British East Indies, Mauritius.

---

## TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, June 12, 1848.

\*\*\*\*\* called here yesterday evening, his object apparently being to prepare me for a general discussion on Friday next upon the sugar question. He said "the government were prepared with a workmanlike plan, though its details were not finally and irrevocably fixed, which, though it would not give satisfaction to those who looked to protection as the only cure, would take the wind out of Goulburn's and Cardwell's sails." I could not make out what his plan was, but strongly suspect that loans and assistance in obtaining fresh labour, the repeal of the navigation laws, a reduction of the duty on rum 3*d.* a gallon, and probably the continuation of the 6*s.* duty or maybe of the 7*s.* duty for a limited period will be the plan.

I cannot say I think the Times very complimentary to me, though I am bound to admit they have reported me far better than any other paper I have seen.

The event which seems to have created the greatest sensation is Sir Robert Peel's humiliating reception by his old party. It appears in public opinion to have been the most damaging occurrence which has yet befallen him. A few more such rubs will settle his account.

Have you looked up your figures to see if you are right or Wilson?

My voice is no better or very little than when you last saw me.

---

## TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, June 25, 1848.

I have this moment received the enclosed: the Duke of Newcastle desires your advice thereon. The duke says he will call after morning church. Perhaps you would call and meet him.

The sensation created by your speech in defence of me against \*\*\*\*\*'s attack is immense. Everybody I meet—man, woman, and even girls—congratulate me on your defence of me.

I enclose you some of my notes which I did not use. Recollect Pickwood was Earl Grey's witness, examined in chief three hours and twenty-five minutes, by my watch, by Wilson. He was sent to prove three things: that free labour was cheaper than slave labour; that absenteeism was at the root of the evil; and that the navigation laws were a great aggravation of it—that their repeal would be a great remedy. I have also sent you an extract of some lines from Tom Moore addressed to George IV., which I had intended to have used myself had the house been in good and listening humour and the debate taken a more playful turn, but I was obliged to shift my ground and take the savage line. I think the house would roar at this appropriate quotation. I also send you some quotations from Peel's speeches on this question.

---

## TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, June 27, 1848.

I send you a very important document prepared by Mr. Greene, showing the pitiful boon that the reduction of the duty on rum will prove to the West Indians. The statement is so clear and irrefragable that it is only necessary to put it in your hands. Divide £47,985 by 160,000 tons of British West Indian sugar, equal to 3,200,000 cwts., and you will find a reduction of 5*d.* a gallon. Even supposing the planter got the whole and the consumer no part of it, it would only amount upon last year's importation from the British West Indies to 3½*d.* and a fraction per cwt. I think it is of great importance to show what a mockery in the way of relief this remission of the rum duties is. I meant to have used it but forgot.

I think it also very material to show that Gladstone's proposal is worse than that of the government. For this purpose I want you to see Blyth and Greene to-morrow or Thursday morning that they may put you completely *au fait* at these matters. They call on me every morning at half-past nine, and I propose if you approve sending them on to you.

I also send you the explanation of the marine picture in the miracle room at the cathedral of St. Bomfim at Bahia, where they "bless" the sails of the fleets of slavers prior to their departure for what is called "The Coast."

I think a religious frenzy might be worked up out of these blasphemous proceedings.

I want to get the picture into the Illustrated News.

## TO MR. BURN.

Harcourt House, July 17, 1848.

Your last return is invaluable. It proves that it is not the disturbances on the continent of Europe from which we are suffering, but the glutted state of the United States, the Brazil, China, and foreign West India markets, together with the ruined condition of the British transmarine possessions. It would be a good thing to take out separately :

1st. Europe ;

2nd. The British possessions in Asia, Africa, and America ;

3rd. Foreign countries in Asia, Africa, and America.

The cotton manufactures appear to be rallying at last. Do you not consider that such is the case? Does the silk trade continue to be as active as you a little while ago described it?

## TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, July 24, 1848.

I am so busy I cannot go out before house of commons time, when I must be there at the meeting, as I have given a notice of amendment to the speaker's leaving the chair to go into a committee of supply. Hawes and Sir G. Grey refused me my motion on Friday night unless I would consent to alter it. I then said I would move it as an amendment on the first supply night, which is to-night.



The session of '48 had been one of unexampled length, having lasted ten months, and as usual under such circumstances the obstacles to the transaction of public business were sought everywhere except in the real quarter. The forms of the house and the propensity to unnecessary discussion among its members were chiefly denounced. Lord George Bentinck did not agree in the justness of these criminations; they were eagerly caught by the thoughtless and the superficial, but it was his habit to investigate and analyse everything, and he found that these charges had no basis. The forms of the house of commons are the result of accumulated experience and have rarely been tampered with successfully, while on the other hand a parliamentary government is by name and nature essentially a government of discussion. It is not at all difficult to conceive a mode of governing a country more expeditious than by a parliament; but where truth as well as strength is held to be an essential element of legislation, opinion must be secured an unrestricted organ. Superfluity of debate may often be inconvenient to a minister, and sometimes perhaps even distasteful to the community, but criticising such a security for justice and liberty as a free-spoken parliament is like quarrelling with the weather because there is too much rain or too much sunshine. The casual inconvenience should be forgotten in the permanent blessing. Acting upon these

false imputations a committee was even appointed two years ago of the most eminent members of the house of commons to investigate the subject and suggest remedies, and some votaries of the transatlantic type recommended the adoption of the rules of congress where each speaker is limited to an hour. But an hour from an uninteresting speaker would be a great infliction. The good sense and the good taste of the house of commons will be found on the whole to be the best regulators of the duration of a debate.

The truth is that the delay in the conduct of parliamentary business which has been much complained of during the last few years, murmurs of which were especially rife in 1848, is attributable to the fact that the ministry, though formed of men inferior in point of ability to none who could be reasonably entrusted with administration, had not sufficient parliamentary strength. After all their deliberations and foresight, after all their observations of the times and study of the public interest, their measures when launched from the cabinet into the house were not received by a confiding majority; firm in their faith in the statesmanlike qualities of the authors of these measures and in their sympathy with the general political system of which the ministry was the representative. On the contrary the success of the measures depended on a variety of sections who in their aggregate exceeded in number

and influence the party of the ministers. These became critics and took the ministerial measures in hand ; the measures became the measures not of the cabinet but of the house of commons ; and a purely legislative assembly became in consequence of the weakness of the government yearly more administrative. This was undoubtedly a great evil, and occasioned besides great delay many crude enactments, as will be the case where all are constructors and none are responsible, but the evil was not occasioned by the forms of the house or the length of the speeches. Sir Robert Peel was unquestionably a very able administrator, but if he had not had a majority of ninety he would have fallen in as ill repute as has been too often the lot of Lord John Russell.

Lord George Bentinck was very anxious that there should be a parliamentary summary of this enormous and eventful session of '48, that the conduct of business by the ministry should be traced and criticised and the character of the house of commons vindicated, and he appealed to the writer of these observations to undertake the task. But the writer was unwilling to accede to this suggestion not only because at the end of August he shrank from a laborious effort, but principally because he did not hold that his position in the house of commons warranted on his part such an interference, since, after all, he was only the comrade in arms of one who chose to be

only an independent member of the house. He therefore unaffectedly stated that he thought the office was somewhat above his measure. But Lord George Bentinck would not listen to these representations. "I don't pretend to know much," he said, "but I can judge of men and horses." It is difficult to refuse those who are themselves setting a constant example of self-sacrifice, and therefore so far as the labour was concerned the writer would not have shrunk from the exertion even on the last day of the month of August, and when the particular wish of Lord George was found to be more general than the writer presumed to suppose, he accordingly endeavoured to accomplish the intention. The reason, which it is hoped will be accepted as an apology, for entering into these particulars is that the following letters on the subject illustrate the character and life of their writer.

---

## TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, August 10, 1848.

I have this morning received the enclosed from a Liverpool merchant. I have answered him that I am not sufficiently *au fait* at the course of these negotiations (La Plata) to meddle in the matter, but that I have put his letter in your hands.

It strikes me it might constitute an item in the general charge against the government.

I send you the Chronicle, which has an article which will afford you a capital brief as regards the health of towns bill. Six reprints, growing in value from 8*d.* to 10*d.*, &c. &c.

On this point you would naturally revert to last year's bill; the total metamorphose of the measure from its constitution last year; Morpeth's speech in introducing it last year, when he promised to add God knows how many years to all our lives; calculated that he would by so doing enrich the country by adding to wealth producing life; and by saving the cost of sickness I know not how many millions a year, but I think £10,000,000 or £20,000,000 a year; whilst the human manure he was to economise was to average I think £3 a man, or £3 a family, I forget which, and estimated by the towns' population, was to realize to the country (an income saved) a sum of £5,000,000 or £10,000,000 more. I think the speech is worth looking back at.

In treating of the delay in regard to the sugar bill debates, it should be remembered that nearly two nights' debates were created by Charles Wood's dragging in his "third budget" very *mal-à-propos*, and quite *de trop* with the sugar discussion, in the most wanton way.

---

TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, August 27, 1848.

I have completed for you the history of the sugar debate. The "breach of promise," in regard to refining in bond, is a most material omission in the other memoranda. This epitome shows six days' discussion on the sugar bill,

entirely created by the ministerial blundering and vacillation, independent of the discussions caused on the "resolutions."

Six days' debates and seven divisions of the house.

---

TO A FRIEND.

Harcourt House, Wednesday, August 1848.

Half-past four o'clock in the morning.

I am just come home from the house of commons, after a sitting of fifteen hours and a half, the longest but one I believe on record. Late as it is, I send the report of the self-constituted secret committee on saving banks in Ireland, together with the bill that the government have had the audacity to found on it for England, &c., upon their secret Irish evidence. The bill has been awfully cut about in committee, but still it is a monstrous bill, and I should think very likely to put the people of this country in a frenzy. I send it to you, as I think it will afford perhaps your best weapon of attack. The bill was only printed yesterday. The English saving's banks are utterly ignorant of its contents, or of the intention of the government to bring forward any such measure. And the chancellor of the exchequer forces us into a consideration of it at eleven o'clock at night, after Lord John Russell is gone to bed, and we are kept at it in committee for two hours after all the reporters are gone to bed too.

I think it perhaps the most scandalous proceeding of which the government have been guilty.\*

\* The summary of the business of the session was to be made a noon this very day, and Lord George was in his place at that hour.

Three or four days after this, the writer, about to leave London, called at Harcourt House to say farewell to his comrade in arms. He passed with Lord George the whole morning, rather indulging in the contemplation of the future than in retrospect. Lord George was serene, cheerful, and happy. He was content with himself, which was rarely the case, and remembered nothing of his career but its distinction and the ennobling sense of having done his duty. Any misunderstandings that may have for a moment irritated him seemed forgotten; he appeared conscious that he possessed the confidence and cordial regard of the great majority of the protectionist party although he chose to occupy a private post, and he was proud of the consciousness. He was still more sensible of the sympathy which he had created out of doors, which he greatly appreciated, and to which, though with his usual modesty, he more than once recurred. "The thing is to get the people out of doors with you," he repeated, "men like the merchants; all the rest follows." It was evident that the success of his colonial committee had greatly satisfied his spirit. He had received that day the vote of thanks of the West India body for his exertions. He said more than once that with a weak government a parliamentary committee properly worked might do wonders. He said he would have a committee on import duties next year,

and have all the merchants to show what share the foreigners had obtained of the reductions that had been made of late years. He maintained that, quite irrespective of the general arrangements of the new commercial system, Sir Robert Peel had thrown away a great revenue on a number of articles of very inferior importance, and he would prove this to the country. He said our colonial empire ought to be reconstructed by a total abolition of all duties on produce from her majesty's dominions abroad.

All his ideas were large, clear and coherent. He dwelt much on the vicissitudes which must attend all merely foreign trade, which, though it should be encouraged, ought not to be solely relied on, as was the fashion of this day. Looking upon war as occasionally inevitable, he thought a commercial system based upon the presumption of perpetual peace to be full of ruin. His policy was essentially imperial and not cosmopolitan.

About to part probably for many months, and listening to him as he spoke according to his custom with so much fervour and sincerity, one could not refrain from musing over his singular and sudden career. It was not three years since he had in an instant occupied the minds of men. No series of parliamentary labours had ever produced so much influence in the country in so short a time. Never was a reputation so substantial built up in so brief a space. All the



questions with which he had dealt were colossal questions: the laws that should regulate competition between native and foreign labour; the interference of the state in the development of the resources of Ireland; the social and commercial condition of our tropical colonies; the principles upon which our revenue should be raised; the laws that should regulate and protect our navigation. But it was not that he merely expressed opinions upon these subjects; he came forward with details in support of his principles and policy which it had before been believed none but a minister could command. Instead of experiencing the usual and almost inevitable doom of private members of parliament and having his statements shattered by official information, Lord George Bentinck on the contrary was the assailant and the successful assailant of an administration on these very heads. He often did their work more effectually than all their artificial training enabled them to do it. His acute research and his peculiar sources of information roused the vigilance of all the public offices of the country. Since his time there has been more care in preparing official returns and in arranging the public correspondence placed on the table of the house of commons.

When one remembered that in this room, not three years ago, he was trying to find a lawyer who would make a speech for him in parliament, it was

curious to remember that no one in the space had probably addressed the house of commons oftener. Though his manner, which was daily improving, was not felicitous in the house, the authority of his intellect, his knowledge, and his character, made him one of the great personages of debate ; but with the country who only read his speeches he ranked high as an orator. It is only those who have had occasion critically to read and examine the long series of his speeches who can be conscious of their considerable merits. The information is always full and often fresh, the scope large, the argument close, and the style though simple never bald, but vigorous, idiomatic, and often picturesque. He had not credit for this in his day, but the passages which have been quoted in this volume will prove the justness of this criticism. As a speaker and writer his principal want was condensation. He could not bear that anything should remain untold. He was deficient in taste, but he had fervour of feeling, and was by no means void of imagination.

The writer in his frequent communications with him of faithful and unbounded confidence was often reminded of the character by Mr. Burke of my Lord Keppell.

The labours of Lord George Bentinck had been supernatural, and one ought perhaps to have felt then that it was impossible they could be continued on

such a scale of exhaustion ; but no friend could control his eager life in this respect ; he obeyed the law of his vehement and fiery nature, being one of those men who in whatever they undertake know no medium, but will "succeed or die."

But why talk here and now of death ! He goes to his native county and his father's proud domain, to breathe the air of his boyhood and move amid the parks and meads of his youth. Every breeze will bear health and the sight of every hallowed haunt will stimulate his pulse. He is scarcely older than Julius Cæsar when he commenced his public career, he looks as high and brave, and he springs from a long-lived race.

He stood upon the *perron* of Harcourt House, the last of the great hotels of an age of stately manners, with its wings, and court-yard, and carriage portal, and huge outward walls. He put forth his hand to bid farewell, and his last words were characteristic of the man : of his warm feelings and of his ruling passion : "God bless you : we must work, and the country will come round us."

## CHAPTER XXVIII.

THE heavens darken ; a new character enters upon the scene.

Ω Θάνατε, Θάνατε νῦν μ' ἐπίσκεψαι μολών.

They say that when great men arise they have a mission to accomplish and do not disappear until it is fulfilled. Yet this is not always true. After all his deep study and his daring action Mr. Hampden died on an obscure field, almost before the commencement of that mighty struggle which he seemed born to direct. In the great contention between the patriotic and the cosmopolitan principle which has hardly begun and on the issue of which the fate of this island as a powerful community depends, Lord George Bentinck appeared to be produced to represent the traditionary influences of our country in their most captivating form. Born a natural leader

of the people, he was equal to the post. Free from prejudices, his large mind sympathised with all classes of the realm. His courage and his constancy were never surpassed by man. He valued life only as a means of fulfilling duty, and truly it may be said of him that he feared nothing but God.

A few days after the interview noticed in the last chapter, Lord George Bentinck returned to Welbeck. Some there were who thought him worn by the exertions of the session, and that an unusual pallor had settled upon that mantling and animated countenance. He himself never felt in better health or was ever in higher spirits, and greatly enjoyed the change of life and that change in a scene so dear to him.

On the 21st of September after breakfasting with his family, he retired to his room where he employed himself with some papers and then wrote three letters, one to Lord Enfield, another to the Duke of Richmond, and the third to the writer of these pages. That letter is now at hand; it is of considerable length, consisting of seven sheets of note paper, full of interesting details of men and things, and written not only in a cheerful but even a merry mood. Then, when his letters were sealed, about four o'clock he took his staff and went forth to walk to Thoresby, the seat of Lord Manvers, distant between five and six miles from Welbeck, and where

Lord George was to make a visit of two days. In consequence of this his valet drove over to Thoresby at the same time to meet his master. But the master never came. Hours passed on and the master never came. At length the anxious servant returned to Welbeck and called up the groom who had driven him over to Thoresby and who was in bed, and inquired whether he had seen anything of Lord George on the way back, as his lord had never reached Thoresby. The groom got up and accompanied by the valet and two others took lanthorns and followed the footpath which they had seen Lord George pursuing as they themselves went to Thoresby.

About a mile from the abbey, on the path which they had observed him following, lying close to the gate which separates a water meadow from the deer park, they found the body of Lord George Bentinck. He was lying on his face; his arms were under his body, and in one hand he grasped his walking-stick. His hat was a yard or two before him, having evidently been thrown off in falling. The body was cold and stiff. He had been long dead.

Ω δέμας οίκτηρόν. Φῆν, Φῆν  
Ω δεινοτάτας, ὅιμοι ὅιμοι.

A woodman and some peasants passing near the spot, about two hundred yards from the gate in ques-

tion, had observed Lord George, whom at the distance they had mistaken for his brother the Marquess of Titchfield, leaning against this gate. It was then about half-past four o'clock, or it might be a quarter to five, so he could not have left his home much more than half an hour. The woodman and his companions thought "the gentleman" was reading, as he held his head down. One of them lingered for a minute looking at the gentleman, who then turned round, and might have seen these passers-by, but he made no sign to them.

Thus it seems that the attack, which was supposed to be a spasm of the heart, was not instantaneous in its effects, but with proper remedies might have been baffled. Terrible to think of him in his death struggle without aid, and so near a devoted hearth. For that hearth too what an impending future!

*Αγγελλον ἄτας τὰς ἐμὰς μόρον τ' ἔμὸν  
Γέρουντι πατρὶ—*

The terrible news reached Nottingham on the morning of the 22nd at half-past nine o'clock, and immediately telegraphed to London, was announced by a second edition of the Times to the country. Consternation and deep grief fell upon all men. One week later, the remains arrived from Welbeck at Harcourt House, to be entombed in the family vault

of the Bentincks, that is to be found in a small building in a dingy street, now a chapel of ease, but in old days the parish church among the fields of the pretty village of Marylebone.

The day of the interment was dark, and cold, and drizzling. Although the last offices were performed in the most scrupulously private manner, the feelings of the community could not be repressed. From nine till eleven o'clock that day all the British shipping in the docks and the river, from London Bridge to Gravesend, hoisted their flags half-mast high, and minute guns were fired from appointed stations along the Thames. The same mournful ceremony was observed in all the ports of England and Ireland; and not only in these, for the flag was half-mast high on every British ship at Antwerp, at Rotterdam, at Havre.

Ere the last minute gun sounded all was over. Followed to his tomb by those brothers who, if not consoled, might at this moment be sustained by the remembrance that to him they had ever been brothers not only in name but in spirit, the vault at length closed on the mortal remains of GEORGE BENTINCK.

One who stood by his side in an arduous and unequal struggle; who often shared his councils and sometimes perhaps soothed his cares; who knew well the greatness of his nature and esteemed his



friendship among the chief of worldly blessings ; has stepped aside from the strife and passion of public life to draw up this record of his deeds and thoughts, that those who come after us may form some conception of his character and career, and trace in these faithful though imperfect pages the portraiture of an ENGLISH WORTHY.

THE END.

LONDON :

Printed by Schulze and Co., 13, Poland Street.

13, GREAT MARLBOROUGH STREET.

# OLBURN AND CO.'S LIST

or

*Interesting New Works.*

---

TO BE HAD OF ALL BOOKSELLERS.

**LONDON :**

**Printed by Schulze and Co., 13, Poland Street.**

13, GREAT MARLBOROUGH STREET.

# LBURN AND CO.'S LIST Of Interesting New Works.

FOURTH EDITION REVISED.

In One large Vol., 8vo. 15s. bound.

## ORD GEORGE BENTINCK,

A POLITICAL BIOGRAPHY.

BY B. DISRAELI, M.P.

**THE BLACKWOOD'S MAGAZINE.**—"This biography cannot fail to attract the attention of the public. We are bound to say, that as a political biography rarely, if ever, met with a work more dexterously handled, or more worth interest. The exertions of Lord George Bentinck in behalf of every or depressed branch of British and Colonial industry—the vast pains he took in procuring authentic information—and the enormous amount of labour he underwent in the preparation of those materials which have shed a novel light upon disputed doctrines of economy—are faithfully related in this most interesting volume. The history of the famous session, as written by Disraeli in that brilliant and pointed style of which he is so unsummate a master, is deeply interesting. He has traced this memorable session with a vivacity and power unequalled as yet in any narrative of Parliamentary proceedings."

**THE DUBLIN UNIVERSITY MAGAZINE.**—"A political biography of Lord George Bentinck by Mr. Disraeli must needs be a work of interest and value. Either the subject or the writer would be sufficient to invest it with—the combination surrounds it with peculiar attractions. In this interesting volume Mr. Disraeli has produced a memoir of his friend in which he has combined the warmest enthusiasm of affectionate attachment with the keenness of the critic, and in which he has not only added to his reputation, but verily believe must increase his influence even as a politician."

**THE MORNING HERALD.**—"Mr. Disraeli's tribute to the memory of a departed friend is as graceful and as touching as it is accurate and impartial. A selection of Lord George Bentinck's colleagues could have been selected, who, by their high literary attainments, his personal intimacy, and party associations, have done such complete justice to the memory of a friend and Parliamentary associate. Mr. Disraeli has here presented us with the very type and model of what history should be. His sketch of the condition of parties is not mixed with some of those piquant personal episodes of party manoeuvres and intrigues, in the author's happiest and most captivating vein, which he has woven into the dry details of politics into a sparkling and agreeable narrative. The portrait which will stamp the book as one of the most extraordinary productions of the time is that of Sir Robert Peel. It is written with wonderful and extraordinary impartiality."

## NEW TRAVELS IN THE HOLY LAND.

In Two Vols., with Illustrations, 21s. bound.

EIGHT YEARS  
IN PALESTINE, SYRIA, AND ASIA ME  
FROM 1842 TO 1850.

BY F. A. NEALE, ESQ.,

LATE ATTACHED TO THE CONSULAR SERVICE IN SYRIA.

~~~~~  
Opinions of the Press.

"One of the best accounts of the country and people that has been of late years."—*Spectator*.

"A highly entertaining book, presenting a lively picture of Levant all its varied aspects."—*John Bull*.

"A very agreeable book. Mr. Neale is evidently quite familiar East, and writes in a lively, shrewd, and good-humoured manner. deal of information is to be found in his pages."—*Athenæum*.

"Deeply interesting volumes. We have rarely met with a work of this kind which we have derived so much pleasure and profit."—*Messenger*.

"We have derived unmingled pleasure from the perusal of these volumes. Very rarely have we found a narrative of Eastern travel so full and just. There is no guide-book we would so strongly recommend to a traveller about to enter on a Turkish or Syrian tour as this before him. The information it affords is especially valuable, since it is brought up to the latest moment. The narrative, too, is full of incident, and abounds in details of Turkish and Levantine life interspersed with well-told tales. The author commences his narrative at Gaza; visits Askalon, Jaffa and Caipha and Mount Carmel, Acre, Sidon and Tyre, Beyrout, Tripoli, Aleppo, Alexandretta, Adana, and Cyprus. Of several of these famous places we know no more compact and clearer account than that given in these volumes. We have to thank Mr. Neale for one of the best books of travels that we have met with for a very long time."—*Literary Gazette*.

"Mr. Neale's book will claim the highest rank among works of the kind. His long wanderings of eight years in the regions he describes have made him thoroughly familiar with localities, and with the domestic life of the people. Nothing can be more graphic than his picturesque descriptions; not less amusing than his sketches of native society; more piquant or more interesting than his stories, anecdotes, and adventures. He takes us out of the beaten tract of tourists into the nooks and corners, as well as into the cities. He tells us everything of such places as Jerusalem, Antioch, Aleppo, but we now go for the first time to Beilan, Nargheslik, Alexandretta, Cataracts, &c., &c. As might be expected in the narrative of one so conversant with what he treats of, the book is replete with new and valuable information."—*United Service Magazine*.

CAPTAIN SPENCER'S NEW WORK.

2 vols. 8vo. with Illustrations, and a valuable Map of European Turkey, from the most recent Charts in the possession of the Austrian and Turkish Governments, revised by the Author, 28s. bound.

TRAVELS IN EUROPEAN TURKEY IN 1850:

ROUGH BOSNIA, SERBIA, BULGARIA, MACEDONIA, ROUMELIA, ALBANIA, AND EPIRUS; WITH A VISIT TO GREECE AND THE IONIAN ISLES, AND A HOMEWARD TOUR THROUGH HUNGARY AND THE SCLAVONIAN PROVINCES OF AUSTRIA ON THE LOWER DANUBE.

By EDMUND SPENCER, ESQ.

Author of "TRAVELS IN CIRCASSIA," &c.

"These important volumes appear at an opportune moment, as they describe some of those countries to which public attention is now more particularly directed: Turkey, Greece, Hungary, and Austria. The author has given us a most interesting picture of the Turkish Empire, its weaknesses, and the embarrassments from which it is now suffering, its financial difficulties, the discontent of its Christian, and the turbulence of a great portion of its Mahomedan subjects. We are also introduced for the first time to the warlike mountaineers of Bosnia, Albania, Upper Moesia, and the almost inaccessible districts of the Danubius and the Balkan. The different nationalities of that Babel-like country, so many in Europe, inhabited by Slavonians, Greeks, Albanians, Macedonians, Serbs, Romanians, and Osmanli—their various characteristics, religions, superstitions, together with their singular customs and manners, their ancient and contemporary history are vividly described. The Ionian Islands, Greece, Hungary, and the Slavonian Provinces of Austria on the Lower Danube, are all delineated in the author's happiest manner.

"We cordially recommend Mr. Spencer's valuable and interesting volumes to the attention of the reader. They are replete with information upon countries of which we know but little; they will be interesting to the military man for the details they give of the strength and defensive positions of the various countries through which the author travelled; to the merchant for the insight given into the state of trade; and to the man of the world as they place before his view the present political and social state of an empire, whose welfare it is the interest of all to promote. The work must be considered a standard production, enriched, as it is, by an excellent map derived from the most authentic modern authorities, added to, and improved by the observations of the author during his travels."—*United Service Magazine*.

"A work of great merit, and of paramount present interest."—*Standard*.

"This interesting work contains by far the most complete, the most enlightened, and the most reliable amount of what has been hitherto almost the terra incognita of European Turkey, and supplies the reader with abundance of entertainment as well as instruction."—*John Bull*.

"An excellent and admirable work. Mr. Spencer is a very able writer, a careful, experienced, and philosophical observer, an eminently thinking and yet practical man. His work forms the most valuable addition that our literature has lately received. He sets forth to inquire and learn; he returns to inform and teach; and information most valuable and interesting has he here bestowed on us."—*Tait's Magazine*.

ARCTIC MISCELLANIES,

A SOUVENIR OF THE LATE POLAR SEARCH.

BY THE OFFICERS AND SEAMEN OF THE EXPEDITION.

DEDICATED BY PERMISSION TO THE LORDS OF THE ADMIRALTY.

SECOND EDITION, WITH A NEW PREFACE AND INTRODUCTION BY P. O'BRIEN, Esq.

1 v. with numerous Illustrations, 10s. 6d., elegantly bound.

Amongst the Contributors to the "Arctic Miscellanies" are Admiral Sir John Ross, Captain Ommaney, Commanders McClintock and Cator, Lieutenants Osburn, Meecham, Browne, and Markham, Dr. Donnett, and Dr. Ede.

FROM THE "TIMES."—This volume is not the least interesting or instructive among the records of the late expedition in search of Sir John Franklin, commanded by Captain Austin. The most valuable portions of the book are those which relate to the scientific and practical observations made in the course of the expedition, and the descriptions of scenery and incidents of arctic travel. Many of the latter possess considerable literary merit, and all are impressed with the vividness of fresh observation. From the variety of the materials, and the novelty of the scenes and incidents to which they refer, no less than the interest which attaches to all that relates to the probable safety of Sir John Franklin and his companions, the Arctic Miscellanies forms a very readable book, and one that redounds to the honour of the national character.

FROM THE "GLOBE."—Captain Austin's little squadron, which sailed in May, 1850, in search of Sir John Franklin, consisted of the *Resolute*, commanded by Captain Austin; the *Assistance*, commanded by Captain Ommaney; and two steamers under the command of Lieutenants Osburn and Cator. To assist in whiling away the dreary time in the arctic regions, the officers and sailors of the expedition contributed to a journal which was circulated amongst them in manuscript, and once a month the weather-beaten tars enjoyed the luxury of their own newspaper. That journal has now been brought before the general public under the title of "Arctic Miscellanies," in a shape more worthy of its intrinsic merits, beautifully printed, and carefully illustrated. All the varied incidents, pleasant and painful, of life in the frozen zone, are vividly and good-humouredly chronicled in the "Arctic Miscellanies," which contains besides some capital seamen's stories, superstitious "yarns" and drolleries. As a record of the pastimes, adventures, fancies, and feelings of our true-hearted gallant sailors, while undergoing the most appalling hardships in the frozen seas, the volume is invaluable; and it is unusually interesting as demonstrating the high intellectual capacities, the mental vigour, and the refined tastes of our rough-looking blue-jackets.

FROM THE "UNITED SERVICE MAGAZINE."—Beautifully got up, and profusely illustrated, this most pleasant book really charms as much by its appearance and its matter, as its novelty. We cannot take leave of this Arctic Souvenir without expressing our admiration of it in every point of view. Such productions should be encouraged by those in authority, and a copy of this work ought to be found on board every ship in commission.

D A R I E N ;

OR,

THE MERCHANT PRINCE.

BY ELIOT WarBURTON, Esq.

Author of "THE CRESCENT AND THE CROSS," &c., 3 v.

"The present production, from the pen of the author of 'The Crescent and the Cross,' has the same elements of a very wide popularity. It will please its thousands. It is a tale of substantial interest."—*Globe*.

"The best work of fiction which has proceeded from Mr. Warburton's pen. It is full of absorbing interest."—*Messenger*.

"The theme of this book is a fine one. It is full of eloquent writing."—*Examiner*.

"Darien,' like all Mr. Warburton's previous productions, has many passages of rich imaginative beauty. This eloquent narrative will be extensively read, and deserves to be so."—*Daily News*.

"A most interesting narrative, and one in which the versatile talents of its author are conspicuously and agreeably apparent. The characters are delineated with delicacy and skill, and there is a vigorous vitality in the dialogue which carries the reader along with a movement at once easy and rapid. The descriptions are remarkable for splendour of illustration and brilliancy of language, and the incidents are involved with such ingenuity as to preserve the interest to the last."—*Morning Post*.

"Few writers have so many admirers as Mr. Warburton. His 'Crescent and the Cross' is one of the standard works of the English language, and we shall be disappointed if the charming story of 'Darien' does not obtain an equal degree of success. The vicissitudes and stirring adventures of the hero, forming such a marvellous episode of real life—the beauty and striking characteristics of the heroine—and the vein of pathos and romance that pervades the whole tale, give the book a peculiar and irresistible charm. By those who love excitement it will be read with breathless interest. Mr. Warburton excels in the delineation of those incidents which call up the first powers of the novelist, and enchain the sympathies of the reader. His situations are admirably conceived, and wrought out with singular skill. His characters are strongly marked, and show the felicitous touch of a master."—*United Service Magazine*.

"The scheme for the colonization of Darien by Scotchmen, and the opening of a communication between the East and West across the Isthmus of Panama, furnishes the foundation of this story, which is in all respects worthy of the high reputation which the author of the 'Crescent and the Cross' had already made for himself. The early history of the Merchant Prince introduces the reader to the condition of Spain under the Inquisition; the portraits of Scottish life which occupy a prominent place in the narrative, are full of spirit; the scenes in America exhibit the state of the natives of the new world at that period; the daring deeds of the Buccaneers supply a most romantic element in the story; and an additional interest is infused into it by the introduction of various celebrated characters of the period, such as Law, the French financier, and Paterson, the founder of the Bank of England. All these varied ingredients are treated with that brilliancy of style and powerful descriptive talent, by which the pen of Eliot Warburton was so eminently distinguished."—*John Bull*.

JUDGE HALIBURTON'S NEW HISTORICAL WORK.
 In 2 vols. post 8vo. 21s. bound.
 RULE AND MISRULE OF
THE ENGLISH IN AMERICA.

By the Author of
 "SAM SLICK," "THE OLD JUDGE," &c.

"A most attractive work."—*Standard*.

"The cleverest volumes Judge Haliburton has ever produced."—*Messenger*.

"We conceive this work to be by far the most valuable and important Judge Haliburton has ever written. The exhaustless fund of humour—quiet, yet rich and racy, and at the same time overflowing with the milk of human kindness—which his writings display on one hand, and the wonderful knowledge of man's character, in all its countless varieties, which they exhibit on the other, have insured for them a high, and honourable, and enduring station in English literature. It would be difficult, if not impossible, to arise from the perusal of any of Mr. Haliburton's performances without having become both wiser and better. His 'English in America' is, however, a production of a yet more exalted order. While teeming with interest, moral and historical, to the general reader, it may be regarded as equally constituting a philosophical study for the politician and the statesman. It will be found to dissipate many popular errors, and to let in a flood of light upon the actual origin, formation, and progress of the republic of the United States."—*Naval and Military Gazette*.

"Those who wish for an accurate history of the rise of republicanism in America to its grand development in the United States revolution, will here find a narrative that is invaluable for its accuracy, its impartiality, its admirable order in arrangement, and that true philosophy of statesmanship which can attach to each incident a fitting moral, from which every honest politician can derive instruction. The work is one equally useful in the double aspect in which it may be regarded—first, an insight into the causes of past transactions; second, as a warning to guide mankind amid the many perplexing political questions of the day. The spirit of impartiality animates every page of this work. It is deserving of a place in every historical library."—*Morning Herald*.

"We believed the author of this work to possess a power of humour and sarcasm second only to that of Rabelais and Sydney Smith, and a genuine pathos worthy of Henry Fielding, or Charles Dickens. In his particular line of literature we believed him to be unrivalled. In the volumes before us he breaks upon a new, and, according to his method of breaking the subject—untrodden ground. We hail this book with pleasure; we consider it an honour to Judge Haliburton, as by it he has proved himself to be a Christian, a scholar, a gentleman, and, in the true sense of a mis-used word, a patriot. Mr. Haliburton places before us fairly and impartially, the history of English rule in America. The book is not only a boon to the historic student, it is also filled with reflections such as may well engage the attention of the legislating statesman. Mr. Haliburton also shows us the true position of the Canadas, explains the evils of our colonial system, points out the remedies by which these evils may be counteracted, that thus the rule of the 'English in America' may be something better than a history of the blunders, the follies, and the ignorant temerity of colonial secretaries."—*Irish Quarterly Review*.

SAM SLICK'S NEW COMIC WORK.

In 3 vols. post 8vo. 3ls. 6d. bound.

TRAITS OF AMERICAN HUMOUR.

Edited

BY THE AUTHOR OF "SAM SLICK," &c.

"We have seldom met with a work more rich in fun or more generally delightful."—*Standard*.

"Those who have relished the racy humour of the 'Clockmaker,' will find a dish of equally ludicrous and amusing Transatlantic wit in the volumes before us."—*Herald*.

"A new book by the author of 'Sam Slick' causes some stir among the laughter-loving portion of the community; and its appearance at the present festive season is appropriate. We hold that it would be quite contrary to the fitness of things for any other hand than that of our old acquaintance, the facetious Judge Haliburton, to present to us a Christmas dish, and call it 'Traits of American Humour.' But even without the recollection of 'Sam Slick' to evoke the spirit of fun within us, we should have been forced to yield to the racy humour of these American 'Traits.' Dip where you will into this lottery of fun, you are sure to draw out a prize."—*Morning Post*.

"The untravelled European who has not made the acquaintance of Sam Slick, can have but little knowledge of the manners, customs, humours, eccentricities, and lingos of the countless varieties of inhabitants of North America who we are accustomed to conglomerate under the general name of Yankees. Assisted, however, by Sam Slick's graphic descriptions, literal reports, and racy pen-and-ink sketches, gentlemen who sit at home at ease, are able to realize with tolerable accuracy the more remarkable species of this lively family, to comprehend their amusing jargon, to take an interest in their peculiarities of person and speech, and to enter into the spirit of their very characteristic humours. No man has done more than the facetious Judge Haliburton, through the mouth of the inimitable 'Sam,' to make the old parent country recognise and appreciate her queer transatlantic progeny; and in the volumes before us he seeks to render the acquaintance more minute and complete. His present collection of comic stories and laughable traits is a budget of fun full of rich specimens of American humour."—*Globe*.

"The reader will find this work deeply interesting. Yankeeism portrayed, in its raciest aspect, constitutes the contents of these superlatively entertaining volumes, for which we are indebted to our facetious old friend 'Sam Slick.' The work embraces the most varied topics,—political parties, religious eccentricities, the flights of literature, and the absurdities of pretenders to learning, all come in for their share of satire; while in other papers we have specimens of genuine American exaggerations, or graphic pictures of social and domestic life as it is, more especially in the ruder districts and in the back settlements, or again sallies of broad humour, exhibiting those characteristics which form in the country itself the subject of mutual persiflage between the citizens of different States. The work will have a wide circulation."—*John Bull*.

KHARTOUM, AND THE BLUE AND WHITE NILES.

By GEORGE MELLY, ESQ.

2 v. post. 8vo., with Map and Illustrations, 21s. bound.

"Mr. Melly is an animated writer, and a quick observer—his style is buoyantly lively, and agreeable, and his book is from first to last instructive and entertaining."—*Morning Post*.

"Independently of the amusement and information which may be derived from Mr. Melly's interesting work, the references to the relations which at this time between the Sublime Porte and Egypt are worthy of every consideration which statesmen and public men can bestow upon them."—*Messenger*

"We cannot feel otherwise than grateful to the author of these valuable useful volumes for having kept so faithful a journal, and for giving the benefit of his adventures and experience. The manners and customs of the natives, as well as the natural curiosities, and the relics of antiquity the travellers visited, in turns engage the reader's attention; and, altogether the book is a most entertaining and instructive *vade-mecum* to the interesting parts of the East of which it treats."—*John Bull*.

SCENES FROM SCRIPTURE.

By THE REV. G. CROLY, LL.D.

Author of "SALATHIEL," &c., 1 v., 10s. 6d. bound.

PRINCIPAL CONTENTS:—

The Last Day of Jerusalem—Esther—The Third Temptation—The Visitation—The Sixth Seal—The Power of Prayer—Belshazzar—Malachi—and Balaam—Ezekiel—John the Baptist—The Prophecy of Jeremiah—Elisha in Dothan—The Woe upon Israel—The Judgment Day, &c.

"Eminent in every mode of literature, Dr. Croly stands, in our judgment among the living poets of Great Britain—the only man of our day entitled to venture within the sacred circle of religious poets."—*Standard*.

"The appearance of a volume of poems from a writer of such high reputation as the author of 'Salathiel,' is an event in the history of modern literature. The vigour of language in harmony with the subjects he has chosen, Dr. Croly has presented to us, in a poetic form, some of the most striking and instructive poems in the sacred volume."—*Messenger*.

"This volume will be extensively read and admired. It is one of interest, variety, and merit."—*Post*.

"This work deserves to be placed in the highest ranks of sacred poetry."—*Atlas*.

"An admirable addition to the library of religious families."—*John Bull*.

**LORD PALMERSTON'S OPINIONS
AND POLICY;**

**AS MINISTER, DIPLOMATIST, AND STATESMAN,
DURING MORE THAN FORTY YEARS OF PUBLIC LIFE,
WITH A BIOGRAPHICAL AND CRITICAL MEMOIR.
BY GEORGE HENRY FRANCIS, ESQ.,**

Author of "Maxims and Opinions of the Duke of Wellington," &c.
1 v. 8vo., with Portrait, 12s. bound.

**THE LITERATURE AND ROMANCE
OF NORTHERN EUROPE.**

BY WILLIAM AND MARY HOWITT.

2 v. post 8vo.

FIVE YEARS IN THE WEST INDIES.

BY C. DAY, ESQ. 2 v., with Illustrations.

**HISTORY OF THE
BRITISH CONQUESTS IN INDIA.**

BY HORACE ST. JOHN. 2 v.

**HISTORY OF CORFU;
AND OF THE REPUBLIC OF THE IONIAN ISLANDS.
BY LIEUT. H. J. W. JERVIS, Royal Artillery.**

1 v., with Illustrations.

MEMOIRS OF COLONEL LANDMAN.

WRITTEN BY HIMSELF. 2 v. (*Just Ready.*)

A NEW HISTORICAL WORK,

By MISS PARDOE,

Author of

"LOUIS XIV.," "THE CITY OF THE SULTAN," &c.

(*In Preparation.*)

A NEW WORK ON CANADA,

By THE LATE LIEUTENANT-COLONEL SIR R. BONNYCASTLE.

With considerable Additions, and an Account of Recent Transactions,

By SIR JAMES E. ALEXANDER, K.L.S., &c.

2 v., with Maps. (*Just Ready.*)

NARRATIVE OF FIVE YEARS' RESIDENCE AT NEPAUL.

By CAPTAIN THOMAS SMITH,

Late Bengal Native Infantry; Assistant Political Resident at Nepaul.

2 v. (*Just Ready.*)

SPAIN AS IT IS.

By G. A. HOSKINS, ESQ.

Author of "TRAVELS IN ETHIOPIA, AND VISIT TO THE GREAT OASIS," &c.

2 v., with Illustrations, 21s. bound.

"To the tourist this work will prove invaluable. It is the most complete and most interesting portraiture of Spain as it is that has ever come under our notice."—*John Bull*.

"Mr. Hoskins is a pleasant companion and a very useful guide. He describes a route abounding in all the attractions afforded by noble works of art, interesting historical association, and exquisite scenery; and he does justice to them all. His narrative is rendered both attractive and valuable by the intrinsic interest of the subject, and the graphic truthfulness of description which appears in every page."—*Morning Post*.

New Works of Fiction, by Distinguished Writers.

ADAM GRAEME OF MOSSGRAY.

A NEW STORY OF SCOTTISH LIFE.

By the Author of

"PASSAGES IN THE LIFE OF MRS. MARGARET MAITLAND," "MERKLAND,"
AND "CALEB FIELD." 3 v. (*Just Ready.*)

HEARTS AND ALTARS.

BY ROBERT BELL, ESQ.,

Author of "THE LADDER OF GOLD," &c. 3 v.

ADVENTURES OF A BEAUTY.

BY MRS. CROWE,

Author of "SUSAN HOPLY," "LIGHT AND DARKNESS," &c. 3 v.

EMILY HOWARD.

BY MRS. DUNLOP. 3 v.

THE HEIR OF ARDENNAN.

A STORY OF DOMESTIC LIFE IN SCOTLAND.

By the Author of "ANNE DYSART." 3 v.

CLARA HARRINGTON;

A DOMESTIC TALE. 3 v.

"The writer of 'Clara Harrington' possesses thought, fancy, and originality, in no common degree."—*Athenæum*.

FALKENBURG.

By the Author of "MILDRED VERNON." 3 v.

"A tale of singular and fascinating beauty."—*Britannia*.

"All discriminating readers will be of one accord as to the excellence 'Falkenburg.' Be it truth or romance, it is a capital story. The characters well delineated and cleverly contrasted—the descriptive passages are full of grace and elegance—the reflective full of strength and earnestness."—*Mor Post*.

MRS. MATHEWS; OR, FAMILY MYSTERY

By MRS. TROLLOPE.

Author of "FATHER EUSTACE," "THE BARNABYS," &c. 3 v.

"A production unique in character, and of singular merit. This interesting story displays remarkable knowledge of life and motive, and unites with variety and fertility in the conception of character, greater freedom, ease and minuteness of delineation, than any other of Mrs. Trollope's novels."—*Morning Post*.

"Those who open the present volumes with the expectation of enjoy another of those rich treats which Mrs. Trollope's clever pen periodically provides for the novel-reading public, will not be disappointed. The author proves undiminished vigour of her inventive and descriptive powers."—*John Bull*.

CLARE ABBEY.

By the Author of "THE DISCIPLINE OF LIFE," &c. 2 v.

"Lady Ponsonby's 'Clare Abbey' is a delightful book, full of powerful graceful writing."—*Standard*.

"In this story the talented author of 'The Discipline of Life,' has displayed all that power of painting the passions of the human heart, and the hard struggles between inclination and duty, of which her former work gave such a proof. The tale has a fascinating interest, while its lofty moral tendency rises it above the ordinary level of works of fiction."—*John Bull*.

CALEB FIELD.

A TALE OF THE PURITANS.

By the Author of "PASSAGES IN THE LIFE OF MRS. MARGARET MAITLAND," "MERKLAND," &c. 1 v.

"This beautiful production is every way worthy of its author's reputation; it ranks in the very first rank of contemporary writers."—*Standard*.

"As a delineator of manners and character, this author has scarcely an equal among living writers; while for the nobility of her sentiments, she stands alone above them all. 'Caleb Field' is a vindication of the Puritan sketch of their character and illustration of their deeds; in a story of most interest, deeply exciting, full of novelty, and abounding in scenes of great beauty."—*Sunday Times*.

RAVENSLIFFE.

By the Author of

"EMILIA WYNDEHAM," "TWO OLD MEN'S TALES," &c. 3 v.

" 'Ravenscliffe contains scenes not surpassed in power and beauty by those in 'The Admiral's Daughter,' which announced an addition to the phalanx of English authoresses so remarkable as that of 'The Two Old Men.' No reader can bear the heroine company without feeling in some degree the same sense of powerlessness to cope with the fascinations of a dark destiny which is conveyed by the stories of Richardson's 'Clarissa,' and Scott's 'Lucy Ashton.' This is praise enough—yet not too much."—*Athenæum*.

"A story of remarkable power and beauty."—*Post*.

"A picture of an ill-starred marriage, such as Scott has not surpassed in the noble 'Bride of Lammermoor.'"—*Examiner*.

" 'Ravenscliffe' is beyond all question a work of genius, and full of power and originality. Its strength and vigour are extraordinary—the force with which scene after scene is unfolded, each rising above the other in power and interest, carries us breathless through the volumes."—*Guardian*.

JACOB BENDIXEN, THE JEW.

By MARY HOWITT.

From the Danish. 3 v.

"This tale has the fascination and the value of a glimpse into a most strange world. We heartily commend the novel."—*Athenæum*.

"A very remarkable and delightful book, full of delicate beauty, elegant playfulness, and deep wisdom. It is a most fascinating tale, fraught with an important and touching lesson of mutual tolerance."—*Daily News*.

"As interesting as anything of Fredrika Bremer's."—*Spectator*.

"This new work, by Mary Howitt, will be found peculiarly attractive, no less from the interest of the story itself than from the entire novelty of its characters, scenes, and incidents. Mrs. Howitt says, in her preface: 'At the moment when we are searching into the social and moral condition of all classes, a faithful transcript of the life and feelings of the Jews cannot fail of being welcome. These pages unlock, as it were, that mysterious and sealed book, the heart of the Jew, and enable us to peruse the history of a human soul, which is as interesting as it is new—at the same time it makes us familiarly acquainted with the domestic life, manners, and feelings of a portion of the community which is, in general, as little known as if it belonged to another hemisphere.'"—*Globe*.

POPULAR WORKS OF FICTION.

MARIAN WITHERS.

By GERALDINE E. JEWSBURY,

Author of

"Zoe," "The Half Sisters," &c. 3 v.

"Full of cleverness and originality."—

Examiner.

"The best of Miss Jewsbury's novels."—

Critic."One of the noblest works of fiction that has been for some time published in this country."—*Observer.*"A work of singular beauty, aiming at a noble purpose, and affording a vivid and faithful view of society in the nineteenth century."—*Sunday Times.*"A clever and brilliant book, full of the results of varied knowledge of life. The personal sketches remind one of Douglas Jerrold. The style is admirable for its caustic and compressed vigour. Marian Withers will take a high rank among contemporary fictions."—*Weekly News.***CECILE;****OR, THE PERVERT.**

By the Author of "Rockingham." 1 v.

"We cannot too highly recommend this remarkable work. It is earnest and eloquent, charitable and kindly. The story is full of strong and genuine interest. The charm of the book is that it is so life-like, so full of home-truth and reality."—*Morning Chronicle.*"The author of 'Cécile' is a writer to whom the scenes of high life in which he finds the matter of his stories are not mere guess-work—who puts his own experiences into the form of fiction."—*Examiner.***THE LIVINGSTONES.**

A STORY OF REAL LIFE. 3 v.

"This work has a real interest. The pictures of the Scottish homes, in which the heroine's youth is past, are excellent."—*Examiner.*"Great freshness of matter is the characteristic of this novel. The writer possesses a knowledge of society, especially in Scotland, dramatic power in depicting character, and exhibiting scenes with moral purpose and soundly elegant reflection."—*Spectator.***RALPH RUTHERFORD.**

By the Author of "The Petrel." 3 v.

"Admiral Fisher's interesting nautical tale of 'Ralph Rutherford' is a worthy member of the Marryat class, full of animated scenes, serious and droll, with the halo of a love story thrown around it. There are passages and incidents which Tom Cringle might have been proud to have described."—*United Service Gazette.***The LADY and the PRIEST.**

By MRS. MABERLY. 3 v.

"The sustained, the ever heightening interest, with which the story progresses to the end, and the power with which the characters are delineated, together with the allusions and illustrations applicable to the mighty conflict of the day between Rome and England, combine to make the fiction of 'The Lady and the Priest' one of the most exquisite romances, which, we doubt not, will, in addition to the keen enjoyment of the perusal, do more than hundreds of dry discussions and platform orations to impress the popular mind with the dangerous character of the Popish creed and system."—*John Bull.***THE TUTOR'S WARD.**

By the Author of

"Wayfaring Sketches," "Use and Abuse," &c. 2 v.

"'The Tutor's Ward' is a masterpiece of fiction. The plot of the story is charged to the full with extraordinary incidents and adventures. The characters are delineated with graphic power, the scenes finished with dramatic effect, and the tale conducted to its close with sustained interest. Barely has the power of love over the female heart been more beautifully portrayed than in this splendid tale."—*John Bull.***ARTHUR CONWAY;****OR, SCENES IN THE TROPICS.**

By CAPTAIN MILMAN,

Late 33rd Regiment. 3 v.

"A book of very rare merit. As a romance, replete with striking and affecting incidents; as a picture of life in the West Indies, as a delineation of tropical scenery, and of the grand and mysterious visitations of nature, 'Arthur Conway' stands unapproached by any modern work."—*United Service Gazette.*"This work is not only interesting as a well-written, lively, exciting work of fiction, but valuable as a series of sketches of the civilisation and progress of the West Indies, embodying the reminiscences of scenery and character preserved by the author during a residence in the Caribbee Islands. The scenes where the Caribs are introduced are quite original in romance."—*Sunday Times.***ALBAN;****A TALE.**

By the Author of "Lady Alice." 3 v.

"Written with unquestionable ability. The story is exciting, and the scenes display considerable skill."—*United Service Mag.*"A remarkable novel, carried out with a great deal of spirit and effect."—*Critic.*

INTERESTING NEW WORKS

PUBLISHED BY

COLBURN AND CO.,

18, GREAT MARLBOROUGH STREET.

NEW EDITION OF THE LIVES OF THE QUEENS.

Now in course of publication, in Eight Octavo Volumes (comprising from 600 to 700 pages), price 12s. each, elegantly bound,

LIVES OF THE QUEENS OF ENGLAND.

BY AGNES STRICKLAND.

A New, Revised, and Cheaper Edition,

EMBELLISHED WITH PORTRAITS OF EVERY QUEEN.

BEAUTIFULLY ENGRAVED FROM THE MOST AUTHENTIC SOURCES.

IN announcing the new, revised, and greatly augmented Edition of this important and interesting work, which has been considered unique in biographical literature, the publishers beg to direct attention to the following extract from the preface:—"A revised edition of the 'Lives of the Queens of England,' embodying the important collections which have been brought to light since the appearance of earlier impressions, is now offered to the world, embellished with Portraits of every Queen, from authentic and properly verified sources. The series, commencing with the consort of William the Conqueror, occupies that most interesting and important period of our national chronology, from the death of the last monarch of the Anglo-Saxon line, Edward the Confessor, to the demise of the last sovereign of the royal house of Stuart, Queen Anne, and comprises therein thirty queens who have worn the crown-matrimonial, and four the regal diadem of this realm. We have related

the parentage of every queen, described her education, traced the influence of family connexions and national habits on her conduct, both public and private, and given a concise outline of the domestic, as well as the general history of her times, and its effects on her character, and we have done so with singleness of heart, unbiassed by selfish interests or narrow views. Such as they were in life we have endeavoured to portray them, both in good and ill, without regard to any other considerations than the development of the *facts*. Their sayings, their doings, their manners, their costume, will be found faithfully chronicled in this work, which also includes the most interesting of their letters. The hope that the 'Lives of the Queens of England' might be regarded as a national work, honourable to the female character, and generally useful to society, has encouraged us to the completion of the task."

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS.

"These volumes have the fascination of romance united to the integrity of history. The work is written by a lady of considerable learning, indefatigable industry, and careful judgment. All these qualifications for a biographer and an historian she has brought to bear upon the subject of her volumes, and from them has resulted a narrative interesting to all, and more particularly interesting to that portion of the community to whom the more refined researches of literature afford pleasure and instruction. The whole work should be read, and no doubt will be read, by all who are anxious for information. It is a lucid arrangement of facts, derived from authentic sources, exhibiting a combination of industry, learning, judgment, and impartiality, not often met with in biographers of crowned heads."—*Times*.

"A remarkable and truly great historical work. In this series of biographies, in which the severe truth of history takes almost the wildness of romance, it is the singular merit of Miss Strickland that her research has enabled her to throw new light on many doubtful passages, to bring forth fresh facts, and to render every portion of our annals which she has described an interesting and valuable study. She has given a most valuable contribution to the history of England, and we have no hesitation in affirming that no one can be said to possess an accurate knowledge of the history of the country who has not studied her 'Lives of the Queens of England.'"—*Morning Herald*.

"A most valuable and entertaining work. There is certainly no lady of our day who has devoted her pen to so beneficial a purpose as Miss Strickland. Nor is there any other whose works possess a deeper or more enduring interest. Miss Strickland is to our mind the first literary lady of the age."—*Morning Chronicle*.

"We must pronounce Miss Strickland beyond all comparison the most entertaining historian in the English language. She is certainly a woman of powerful and active mind, as well as of scrupulous justice and honesty of purpose."—*Morning Post*.

"Miss Strickland has made a very judicious use of many authentic MS. authorities not previously collected, and the result is a most interesting addition to our biographical library."—*Quarterly Review*.

"A valuable contribution to historical knowledge. It contains a mass of every kind of historical matter of interest, which industry and research could collect. We have derived much entertainment and instruction from the work."—*Athenaeum*.

MEMOIRS OF HORACE WALPOLE

AND HIS CONTEMPORARIES,

INCLUDING NUMEROUS ORIGINAL LETTERS, CHIEFLY FROM STRAWBERRY HILL.

EDITED BY

ELIOT WARBURTON, ESQ.

AUTHOR OF "THE CRESCENT AND THE CROSS," ETC.

2 vols. 8vo, with Portraits, 28s. bound.

Perhaps no name of modern times is productive of so many pleasant associations as that of "Horace Walpole," and certainly no name was ever more intimately connected with so many different subjects of importance in connexion with Literature, Art, Fashion, and Politics. The position of various members of his family connecting Horace Walpole with the Cabinet, the Court, and the Legislature—his own intercourse with those characters who became remarkable for brilliant social and intellectual qualities—and his reputation as a Wit, a Scholar, and a Virtuoso, cannot fail to render his Memoirs equally amusing and instructive. They nearly complete the chain of mixed personal, political, and literary history, commencing with "Evelyn" and "Pepys," carried forward by "Swift's Journal and Correspondence," and ending almost in our own day with the histories of Mr. Macaulay and Lord Mahon.

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS.

"The biography before us is in all respects eminently satisfactory."—*Morning Chronicle*.

"These Memoirs offer a good subject, well treated, and form, indeed, a necessary addition to the library of every English gentleman. Besides its historical value, which is very considerable, it cannot be estimated too highly as a book of mere amusement."—*Standard*.

"Two more interesting or entertaining volumes than these 'Memoirs of Horace Walpole' may be searched for for a long time before they will be found. The writer has woven into his narrative a rich fund of contemporary anecdote and illustration. Most of the nobles, wits, and *litterati* of the period are judiciously introduced."—*Morning Post*.

"Horace Walpole was the most remarkable man of his time; and posterity will do him the justice, now that his career is fully elaborated, to place him in the niche which belongs to him, as one whose influence in the affairs of his country has been far beyond the average of other men."—*Messenger*.

"This life of Horace Walpole is a very valuable and interesting addition to the historical library. We should be glad to see every part of our later history illustrated with equal clearness and impartiality."—*Weekly Chronicle*.

"Few works of the present day contain more matter fitted for entertainment and instruction."—*Morning Herald*.

BURKE'S PEERAGE AND BARONETAGE, FOR 1852.

NEW EDITION, REVISED AND CORRECTED THROUGHOUT
FROM THE PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS OF
THE NOBILITY, &c.

With the ARMS (1500 in number) accurately engraved, and incorporated with the Text.
Now ready, in 1 vol. (comprising as much matter as twenty ordinary volumes), 88s. bound.

The following is a List of the Principal Contents of this Standard Work:—

I. A full and interesting history of each order of the English Nobility, showing its origin, rise, titles, immunities, privileges, &c.

II. A complete Memoir of the Queen and Royal Family, forming a brief genealogical History of the Sovereign of this country, and deducing the descent of the Plantagenets, Tudors, Stuarts, and Guelphs, through their various ramifications. To this section is appended a list of those Peers who inherit the distinguished honour of Quartering the Royal Arms of Plantagenet.

III. An Authentic table of Precedence.

IV. A perfect HISTORY OF ALL THE PEERS AND BARONETS, with the fullest details of their ancestors and descendants, and particulars respecting every collateral member of each family, and all intermarriages, &c.

V. The Spiritual Lords.

VI. Foreign Noblemen, subjects by birth of the British Crown.

VII. Peerages claimed.

VIII. Surnames of Peers and Peeresses, with Heirs Apparent and Presumptive.

IX. Courtesy titles of Eldest Sons.

X. Peerages of the Three Kingdoms in order of Precedence.

XI. Baronets in order of Precedence.

XII. Privy Councillors of England and Ireland.

XIII. Daughters of Peers married to Commoners.

XIV. ALL THE ORDERS OF KNIGHTHOOD, with every Knight and all the Knights Bachelors.

XV. Mottoes translated, with poetical illustrations.

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS.

"The most complete, the most convenient, and the cheapest work of the kind ever given to the public."—*Sun*.

"The best genealogical and heraldic dictionary of the Peerage and Baronetage, and the first authority on all questions affecting the aristocracy."—*Globe*.

"For the amazing quantity of personal and family history, admirable arrangement of details, and accuracy of information, this genealogical and heraldic dictionary is without a rival. It is now the standard and acknowledged book of reference upon all questions touching pedigree, and direct or collateral affinity with the titled aristocracy. The lineage of each distinguished house is deduced through all the various ramifications. Every collateral branch, however remotely connected, is introduced; and the alliances are so carefully inserted, as to show, in all instances, the connexion which so intimately exists between the titled and untitled aristocracy. We have also much most entertaining historical matter, and many very curious and interesting family traditions. The work is, in fact, a complete cyclopaedia of the whole titled classes of the empire, supplying all the information that can possibly be desired on the subject."—*Morning Post*.

BURKE'S HISTORY OF THE LANDED GENTRY, FOR 1852.

A Genealogical Dictionary

OF THE WHOLE OF THE UNTITLED ARISTOCRACY OF
ENGLAND, SCOTLAND, AND IRELAND:

Comprising Particulars of 100,000 Individuals connected with them.

In 2 volumes, royal 8vo, including the Supplement, beautifully printed in double columns, comprising more matter than 30 ordinary volumes,
price only 2*l.* 2*s.*, elegantly bound,

WITH A SEPARATE INDEX, GRATIS,

CONTAINING REFERENCES TO THE NAMES OF EVERY PERSON MENTIONED.

The Landed Gentry of England are so closely connected with the stirring records of its eventful history, that some acquaintance with them is a matter of necessity with the legislator, the lawyer, the historical student, the speculator in politics, and the curious in topographical and antiquarian lore; and even the very spirit of ordinary curiosity will prompt to a desire to trace the origin and progress of those families whose influence pervades the towns and villages of our land. This work furnishes such a mass of authentic information in regard to all the principal families in the kingdom as has never before been attempted to be brought together. It relates to the untitled families of rank, as the "Peerage and Baronetage" does to the titled, and forms, in fact, a peerage of the untitled aristocracy. It embraces the whole of the landed interest, and is indispensable to the library of every gentleman. The great cost attending the production of this National Work, the first of its kind, induces the publisher to hope that the heads of all families recorded in its pages will supply themselves with copies.

"A work of this kind is of a national value. Its utility is not merely temporary, but it will exist and be acknowledged as long as the families whose names and genealogies are recorded in it continue to form an integral portion of the English constitution. As a correct record of descent, no family should be without it. The untitled aristocracy have in this great work as perfect a dictionary of their genealogical history, family connexions, and heraldic rights, as the peerage and baronetage. It will be an enduring and trustworthy record."—*Morning Post*.

"A work in which every gentleman will find a domestic interest, as it contains the fullest account of every known family in the United Kingdom. It is a dictionary of all names, families, and their origin,—of every man's neighbour and friend, if not of his own relatives and immediate connexions. It cannot fail to be of the greatest utility to professional men in their researches respecting the members of different families, heirs to property, &c. Indeed, it will become as necessary as a Directory in every office."—*Bell's Messenger*.

GERMANY; ITS COURTS AND PEOPLE.

BY THE AUTHOR OF "MILDRED VERNON."

Second and Cheaper Edition. 2 vols. 8vo, 21s. bound.

This work comprises a complete picture of the various courts and people of the Continent, as they appear amidst the wreck of the recent revolutions. The author possessed, through her influential connexions, peculiar facilities for acquiring exclusive information on the topics treated of. She succeeded in penetrating into provinces and localities rarely visited by tourists, and still glowing with the embers of civil war, and followed the army of Prussia in Germany, of Russia in Hungary, and of Radetzky in Italy. Her pages teem with the sayings and doings of almost all the illustrious characters, male and female, whom the events of the last two years have brought into European celebrity, combined with graphic views of the insurrectionary struggles, sketches of the various aspects of society, and incidents of personal adventure.

To give an idea of the scope and variety of the contents of the work, it need only be mentioned that among the countries visited will be found Prussia, Austria, Hungary, Bavaria, Saxony, Servia, Styria, the Tyrol, Hanover, Brunswick, Italy, &c. To enumerate all the distinguished personages with whom the writer had intercourse, and of whom anecdotes are related, would be impossible; but they include such names as the Emperors of Austria and Russia, the Kings of Prussia, Hanover, Bavaria, and Wurtemberg, the Count de Chambord (Henry V.), the Queens of Bavaria and Prussia, the ex-Empress of Austria, the Grand Duke of Baden, the Archdukes John, Francis, and Stephen of Austria, Duke Wilhelm of Brunswick, the Prince of Prussia, Prince John of Saxony, the Countess Batthyanyi, Madame Kossuth, &c. Among the statesmen, generals, and leading actors in the revolutionary movements, we meet with Radowitz, Von Gagern, Schwarzenberg, Bikk, Esterhazy, the Ban Jellacic, Windischgratz, Radetzky, Welden, Haynau, Wrangel, Pillersdorf, Kossuth, Blum, Gorgey, Batthyanyi, Pulszky, Klapka, Bem, Dembinaki, Hecker, Struve, &c.

"An important, yet most amusing work, throwing much and richly-coloured light on matters with which every one desires to be informed. All the courts and people of Germany are passed in vivid review before us. The account of the Austrians, Magyars, and Croats, will be found especially interesting. In many of its lighter passages the work may bear a comparison with Lady Mary Wortley Montagu's Letters."—*Morning Chronicle*.

DIARY AND CORRESPONDENCE

OF

JOHN EVELYN, F.R.S.,

Author of "Sylva," &c.

A NEW EDITION, REVISED AND ENLARGED, WITH
NUMEROUS ADDITIONAL NOTES.

UNIFORM WITH THE NEW EDITION OF PEPYS' DIARY.

In 4 vols., post 8vo, price 10s. 6d. each, with Illustrations.

N.B.—The First Two Volumes, comprising "The Diary," are now ready.

The Diary and Correspondence of John Evelyn has long been regarded as an invaluable record of opinions and events, as well as the most interesting exposition we possess of the manners, taste, learning, and religion of this country, during the latter half of the seventeenth century. The Diary comprises observations on the politics, literature, and science of his age, during his travels in France and Italy; his residence in England towards the latter part of the Protectorate, and his connexion with the Courts of Charles II. and the two subsequent reigns, interspersed with a vast number of original anecdotes of the most celebrated persons of that period. To the Diary is subjoined the Correspondence of Evelyn with many of his distinguished contemporaries; also Original Letters from Sir Edward Nicholas, private secretary to King Charles I., during some important periods of that reign, with the King's answers; and numerous letters from Sir Edward Hyde (Lord Clarendon) to Sir Edward Nicholas, and to Sir Richard Brown, Ambassador to France, during the exile of the British Court.

A New Edition of this interesting work having been long demanded, the greatest pains have been taken to render it as complete as possible, by a careful re-examination of the original Manuscript, and by illustrating it with such annotations as will make the reader more conversant with the numerous subjects referred to by the Diarist.

"It has been justly observed that as long as Virtue and Science hold their abode in this island, the memory of Evelyn will be held in the utmost veneration. Indeed, no change of fashion, no alteration of taste, no revolution of science, have impaired, or can impair, his celebrity. The youth who looks forward to an inheritance which he is under no temptation to increase, will do well to bear the example of Evelyn in his mind, as containing nothing but what is imitable, and nothing but what is good. All persons, indeed, may find in his character something for imitation, but for an English gentleman he is the perfect model."—*Quarterly Review*.

THE LIFE AND REIGN OF CHARLES I.

By I. DISRAELI.

A NEW EDITION. REVISED BY THE AUTHOR, AND EDITED
BY HIS SON, B. DISRAELI, M.P.

2 vols., 8vo, uniform with the "Curiosities of Literature," 28s. bound.

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS.

"By far the most important work on the important age of Charles I. that modern times have produced."—*Quarterly Review*.

"Mr. Disraeli has conceived that the republication of his father's 'Commentaries on the Life and Reign of Charles I.' is peculiarly well timed at the present moment; and he indicates the well-known chapters on the Genius of the Papacy, and the critical relations of Protestant sovereigns with Roman Catholic subjects, as reflecting, mirror-like, 'the events, thoughts, passions, and perplexities of the present agitated epoch.' In particular, he observes, that the stories of conversions to the Romish faith, then rife, seem like narratives of the present hour, and that the reader is almost tempted to substitute the names of his personal acquaintances for those of the courtiers of Charles. No apology was needed for reintroducing to the world so instructive and original a work as that of Isaac Disraeli."—*Times*.

"At the end of 250 years, Rome and England are engaged in a controversy having the same object as that in which they were committed at the commencement of the seventeenth century; and no where will the reader find the circumstances of that controversy, its aims, the passions which it evoked, the instruments which it employed, and its results, better described than in this excellent book."—*Standard*.

"The position attained by the late Mr. Disraeli's admirable and learned commentaries on the great events of the Revolution, and the times that led to it, would at any period have warranted its republication. To those, however, to whom the bearing of its remarks, and the effect of the author's researches are known on the religious question of that day, their apt and effective bearing on the most vital topic of our present religio-political existence, will give the reappearance of the work an additional value."—*Britannia*.

"The history of Charles I. required a Tacitus, and, in our opinion, this work ought to have that standard character."—*Gentleman's Magazine*.

LIVES OF THE PRINCESSES OF ENGLAND.

By MRS EVERETT GREEN,

EDITOR OF THE "LETTERS OF ROYAL AND ILLUSTRIOUS LADIES."

3 vols., post 8vo, with Illustrations, 10s. 6d. each, bound.

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS.

"A most agreeable book, forming a meet companion for the work of Miss Strickland, to which, indeed, it is an indispensable addition. The authoress, already favourably known to the learned world by her excellent collection of 'Letters of Royal and Illustrious Ladies,' has executed her task with great skill and fidelity. Every page displays careful research and accuracy. There is a graceful combination of sound, historical erudition, with an air of romance and adventure that is highly pleasing, and renders the work at once an agreeable companion of the boudoir, and a valuable addition to the historical library. Mrs. Green has entered upon an untrodden path, and gives to her biographies an air of freshness and novelty very alluring. The first two volumes (including the Lives of twenty-five Princesses) carry us from the daughters of the Conqueror to the family of Edward I.—a highly interesting period, replete with curious illustrations of the genius and manners of the Middle Ages. Such works, from the truthfulness of their spirit, furnish a more lively picture of the times than even the graphic, though delusive, pencil of Scott and James."—*Britannia*.

"The vast utility of the task undertaken by the gifted author of this interesting book can only be equalled by the skill, ingenuity, and research displayed in its accomplishment. The field Mrs. Green has selected is an untrodden one. Mrs. Green, on giving to the world a work which will enable us to arrive at a correct idea of the private histories and personal characters of the royal ladies of England, has done sufficient to entitle her to the respect and gratitude of the country. Her labour of her task was exceedingly great, involving researches, not only into English records and chronicles, but into those of almost every civilised country in Europe. The style of Mrs. Green is admirable. She has a fine perception of character and manners, a penetrating spirit of observation, and singular exactness of judgment. The memoirs are richly fraught with the spirit of romantic adventure."—*Morning Post*.

"This work is a worthy companion to Miss Strickland's admirable 'Queens of England.' In one respect the subject-matter of these volumes is more interesting, because it is more diversified than that of the 'Queens of England.' That celebrated work, although its heroines were, for the most part, foreign Princesses, related almost entirely to the history of this country. The Princesses of England, on the contrary, are themselves English, but their lives are nearly all connected with foreign nations. Their biographies, consequently, afford us a glimpse of the manners and customs of the chief European kingdoms, a circumstance which not only gives to the work the charm of variety, but which is likely to render it peculiarly useful to the general reader, as it links together by association the contemporaneous history of various nations. The histories are related with an earnest simplicity and copious explicitness. The reader is informed without being wearied, and alternately enlivened by some spirited description, or touched by some pathetic or tender episode. We cordially commend Mrs. Everett Green's production to general attention; it is (necessarily) as useful as history, and fully as entertaining as romance."—*Sun*.

MADAME PULSZKY'S MEMOIRS.

Comprising Full and Interesting Details of
THE LATE EVENTS IN HUNGARY.

With an Historical Introduction by FRANCIS PULSZKY, Late Under-Secretary of State to Ferdinand, Emperor of Austria and King of Hungary.

2 vols., post 8vo, 21s. bound.

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS.

"The nationality of the people, their martial prowess, and present unhappy fate, have invested Hungary with the interest of a second Poland, and Western Europe must be naturally desirous to learn something of their civil and social life. These volumes are the joint production of M. and Madame Pulszky. While the latter records her impressions and recollections of Hungarian life, we have to thank M. Pulszky for a very able summary of the history of Hungary, from the days of Arpad to the reign of Ferdinand the First, and the reform movement—a history which abounds in interesting incidents and useful lessons for the statesman and the philosophic historian. Madame Pulszky's narrative of her wanderings and dangers is agreeably diversified with sketches and anecdotes from Magyar life, as well as with ancient legends from Hungarian history and modern passages in the late war of independence. It cannot fail to excite an interest in all classes of readers—in those who open a book only for amusement, as well as in those who look for something more enduring."—*Edinburgh Review*.

"We need hardly inform our readers that the authoress of this work is the accomplished wife of the gentleman who was originally accredited to the English cabinet by the provisional government of Hungary. The private interest attaching to the recital of events which have become so famous would insure a wide popularity for Madame Pulszky's book. But we should very much under-estimate its value if we so limited our praise. The memoirs, indeed, contain sketches of social life which are worthy of a place by the side of Madame de Staël de Launay and Madame Campan. But they are also rich in political and topographical information of the first character. Madame Pulszky was in the habit of direct intercourse with the foremost and most distinguished of the Hungarian generals and statesmen, and has given a complete summary of the political events in Hungary, from the arrival of the Hungarian deputation in 1848, to the treason of General Gorgey on the 13th of August, 1849. M. Pulszky has also prefixed a valuable introduction, which gives the most complete history of Hungary that has ever issued from the English press."—*Globe*.

"With all the charms of romance, these volumes possess the graver interest of history. Full of personal anecdotes, historical reminiscences, and legendary associations; teeming with interesting adventures, rich in social illustration and topographical description, the memoirs present to all classes of readers an attraction quite independent of the recent important events, of which they give so clear and connected a narrative."—*Morning Post*.

"In this most interesting book we have revealed in the characteristic memoirs of an eye-witness the whole story of Hungary and its revolution. The intrigues of Latour with Jellachich, the treachery of the court, the part taken by Kossuth and other eminent characters, the Hungarian deputation to the Emperor, and the final breach between Hungary and Austria, are told as forcibly as simply."—*Daily News*.

"It is impossible that the great Hungarian struggle for freedom can ever find a historian more honest in point of narrative, more sincere in conviction, or more anxious to do full justice to the truth than Madame Pulszky."—*Observer*.

NOW COMPLETE, IN FIVE VOLUMES, POST OCTAVO,
WITH PORTRAITS, &c., HANDSOMELY BOUND, PRICE 85s.,

PEPPY'S DIARY AND CORRESPONDENCE,

ILLUSTRATIVE OF THE REIGNS OF CHARLES II. AND JAMES II.

EDITED BY LORD BRAYBROOKE.

This Edition contains ALL THE PASSAGES RESTORED FROM THE ORIGINAL
MANUSCRIPT, and all the Additional Notes.

FROM THE EDINBURGH REVIEW.

"We unhesitatingly characterise this journal as the most remarkable production of its kind which has ever been given to the world. His Diary makes us comprehend the great historical events of the age, and the people who bore a part in them, and gives us more clear glimpses into the true English life of the times than all the other memorials of them that have come down to our own."

FROM THE ATHENÆUM.

"The best book of its kind in the English language. The new matter is extremely curious, and occasionally far more characteristic and entertaining than the old. The writer is seen in a clearer light, and the reader is taken into his inmost soul. 'Peppy's Diary' is the ablest picture of the age in which the writer lived, and a work of standard importance in English literature."

DIARY OF THE REV. JOHN WARD,

VICAR OF STRATFORD-ON-AVON,

EXTENDING FROM 1648 TO 1679.

1 vol., 8vo, 6s. bound.

"One of the most interesting, and in some respects important, works of its class that we have had since Lord Herbert of Cherbury and Mrs. Godolphin found modern editors. The diaries of Laud and Pepys are among the most interesting, if not the most necessary materials that the historian of the Stuarts can use, and this diary of Ward's is, in some respects, equal to either of them, as far as it extends. To those who wish to know how the Hampdens, the Falklands, and even the Shakespeares actually lived, this volume will afford much information. He, especially, who loves Shakspeare will find much to interest him in the diary of one who was an enthusiastic admirer of his, and who sought every opportunity of obtaining information concerning the man whom no hero-worshipper can too much exalt."—*Morning Chronicle*.

LIFE AND CORRESPONDENCE OF JOHN LOCKE.

By LORD KING. 2 vols. 16s.

THE DIARIES AND CORRESPONDENCE OF THE EARLS OF CLARENDON AND ROCHESTER;

Comprising important Particulars of the Revolution, &c.

Published from the Original MSS. With Notes. 2 vols., with fine Portraits
and Plates, bound, 11. 11s. 6d.

BURKE'S DICTIONARY OF THE EXTINCT, DORMANT, & ABEYANT PEERAGES OF ENGLAND, SCOTLAND, AND IRELAND.

Beautifully printed, in 1 vol. 8vo, containing 800 double-column pages, 21s. bound.

This work, formed on a plan precisely similar to that of Mr. Burke's popular Dictionary of the present Peerage and Baronetage, comprises those peerages which have been suspended or extinguished since the Conquest, particularising the members of each family in each generation, and bringing the lineage, in all possible cases, through either collaterals or females, down to existing houses. It connects, in many instances, the new with the old nobility, and it will in all cases show the cause which has influenced the revival of an extinct dignity in a new creation. It should be particularly noticed, that this new work ascertains nearly as much to extant as to extinct persons of distinction; for though dignities pass away, it rarely occurs that whole families do.

CONTENTS.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Peerages of England extinct by failure of issue, attainder, &c., alphabetically, according to Surnames. 2. Baronies by Writ—England—in abeyance, and still vested probably in existing heirs. 3. Extinct and Abeyant Peerages of England, according to titles. 4. Charters of Freedom—Magna Charta—Charter of Forests. 5. Roll of Battel Abbey. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Peerages of Ireland, extinct by failure of issue, attainder, &c., alphabetically, according to Surnames. 7. Baronies by Writ—Ireland—in abeyance. 8. Peerages of Ireland, extinct and abeyant, alphabetically, according to Titles. 9. Peerages of Scotland, extinct by failure of issue, attainder, &c., alphabetically, according to Surnames. 10. Extinct Peerages of Scotland, alphabetically, according to Titles. |
|--|--|

MEMOIRS OF SCIPIO DE RICCI,

LATE BISHOP OF PISTOIA AND PRATO;

REFORMER OF CATHOLICISM IN TUSCANY.

Cheaper Edition, 2 vols. 8vo, 12s. bound.

The leading feature of this important work is its application to the great question now at issue between our Protestant and Catholic fellow-subjects. It contains a complete *exposé* of the Romish Church Establishment during the eighteenth century, and of the abuses of the Jesuits throughout the greater part of Europe. Many particulars of the most thrilling kind are brought to light.

MADAME CAMPAN'S MEMOIRS

OF THE COURT OF MARIE ANTOINETTE.

Cheaper Edition, 2 vols. 8vo, with Portraits, price only 12s.—The same in French, 7s.

"We have seldom perused so entertaining a work. It is as a mirror of the most splendid Court in Europe, at a time when the monarchy had not been shorn of any of its beams, that it is particularly worthy of attention."—*Chronicle*.

RECOLLECTIONS OF REPUBLICAN FRANCE.

By DR. MILLINGEN. 6s.

ANECDOTES OF THE ARISTOCRACY, AND EPISODES IN ANCESTRAL STORY.

By J. BERNARD BURKE, Esq.,

Author of "The History of the Landed Gentry," "The Peerage and Baronetage," &c.

SECOND AND CHEAPER EDITION, 2 vols., post 8vo, 21s. bound.

The memoirs of our great families are replete with details of the most striking and romantic interest, throwing light on the occurrences of public as well as domestic life, and elucidating the causes of many important national events. How little of the personal history of the Aristocracy is generally known, and yet how full of amusement is the subject! Almost every eminent family has some event connected with its rise or greatness, some curious tradition interwoven with its annals, or some calamity casting a gloom over the brilliancy of its achievements, which cannot fail to attract the attention of that sphere of society to which this work more particularly refers, and must equally interest the general reader, with whom, in this country, the records of the higher classes have always possessed a peculiar attraction. The anecdotes of the Aristocracy here recorded go far to show that there are more marvels in real life than in the creations of fiction. Let the reader seek romance in whatever book, and at whatever period he may, yet nought will he find to surpass the unexaggerated reality here unfolded.

"Mr. Burke has here given us the most curious incidents, the most stirring tales, and the most remarkable circumstances connected with the histories, public and private, of our noble houses and aristocratic families, and has put them into a shape which will preserve them in the library, and render them the favourite study of those who are interested in the romance of real life. These stories, with all the reality of established fact, read with as much spirit as the tales of Boccaccio, and are as full of strange matter for reflection and amazement."—*Britannia*.

"We cannot estimate too highly the interest of Mr. Burke's entertaining and instructive work. For the curious nature of the details, the extraordinary anecdotes related, the strange scenes described, it would be difficult to find a parallel for it. It will be read by every one."—*Sunday Times*.

ROMANTIC RECORDS OF DISTINGUISHED FAMILIES.

Being the Second Series of "Anecdotes of the Aristocracy."

By J. B. BURKE, Esq.

2 vols., post 8vo, 21s. bound.

"From the copious materials afforded by the history of the English Aristocracy, Mr. Burke has made another and a most happy selection, adding a second wing to his interesting picture-gallery. Some of the most striking incidents on record in the annals of high and noble families are here presented to view."—*John Bull*.

HISTORIC SCENES.

By AGNES STRICKLAND.

Author of "Lives of the Queens of England," &c. 1 vol., post 8vo, elegantly bound, with Portrait of the Author, 10s. 6d.

"This attractive volume is replete with interest. Like Miss Strickland's former work it will be found, we doubt not, in the hands of youthful branches of a family, as well in those of their parents, to all and each of whom it cannot fail to be alike amusing and instructive."—*Britannia*.

"This delightful book will speedily become a reigning favourite. These deeply interesting compositions abound in delicate and refined sentiment, glowing flight of imagination and the utmost poetic beauty."—*Weekly Chronicle*.

LETTERS OF ROYAL AND ILLUSTRIOUS LADIES OF GREAT BRITAIN.

Now first published from the Originals, with Historical Notices.

By MRS. EVERETT GREEN,

Author of "Lives of the Princesses of England."

Cheaper Edition, 3 vols., with Facsimile Autographs, &c., 15s. bound

GENERAL PEPE'S NARRATIVE OF THE WAR IN ITALY,

FROM 1847 to 1850; INCLUDING THE SIEGE OF VENICE

Now first published from the original Italian Manuscript.

2 vols., post 8vo, 21s. bound.

"The grand features of the recent Italian movement in favour of a national existence have had no other such authentic portraiture as these volumes convey. The documents and letters which the work contains make it indispensable to the history of these times. The whole panorama of the Revolution is here gone over—the movement beginning at Rome—the agitation caused thereby in Florence and Naples and thence spreading to Sicily, Piedmont, and Austrian Italy—the threats and hostility of the Court of Vienna—the spirited revolt of the Sicilians—the increased tyranny of German generals in Lombardy—the crash of the Parisian Revolution—the rise of the populace of Milan against Radezky, the declaration of Charles Albert, and advance of Sardinian troops—the battle of Goito—the exultation of feeling in Rome and Florence—the flight of the Grand Duke of Tuscany—the revolution in Naples—the treachery of Pope and King—the dreadful massacre in Naples—the disasters of Charles Albert at the bombardment of Brescia—the glorious defence of Venice—the flight of the Pope from Rome—the arrival of Mazzini—the proclamation of the Republic from the Capital—the invasion of the Roman States by the armies of Spain, Austria, France, and Naples—the fall of Venice and of Rome—and the whole chain of events down to the present return."—*Athenæum*.

"We predict that posterity will accept General Pepe as the historian of the Italian movement of the nineteenth century. His work is worthy of all commendation."—*Standard*.

THE
REV. R. MILMAN'S LIFE OF TASSO.

2 vols., post 8vo, 21s. bound.

"Mr. Milman's book has considerable merit. He has evidently, in his interesting biography of Tasso, undertaken a labour of love. His diligence has been great, his materials are copious and well-arranged, and his sketches of the poet's contemporaries form agreeable episodes in the narrative of Tasso's works and woes."—*Edinburgh Review*.

"The present work, from the touching interest of its subject, is likely to be extensively read."—*Athenæum*.

"Mr. Milman's biography is a very good one. The work will find a place in every library."—*Britannia*.

"A most valuable addition to our literary treasures—fraught with deep and thrilling interest."—*Morning Post*.

"Mr. Milman's Memoir of Tasso is a work of considerable interest; entering fully into the particulars of the great poet's life, and giving a general review of his works."—*John Bull*.

MEMOIRS AND CORRESPONDENCE OF
SIR ROBERT MURRAY KEITH, K.B.,

*Minister Plenipotentiary at the Courts of Dresden, Copenhagen, and Vienna,
from 1769 to 1793; with Biographical Memoirs of*

QUEEN CAROLINE MATILDA, SISTER OF GEORGE III.

2 vols., post 8vo, with Portraits, 21s. bound.

Sir Robert Murray Keith, it will be recollected, was one of the ablest diplomatists of the last century, and held the post of Ambassador at the Court of Copenhagen, when Caroline Matilda, Queen of Denmark, the unfortunate sister of George III., was involved in the conspiracy of Struensee, and was only saved from the severest punishment her vindictive enemy the Queen-Mother could inflict, by the spirited interposition of the British Ambassador. Sir Robert Keith also for a long period represented his Sovereign at the Courts of Dresden and Vienna; and his papers, edited by a member of his family, throw considerable light on the diplomatic history of the reign of George III., besides conveying many curious particulars of the great men and events of the period. Among the variety of interesting documents comprised in these volumes, will be found—Letters from Frederick, King of Prussia; Caroline Matilda, Queen of Denmark; Princes Ferdinand of Brunswick, Kaunitz, and Czartoriski; the Dukes of Cumberland, York, Queensbury, Montagu, and Newcastle; Lords Stormont, St. Asaph, Heathfield, Hardwicke, Darlington, Auckland, Apsley, Barrington, Stair; Counts Bentinck and Rosenberg; Baron Trenck; Field-Marshal Conway and Keith; Sirs Walter Scott, Joseph Yorke, Nathaniel Wraxall, John Sebright; Dr. Robertson, Mr. Pitt, Howard, Mrs. Piozzi, Mrs. Montagu, &c., &c.

"A large portion of this important and highly interesting work consists of letters, that we venture to say will bear a comparison for sterling wit, lively humour, entertaining gossip, piquant personal anecdotes, and brilliant pictures of social life, in its highest phases, both at home and abroad, with those of Horace Walpole himself."—*Court Journal*.

CAPTAIN CRAWFORD'S REMINISCENCES
OF ADMIRALS SIR E. OWEN, SIR B. HALLOWELL CAREW
AND OTHER DISTINGUISHED COMMANDERS.

2 vols., post 8vo, with Portraits, 21s. bound.

"A work which cannot fail of being popular in every portion of our sea-girt isle, and of being read with delight by all who feel interested in the right hand of our country—its Navy."—*Plymouth Herald*.

REVELATIONS OF PRINCE TALLEYRAND.

By M. COLMACHE,

THE PRINCE'S PRIVATE SECRETARY.

Second Edition, 1 volume, post 8vo, with Portrait, 10s. 6d. bound.

"We have perused this work with extreme interest. It is a portrait of Talleyrand drawn by his own hand."—*Morning Post*.

"A more interesting work has not issued from the press for many years. It is in truth a complete Boswell sketch of the greatest diplomatist of the age."—*Sunday Times*.

HISTORY OF THE WAR IN GERMANY AND
FRANCE IN 1813 & 1814.

By Lieut.-Gen. the MARQUIS OF LONDONDERRY, G.C.B., &c. &c., 21s.

Now ready, VOLUME XI., price 7s., of

M. A. THIERS' HISTORY OF FRANCE,
FROM THE PERIOD OF THE CONSULATE IN 1800,
TO THE BATTLE OF WATERLOO.

A SEQUEL TO HIS HISTORY OF THE FRENCH REVOLUTION.

Having filled at different times the high offices of Minister of the Interior, of Finance, of Foreign Affairs, and President of the Council, M. Thiers has enjoyed facilities beyond the reach of every other biographer of Napoleon for procuring, from exclusive and authentic sources, the choicest materials for his present work. As guardian to the archives of the state, he had access to diplomatic papers and other documents of the highest importance, hitherto known only to a privileged few, and the publication of which cannot fail to produce a great sensation. From private sources, M. Thiers, it appears, has also derived much valuable information. Many interesting memoirs, diaries, and letters, all hitherto unpublished, and most of them destined for political reasons to remain so, have been placed at his disposal; while all the leading characters of the empire, who were alive when the author undertook the present history, have supplied him with a mass of incidents and anecdotes which have never before appeared in print, and the accuracy and value of which may be inferred from the fact of these parties having been themselves eye-witnesses of, or actors in, the great events of the period.

. To prevent disappointment, the public are requested to be particular in giving their orders for "COLBURN'S AUTHORISED TRANSLATION."

HISTORY OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS; FROM THE CONVENTION PARLIAMENT OF 1688-9, TO THE PASSING OF THE REFORM BILL IN 1832.

By WM. CHARLES TOWNSEND, ESQ., M.A. 2 vols. 8vo, 12s. bound.

"We have here a collection of biographical notices of all the Speakers who have presided during the hundred and forty-four years above defined, and of several Members of Parliament the most distinguished in that period. Much useful and curious information is scattered throughout the volumes."—*Quarterly Review*.

DIARY AND MEMOIRS OF SOPHIA DOROTHEA, CONSORT OF GEORGE I.

Now first published from the Originals.

Cheaper Edition, 2 vols., 8vo, with Portrait, 12s. bound.

"A work abounding in the romance of real life."—*Messenger*.

"A book of marvellous revelations, establishing beyond all doubt the perfect innocence of the beautiful, highly-gifted, and inhumanly-treated Sophia Dorothea."—*Naval and Military Gazette*.

DIARY OF THE TIMES OF GEORGE IV.;

With Original Letters from the late QUEEN CAROLINE, the PRINCESS CHARLOTTE, and various other distinguished personages, 4 vols., 21s.

LETTERS OF MARY QUEEN OF SCOTS.

Illustrative of Her Personal History.

Edited, with an Historical Introduction and Notes,

By AGNES STRICKLAND.

Cheaper Edition, with numerous Additions, uniform with Miss Strickland's "Lives of the Queens of England." 2 vols., post 8vo, with Portrait, &c., 12s. bound.

"The best collection of authentic memorials relative to the Queen of Scots that has ever appeared."—*Morning Chronicle*.

MEMOIRS OF MADEMOISELLE DE MONTPENSIER.

Written by HERSELF. 3 vols., post 8vo, with Portrait.

"One of the most delightful and deeply-interesting works we have read for a long time."—*Weekly Chronicle*.

LADY BLESSINGTON'S JOURNAL

OF HER CONVERSATIONS WITH LORD BYRON.

Cheaper Edition, in 8vo, embellished with Portraits of Lady Blessington and Lord Byron, price only 7s. bound.

"The best thing that has been written on Lord Byron."—*Spectator*.

"Universally acknowledged to be delightful."—*Athenæum*.

MEMOIRS OF THE DUCHESS DE LA VALLIERE AND MADAME DE MAINTENON.

Comprising numerous interesting Anecdotes of the French Court, 2 vols., 10s.

**NARRATIVE OF A TWO YEARS'
RESIDENCE AT NINEVEH;
AND
TRAVELS IN MESOPOTAMIA, ASSYRIA, AND SYRIA,
WITH REMARKS ON THE CHALDEANS, NESTORIANS, YEZIDEES, &c.
By the Rev. J. P. FLETCHER. Two vols., post 8vo, 21s. bound.**

These Travels embrace not only Nineveh and its antiquities, but various new and interesting particulars respecting the Yezidees, the Nestorians, and Oriental Christians, as well as notices of the country between Mosul and Aleppo, which has been explored by few European travellers. The intimate relations with the natives of the country entered into by Mr. Fletcher, who resided some years at Mosul, during his inquiries into the condition of the Oriental Churches, have furnished him with a vast fund of anecdote and illustration. The work also comprises disquisitions on the ancient cities of Mesopotamia, and on the successive empires established between the Tigris and Euphrates, with remarks on the hypothesis advocated by Major Rawlinson as regards the early Assyrian kings.

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS.

"A work of great merit—the remarks of a highly intelligent and acute observer. The work is not less acceptable as a book of travel than it is valuable as an auxiliary to the archaeology of the Holy Scriptures."—*Standard*.

"At a time when the startling discoveries of Mr. Layard have called public attention to the cradle of Asiatic civilisation, the notes of a two years' residence on the mighty plain of Nineveh, and of excursions into the remotest parts of Assyria, from the pen of another traveller, cannot fail to excite more than ordinary interest. Mr. Fletcher, well versed in the questions connected with the geography of Scripture, and with the history and position of the different Churches of the East, made his observations on the countries which he visited, not as an ordinary traveller who picks up his knowledge casually, here and there, but as an experienced student, who knows beforehand upon what points he is to direct his inquiries. His volumes form an instructive and accessible pendant to Mr. Layard's more exclusively antiquarian researches. The reader will meet with much valuable information which he would look for in vain elsewhere."—*John Bull*.

"A book which lets us more into the secret of the habits and ideas of the natives of Eastern Asia, more especially of the Christian population, than any work we could point out. Mr. Fletcher brings fresh and valuable information from that new centre of antiquarian research. He had the rare good fortune to be present at the first discoveries of M. Botta; and he is not without claims to be ranked as a discoverer himself. But his disposition and his opportunities make him a better describer of the living than of the dead. The circle of his inquiries was by no means confined to Nineveh, but extended to the whole Christian population of Asiatic Turkey, of whose habits, ideas, observances, and general condition he gives a minute, interesting, and, we are convinced, authentic account. The condition of the Eastern Churches is exciting much curiosity at present, and his detailed description of them will be most interesting to the religious world. Our extracts will sufficiently show what varied, interesting, and useful matter these volumes contain."—*Daily News*.

"Two volumes abounding in lively and graphic sketches of scenes visited and of characters encountered."—*Athenæum*.

"There is a great deal of original hypothesis and much gratifying information in these volumes. Mr. Fletcher is an acute observer, and a well-read historian. His work deserves to be popular, and cannot fail to increase our knowledge of the countries of which it treats."—*Evangelical Magazine*.

**NARRATIVE OF THE
TEN YEARS' VOYAGE OF DISCOVERY ROUND
THE WORLD**

Of H.M.S. "ADVENTURE" and "BEAGLE," under the command of Captains
KING and FITZROY.

Cheaper Edition. 3 large vols., 8vo, with Maps, Charts, and upwards of Sixty Illustrations, by Landseer, and other eminent Artists, bound, 1*l.* 1*l.* 6*d.*

**ADVENTURES IN GEORGIA, CIRCASSIA, AND
RUSSIA.**

By Lieut.-Colonel G. POULETT CAMERON, C.B., K.T.S., &c.

2 vols., post 8vo, bound, 12*s.*

TRAVELS IN ALGERIA.

By VISCOUNT FEILDING and CAPTAIN KENNEDY.

2 vols., post 8vo, with Illustrations, bound, 12*s.*

**NARRATIVE OF A VISIT TO THE
COURTS OF VIENNA, CONSTANTINOPLE,
ATHENS, NAPLES, &c. &c.**

By the MARCHIONESS OF LONDONDERRY.

8vo, with Portrait, bound, 10*s.* 6*d.*

**PERSONAL NARRATIVE OF TRAVELS IN
BABYLONIA, ASSYRIA, MEDIA, AND SCYTHIA.**

By the Hon. COLONEL KEPPEL (now Lord Albemarle).

Third Edition, 2 vols., post 8vo, with Portrait and Plates, 12*s.*

TRAVELS IN KASHMERE, &c.

By G. T. VIGNE, Esq., F.G.S. Cheaper Edition. 2 vols., 8vo, with a valuable Map, and 22 Illustrations, bound, 1*l.* 1*s.*

**MR. ROSS'S YACHT VOYAGE TO DENMARK,
NORWAY, AND SWEDEN,
IN LORD RODNEY'S CUTTER "THE IRIS."**

Second Edition, 1 vol., 6*s.* bound.

**FIVE YEARS IN KAFFIRLAND:
WITH SKETCHES OF THE LATE WAR IN THAT COUNTRY.**

By Mrs. HARRIET WARD (Wife of Captain Ward, 91st. Regt.)

Second Edition, 2 vols., post 8vo, with Portraits, &c., 3*l.* bound.

LORD LINDSAY'S LETTERS ON THE HOLY LAND.

FOURTH EDITION, Revised and Corrected, 1 vol., post 8vo, 6s. bound.

"Lord Lindsay has felt and recorded what he saw with the wisdom of a philosopher, and the faith of an enlightened Christian."—*Quarterly Review*.

THE SPIRIT OF THE EAST.

By D. URQUHART, Esq., M.P. 2 vols., 16s.

AMERICA AND THE AMERICANS.

By JAMES FENIMORE COOPER. 2 vols., 12s.

**SIR HENRY WARD'S ACCOUNT OF MEXICO,
THE MINING COMPANIES, &c.**

2 vols., with Plates and Maps, 21s.

THE CRESCENT AND THE CROSS;

OR,

ROMANCE AND REALITIES OF EASTERN TRAVEL.

By ELIOT Warburton, Esq.

EIGHTH AND CHEAPER EDITION, 1 vol., with numerous Illustrations, 10s. 6d. bound.

HOCHELAGA;

OR,

ENGLAND IN THE NEW WORLD.

Edited by ELIOT Warburton, Esq.,

Author of "The Crescent and the Cross."

FOURTH AND CHEAPER EDITION, 2 vols., post 8vo, with Illustrations, 10s. 6d. bound.

"We recommend 'Hochelaga' most heartily, in case any of our readers may as yet be unacquainted with it."—*Quarterly Review*.

"This work has already reached a third edition. We shall be surprised if it do not go through many. It possesses almost every qualification of a good book—grace, variety, and vigour of style—a concentrated power of description, which has all the effect of elaborate painting—information carefully collected and judiciously communicated—sound and enlarged views of important questions—a hearty and generous love of country—and the whole pervaded by a refined but sometimes caustic humour, which imparts a constant attraction to its pages. We can cordially recommend it to our readers, as well for the amusement of its lighter portions, the vivid brilliancy of its descriptions, and the solid information it contains respecting Canada, and the position generally of England in the new world."—*John Bull*.

LIGHTS AND SHADES OF MILITARY LIFE.

Edited by Lieut.-Gen. Sir CHARLES NAPIER, G.C.B., Commander-in-Chief in India, &c. 1 vol., 8vo, 10s. 6d. bound.

"A narrative of stirring interest, which should be in the hands of every officer in her Majesty's service."—*Globe*.

"One of the most interesting and, as regards General Napier's share of it, one of the most original productions of the day."—*Indian News*.

SIR JAMES ALEXANDER'S ACADIE;

OR, SEVEN YEARS' EXPLORATION IN CANADA, &c.

2 vols., post 8vo, with numerous Illustrations, 12s. bound.

"Replete with valuable information on Canada for the English settler, the English soldier, and the English Government; with various charms of adventure and description for the desultory reader."—*Morning Chronicle*.

"No other writer on Canada can compare with the gallant author of the present volumes in the variety and interest of his narrative."—*John Bull*.

STORY OF THE PENINSULAR WAR.

A COMPANION VOLUME TO MR. GLEIG'S .

"STORY OF THE BATTLE OF WATERLOO."

With six Portraits and Map, 5s. bound.

"Every page of this work is fraught with undying interest. We needed such a book as this; one that could give to the rising generation of soldiers a clear notion of the events which led to the expulsion of the French from the Peninsula."—*United Service Gazette*.

LADY LISTER KAYE'S BRITISH HOMES AND FOREIGN WANDERINGS.

2 vols., post 8vo, 10s. bound.

"Unrivalled as these volumes are, considered as portfolios of aristocratic sketches, they are not less interesting on account of the romantic history with which the sketches are interwoven."—*John Bull*.

THE NEMESIS IN CHINA;

COMPRISING A COMPLETE

HISTORY OF THE WAR IN THAT COUNTRY;

From Notes of Captain W. H. HALL, R.N.

1 vol., Plates, 6s. bound.

"Capt. Hall's narrative of the services of the *Nemesis* is full of interest, and will, we are sure, be valuable hereafter, as affording most curious materials for the history of steam navigation."—*Quarterly Review*.

"A work which will take its place beside that of Captain Cook."—*Weekly Chronicle*.

ADVENTURES OF A LADY DURING HER TRAVELS IN AFRICA. 2 vols., 10s.

THE YEAR-BOOK OF THE COUNTRY;

OR, THE FIELD, THE FOREST, AND THE FIRESIDE.

By WILLIAM HOWITT,

AUTHOR OF "THE BOOK OF THE SEASONS," &c. SECOND AND CHEAPER EDITION. 1 VOLUME, WITH ILLUSTRATIONS, 6s. BOUND.

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS.

"The world is always happy to hear from Mr. Howitt concerning 'the seasons and their signs'—the garden, the woodland, and their ever-changing shows of beauty—and the characters and humours which animate and chequer rural life. He treats of these topics with that affluence of poetical imagination and experience which there is no counterfeiting—with that thorough love which, coming from the heart of the writer, goes direct to the heart of the reader. The present volume is as fresh in spirit and as rich in matter as if it were the first of its family. The illustrations by Mr. Foster are excellent. The book is at once welcome to read and goodly to see. It is richly, poetically, picturequely various. We cannot doubt of its having a welcome as wide as its range of contents, and as cordial as the love of man and of nature, which every line of it breathes."—*Athenæum*.

"To all lovers of country life we recommend this excellent volume, as abounding in thoughts and suggestions eminently calculated to enlarge the sphere of their enjoyment as well as their usefulness; and to all lovers of the town we recommend it as likely to reform their tastes, and awaken them to pure delights which they have not yet tasted. The work is a complete country companion for the whole year—in the field, in the forest, and at the fireside. It is divided into twelve sections, each of which relates to a particular month of the year, and not only describes all the natural features of the season, but the habits of life and customs appropriate to each."—*Morning Post*.

"A highly amusing book, supplying, from rural anecdote, description, and observation, something appropriate to each season. The illustrations are very beautiful."—*Standard*.

"A perfect transcript of rural life in all its phases. In every respect a most attractive book. Mr. Howitt paints nature as it is, and gives descriptions of its endless charms with an elegance of manner that wins its way with readers of every class."—*Messenger*.

"This very attractive and delightful work is evidently one written *con amore*. Mr. Howitt's productions have always displayed an intense, and, so to speak, elegant and cultivated love of Old England's rural beauties; and the present book will form an admirable companion to his 'Book of the Seasons.' In the present instance there is an abundant and interestingly applied variety of matter illustrative of human pleasures and pursuits in the country. A country life, indeed, is here seen in all its points of view—in the field, the forest, and by the fireside. It is curious to observe the variety of subjects treated of, either in prose or poetry, in these right pleasant and entertaining pages. The natural characteristics, peculiar customs, and usual avocations incident to each month in the year are described in a striking manner. Anecdotes, sketches of character, &c., are introduced with considerable skill and effect, adding much to the interesting nature of the book. The 'Autumnal Excursions' form some of the most attractive parts of the volume; and the legends scattered throughout are told with peculiar spirit and effect. Indeed, the work is altogether a charming one; and the illustrations, admirably engraved on wood, from exceedingly clever and pretty designs, by Mr. Birket Foster, are quite worthy of the pages in which they appear."—*Morning Advertiser*.

MR. DISRAELI'S CONINGSBY

CHEAP STANDARD EDITION, WITH A NEW PREFACE.

In 1 vol., with Portrait, 6s. bound.

"We are glad to see that the finest work of Disraeli has been sent out in the same shape as those of Dickens, Bulwer, and other of our best novelists, at such a price as to place them within the reach of the most moderate means. 'Coningsby' has passed from the popularity of a season to an enduring reputation as a standard work. It is a valuable contribution to popular literature."—*Weekly Chronicle*.

WORKS OF LADY MORGAN.

1. WOMAN AND HER MASTER. A History of the Female Sex from the earliest Period. 2 vols., 12s.
2. THE BOOK OF THE BOUDOIR. 2 vols., 10s.
3. LIFE AND TIMES OF SALVATOR ROSA. 2 vols., 12s.
4. THE O'BRIENS AND THE O'FLAHERTYS. 4 vols., 14s.

THE POETICAL WORKS OF BARRY CORNWALL,

3 vols., 18s.

ZOOLOGICAL RECREATIONS.

By W. J. BRODERIP, Esq., F.R.S.

CHEAPER EDITION, 1 vol., post 8vo, 6s. bound.

"We believe we do not exaggerate in saying that, since the publication of White's 'Natural History of Selborne,' and of the 'Introduction to Entomology,' by Kirby and Spence, no work in our language is better calculated than the 'Zoological Recreations' to fulfil the avowed aim of its author—to furnish a hand-book which may cherish or awaken a love for natural history."—*Quarterly Review*.

TALES OF HUNGARY.

BY FRANCIS and THERESA PULSZKY. Cheaper Edition.

3 vols., 15s. bound.

THE WANDERER IN ITALY, SWITZERLAND, FRANCE, AND SPAIN.

By T. ADOLPHUS TROLLOPE, Esq. 1 vol., 6s. bound.

ADVENTURES OF A GREEK LADY, THE ADOPTED DAUGHTER OF THE LATE QUEEN CAROLINE

WRITTEN BY HERSELF. 2 vols., post 8vo, 12s. bound.

"The chief interest of this more than ordinarily interesting book lies in the notices it furnishes of the unfortunate Queen Caroline. From the close of 1814 till her Royal Highness's return to England the author was never absent from her for a single day. All is ingeniously and artlessly told, and the plain truth finds its way at once to the reader's judgment and feelings."—*Court Journal*.

POPULAR NOVELS, 5s. per Volume, Bound.**TIME, THE AVENGER.**

By the Author of "Emilia Wyndham."
3 vols.

PRIDE AND IRRESOLUTION.

By LADY EMILY PONSONBY. 3 vols.

NATHALIE.

By JULIA KAVANAGH, Author of
"Woman in France." 3 vols.

**BEAUTY AND INTELLECT;
OR, SECOND LOVE.**

By Mrs. TROLLOPE. 3 vols.

FALKLAND.

By Sir E. BULWER LYTTON.

**MADAM DORRINGTON
OF THE DENE.**

By WILLIAM HOWITT. 3 vols.

THE STAGE COACH.

By JOHN MILLS, Esq. 3 vols.

ROCKINGHAM;

OR, THE YOUNGER BROTHER. 3 vols.

FRESTON TOWER,

OR, THE EARLY DAYS OF CARDINAL
WOLSEY.

By the Rev. R. COBBOLD. 3 vols.

LOVE AND AMBITION.

By the Author of "Rockingham." 3 vols.

TALES OF HUNGARY.

By THERESA PULSZKY. 3 vols.

VIOLET; or, THE DANSEUSE.

2 vols.

ANNE DYSART;

OR, THE SCOTCH MINISTERS'
DAUGHTER. 3 vols.

The OLD WORLD and the NEW.

By Mrs. TROLLOPE. 3 vols.

PETTICOAT GOVERNMENT.

By Mrs. TROLLOPE. 3 vols.

**ADVENTURES OF A NAVY
LIEUTENANT.**

2 vols.

**THE DREAMER AND THE
WORKER.**

By R. H. HORNE, Esq. 2 vols.

ZENON:

A TALE OF THE TIME OF THE
MARTYRS.

By the Rev. R. COBBOLD. 3 vols.

MR. WARBURTON'S REGINALD HASTINGS.

Third and Cheaper Edition. 1 vol., 10s. 6d. bound.

M E R K L A N D,

By the Author of "MARGARET MAITLAND." 3 vols., 31s. 6d.

PASSAGES IN THE LIFE OF**MRS. MARGARET MAITLAND, OF SUNNYSIDE.**

Written by HERSELF.

New and Cheaper Edition. 1 vol., 10s. 6d.

LIGHT AND DARKNESS.

By Mrs. CROWE, Author of the "Night-Side of Nature," &c. 3 vols.

THE ARMY AND NAVY.

Published on the 1st of every Month, Price 3s. 6d.

COLBURN'S UNITED SERVICE MAGAZINE, AND NAVAL AND MILITARY JOURNAL.

The attention of the public, and particularly that of the United Services and the Army and Navy of the East India Company, is respectfully invited to this periodical, which has now been established twenty-five years, and embraces subjects of such extensive variety and of such powerful interest as must render it scarcely less acceptable to readers in general than to the members of those professions for whose use it is more particularly intended.

Independently of a succession of Original Papers on innumerable interesting subjects, Personal Narratives, Historical Incidents, Correspondence, &c., each number comprises Biographical Memoirs of Eminent Officers of all branches of service, Reviews of New Publications, either immediately relating to the Army or Navy, or involving subjects of utility or interest to the members of either, Full Reports of Trials by Courts Martial, Distribution of the Army and Navy, General Orders, Circulars, Promotions, Appointments, Births, Marriages, Obituary, &c., with all the Naval and Military Intelligence of the Month.

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS.

"This is confessedly one of the ablest and most attractive periodicals of which the British press can boast, presenting a wide field of entertainment to the general as well as the professional reader. The suggestions for the benefit of the two Services are numerous, and distinguished by vigour of sense, acute and practical observation, an ardent love of discipline, tempered by a high sense of justice, honour, humanity, and a tender regard for the welfare and personal comfort of our soldiers and seamen."—*Globe*.

"At the head of those periodicals which furnish useful and valuable information to their peculiar classes of readers, as well as amusement to the general body of the public, must be placed the 'United Service Magazine, and Naval and Military Journal.' It numbers among its contributors almost all those gallant spirits who have done no less honour to their country by their swords than by their pens, and abounds with the most interesting discussions on naval and military affairs, and stirring narratives of deeds of arms in all parts of the world. Every information of value and interest to both the Services is culled with the greatest diligence from every available source, and the correspondence of various distinguished officers which enrich its pages is a feature of great attraction. In short, the 'United Service Magazine' can be recommended to every reader who possesses that attachment to his country which should make him look with the deepest interest on its naval and military resources."—*Sun*.

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS ON COLBURN'S UNITED SERVICE MAGAZINE.

"This truly national periodical is always full of the most valuable matter for professional men. It abounds with excellent articles, the personal memoirs of distinguished officers of both Services, results of valuable military and naval experience, fragments of interesting travels, and light tales of adventure, all of which are well blended, and form a most harmonious ensemble."—*Morning Herald*.

"'Colburn's United Service Magazine' is always a welcome visitor. Its numbers contain an absolute redundancy of able and important articles, the value of which is not merely confined to any peculiar distinctive interest that military and naval men may attach to them. Independent of its attractions to the two Services, there is a mass of sterling reading which no class of intelligent persons will fail to appreciate."—*Morning Post*.

"A magazine which is not only an honour to the Services that patronize it, but also to the literature of the country."—*Standard*.

"To military and naval men, and to that class of readers who hover on the skirts of the Services, and take a world of pains to inform themselves of all the goings on, the modes and fashions, the movements and adventures connected with ships and barracks, this periodical is indispensable. It is a repertory of facts and criticisms—narratives of past experience, and fictions that are as good as if they were true—tables and returns—new inventions and new books bearing upon the army and the navy—correspondence crowded with intelligence—and sundry unclaimed matters that lie in close neighbourhood with the professions, and contribute more or less to the stock of general useful information."—*Atlas*.

"The 'United Service Magazine' is an invaluable repository of excellent articles on naval and military warfare, with respect to the science, the statistics, and the management of the two branches of the Service. It is, moreover, a most useful chronicler of all current events relating to our mercantile and national marine, and to every branch of our army, whether under Government or in the service of the East India Company. Its attention to colonial affairs and miscellaneous subjects is most useful."—*Weekly Dispatch*.

"This is one of the most permanently useful of the magazines, containing matter valuable not only to the naval and military reader, but to the historian and politician. It has, moreover, sketches of manners, scenery, and adventure, from the pens of some of the most popular writers of the day. Its digest of news is admirable, its lists of the posts among which our armies and fleets are divided are of the last utility while its amusing and able correspondence is another excellent feature."—*Brighton Guardian*.

COLBURN AND CO., PUBLISHERS,

13, Great Marlborough Street.

TO BE HAD OF ALL BOOKSELLERS THROUGHOUT THE KINGDOM.

Stanford University Libraries



3 6105 011 706 749

STANFORD UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES
CECIL H. GREEN LIBRARY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305-6004
(415) 723-1493

All books may be recalled after 7 days

DATE DUE

F/S JUN 28 1996
DPT MAR 10 1996

